AFFIDAVIT OF CORPORATE DENIAL FORM INSTRUCTIONS

Last Revised: 3/11/08

1. PURPOSE OF THIS FORM:

This form provides an affidavit that rebuts or dispels false "presumptions" very commonly made by the IRS, state revenue agencies, courts relating to the status of the party who fills it out, such as:

- 1.1. That you are a "taxpayer" as defined in <u>26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(14)</u> or <u>26 U.S.C. §1313.</u>
- 1.2. That you consented to voluntarily participate in the excise tax described in I.R.C. Subtitle A. Most Americans aren't aware that they have a choice to be "nontaxpayers" and that you can withdraw your consent to be "taxpayer". The IRS pretends like "nontaxpayers" don't exist and most people aren't even aware that they exist, but the I.R.C. Recognizes their existence in 26 U.S.C. §7426 and the Supreme Court recognized their existence in South Carolina v. Regan, 465 U.S. 367 (1984).
- 1.3. That you are an "officer of a federal corporation" or a "person" as described in <u>26 U.S.C.</u> §6671(b) nd <u>26 U.S.C.</u> §7343.
- 1.4. That you are engaged in federal franchises such as a "trade or business", which is defined in <u>26 U.S.C.</u> §7701(a)(26) as "the functions of a public office".
- 1.5. That you are a trustee, "fiduciary", or "transferee" of the U.S. government as described in 26 U.S.C. §§6902 and 6903.
- 1.6. That you either have or are eligible for a "Taxpayer Identification Number". 26 CFR §301.6109-1(d)(3) says that only "aliens" are eligible for Individual Taxpayer Identification Numbers and if you were born in America, you aren't an "alien" but rather a "nonresident alien" as defined in 26 U.S.C. §7701(b)(1)(B).

It destroys the false and prejudicial presumptions, destroys the defense of "plausible deniability", establishes a default judgment, and closes the case on all future unlawful collection or enforcement actions, both by the IRS and the federal courts.

2. PROCEDURE FOR USE:

- 2.1. Print out one copy of this form.
- 2.2. Print your name, sign, and date in section 2.
- 2.3. Complete the IRS form 56 using the examples and forms provided at: <u>About IRS Form 56, Form #04.204</u>
 - http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- 2.4. Attach the form 56.
- 2.5. Make a copy of the entire package and keep the original for use in court as evidence later.
- 2.6. Use the Certificate of Service to send to the federal or state agency which is proceeding unlawfully against you. This Certificate is available at:
 - $\underline{http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/Forms/General/ProofOfSvcViaMail.htm}$

3. RESOURCES FOR FURTHER STUDY:

- 3.1. Why Your Government is Either a Thief or you are a "Public Officer" for Income Tax Purposes, Form #05.008: Describes how everyone subject to Subtitle A of the I.R.C. is a federal employee, agent, instrumentality, benefit recipient, or contractor.
 - http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- 3.2. <u>About SSNs/TINs on Tax Correspondence</u>, Form #05.012: Article which proves that it a bad idea to put identifying numbers on government tax correspondence. http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- 3.3. <u>Resignation of Compelled Social Security Trustee</u>, Form #06.002: Form that shows how to update Social Security and IRS Records to reflect that you are not participating in Social Security http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- 3.4. <u>Proof That There is a "Straw Man"</u>, Form #05.042-proves that there is a "straw man" and that it is a public officer in the government. All "taxpayers" are "straw men" and "public officers" in the government http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- 3.5. Why Statutory Civil Law is Law for Government and Not Private Persons, Form #05.037 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- 3.6. Who are "Taxpayers" and Who Needs a "Taxpayer Identification Number", Form #05.013- proves that all taxpayers are "aliens" engaged in a public office in the government and that use or disclosure of an identifying number creates a prima facie presumption that the submitter is engaged in a "trade or business" and a "public office" per 26 CFR §301.6109-1(b).
 - http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- 3.7. <u>Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises</u>, Form #05.030-proves that franchises are abused by the government to violate the law and impose slavery upon those it is supposed to protect, and that a "trade or business" is only one of many types of franchises and excise taxes. http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- 3.8. <u>How the IRS Traps You Into Liability by Making you a Fiduciary for a Dead "Strawman"</u>: Shows how IRS commits computer fraud by deceiving their computers into believing they are collecting Subtitle A taxes pursuant to Subtitle B of the I.R.C.
 - $\underline{http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/Instructions/0.6HowIRSTrapsYouStrawman.htm}$
- 3.9. <u>Social Security: Mark of the Beast</u>. Book about the Social Security fraud. http://famguardian.org/Publications/SocialSecurity/TOC.htm

AFFIDAVIT OF CORPORATE DENIAL

1 Affidavit of Corporate Denial	4
4 Demand for Rebuttal	12
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	
Constitutional Provisions	
16th Amendment	6
Article 1, Section 10	
Constitution Article III	
Statutes	
18 U.S.C. §1001	6
18 U.S.C. §1201	
18 U.S.C. §1951	
18 U.S.C. §208	
18 U.S.C. §662	
18 U.S.C. §912	
26 U.S.C. §6065	
26 U.S.C. §6671(b)	
26 U.S.C. §7343	
26 U.S.C. §7408(c)	
26 U.S.C. §7491	
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(10)	
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(14)	
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(11)	
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(29)	
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(9) and (a)(10)	
26 U.S.C. §7701(b)(1)(A)	
28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(2)	
28 U.S.C. §144	
28 U.S.C. §1603(c)	
28 U.S.C. §1652	
28 U.S.C. §1746(1)	
28 U.S.C. §3002(15)(A)	
28 U.S.C. §455	
4 U.S.C. §72	
44 U.S.C. §1505(a)(1)	
5 U.S.C. §2105	
5 U.S.C. §552a(a)(13)	
5 U.S.C. §552a(a)(2)	
5 U.S.C. §553(a)	
8 U.S.C. §1101(a)(21)	

Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. §556(d)	5
Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. §1602 through 1611	
Social Security Act as of 2005, section 1101	
Regulations	
20 CFR §422.104(a)	15
Rules	
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 17(b)	4. 6. 9
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 8(b)(6)	
Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 52	5
Cases	
Ashton v. Cameron County Water Improvement District No. 1, 298 U.S. 513, 56 S.Ct. 892 (1936)	
Barnette v Wells Fargo Nevada Nat'l Bank, 270 U.S. 438, 70 L.Ed. 669, 46 S.Ct. 326	
Becker v. United States, 451 U.S. 1306 (1981)	
Brown v Pierce, 74 U.S. 205, 7 Wall 205, 19 L.Ed. 134	
Carrine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932	
Economy Plumbing & Heating v. U.S., 470 F.2d. 585 (1972)	
Erie R.R. v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64 (1938)	
Faske v Gershman, 30 Misc.2d. 442, 215 N.Y.S.2d. 144	
Flemming v. Nestor, 363 U.S. 603 (1960)	
Flemming v. Nestor, 363 U.S. 603, 610, 80 S.Ct. 1367 (1960)	
Galleria Bank v. Southwest Properties, 498 S.W.2d	
Glenney v Crane (Tex Civ App Houston (1st Dist)) 352 S.W.2d. 773	
Hammer v. Dagenhart, 247 U.S. 251, 275, 38 S.Ct. 529, 3 A.L.R. 649, Ann.Cas.1918E 724	
Heider v Unicume, 142 Or. 416, 20 P.2d. 384	
Heiner v. Donnan, 285 U.S. 312 (1932)	
Kemper v. State, 138 Southwest 1025 (1911), page 1043, section 33	
Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425 (1885)	
Pack v. Southwestern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co., 215 Tenn. 503, 387 S.W.2d. 789, 794	
Poindexter v. Greenhow, 114 U.S. 270, 5 S.Ct. 903 (1885)	
Proprietors of Charles River Bridge v. Proprietors of, 36 U.S. 420 (1837)	
United States Railroad Retirement Board vs Fritz, 449 U.S. 166 (1980)	6
Other Authorities	
37 Am.Jur.2d, Fraud and Deceit, Section 8	7
American Jurisprudence 2d, Duress, Section 21	
American Jurisprudence 2d, Estoppel and Waiver, §27: Definitions and Nature	
American Jurisprudence 2d, Estoppel and Waiver, §28: Basis, function, and purpose	
Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 1231	
Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 581	
Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, pp. 744-745	
Congressional Record of the United States Senate on pages 3344-3345	
How the IRS traps you into liability by making you a fiduciary for a dead "strawman"	
Memorandum of Law on The Name	
Prov. 11:15	
Prov. 6:1-5	
Proverbs 17:18	
Resignation of Compelled Social Security Trustee, Form #06.002	
Restatement 2d, Contracts § 174	18

Social Security: Mark of the Beast	11
SSA Form SS-5	6
Why You are a "national" "state national" and Constitutional but not Statutory Citizen. Form #05 006	18

1 Affidavit of Corporate Denial

- 2 This sworn statement is a declaratory presentment to the Judicial Branch of the United States, the Internal Revenue Service
- 3 (IRS), and the Social Security Administration (SSA) of the firm and complete denial that I, the Affiant, the Living Soul,
- 4 have ever, with full knowledge, intent, or awareness:
- 5 1. Voluntarily through written contract, or constructively by my actions consented, agreed, or accepted any government benefit, privilege, or entitlement that might result in a surrender of my Constitutionally guaranteed rights at any time.
- Agreed to act as an agent, "employee", contractor, or "officer" for the United States government, a federal corporation as defined under 28 U.S.C. §3002(15)(A), or any of its subordinate business entities such as the Social Security Administration or the IRS.

"Corporations are also of all grades, and made for varied objects; all governments are corporations, created by usage and common consent, or grants and charters which create a body politic for prescribed purposes; but whether they are private, local or general, in their objects, for the enjoyment of property, or the exercise of power, they are all governed by the same rules of law, as to the construction and the obligation of the instrument by which the incorporation is made. One universal rule of law protects persons and property. It is a fundamental principle of the common law of England, that the term freemen of the kingdom, includes 'all persons,' ecclesiastical and temporal, incorporate, politique or natural; it is a part of their magna charta (2 Inst. 4), and is incorporated into our institutions. The persons of the members of corporations are on the same footing of protection as other persons, and their corporate property secured by the same laws which protect that of individuals. 2 Inst. 46-7. 'No man shall be taken,' 'no man shall be disseised,' without due process of law, is a principle taken from magna charta, infused into all our state constitutions, and is made inviolable by the federal government, by the amendments to the constitution."

[Proprietors of Charles River Bridge v. Proprietors of, 36 U.S. 420 (1837)]

22 23 24

1

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

51

52

53

- 3. Agreed or consented to be treated as an "officer of a [federal] corporation" under any of the following:
 - 3.1. 26 U.S.C. §6671(b).
 - 3.2. 26 U.S.C. §7343.
- 3.3. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 17(b).
- 4. Agreed to be treated as a "public officer" engaged in a "trade or business", which is defined in 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(26) as "the functions of a public office".
- 5. Agreed or consented to be a "taxpayer", which under Subtitle A of the Internal Revenue Code is a person engaged in a "trade or business" as defined in 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(26).
- 6. Agreed or consented to have any portion of the Internal Revenue Code cited or enforced against me, the man or woman, who is a "nontaxpayer" not subject to it:

"Revenue Laws relate to taxpayers [officers, employees, and elected officials of the Federal Government] and not to non-taxpayers [American Citizens/American Nationals not subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Federal Government]. The latter are without their scope. No procedures are prescribed for non-taxpayers and no attempt is made to annul any of their Rights or Remedies in due course of law. With them [non-taxpayers] Congress does not assume to deal and they are neither of the subject nor of the object of federal revenue laws." [Economy Plumbing & Heating v. U.S., 470 F.2d. 585 (1972)]

- 7. Waived my Constitutional right to be protected by the requirement for implementing regulations found in 44 U.S.C. §1505(a)(1) and 5 U.S.C. §553(a). According to these positive law statutes, the requirement for implementing regulations published in the Federal Register for *all* statutes which prescribe a penalty is waived in the case of federal employees, contracts, public officers, federal agencies, the military, and federal benefit recipients, which group I am not a part.
- 8. Agreed to be treated as an "individual", as defined in 5 U.S.C. §552a(a)(2), which is a person with a domicile in the "United States", which is geographically defined as the District of Columbia in 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(9) and (a)(10).
- It has recently come to my attention that the IRS, & the SSA, and the federal courts have willfully been making injurious "presumptions" which prejudice my Constitutional rights by trying to associate me with the "idem sonans", which is the all caps version of my Christian name associated with a "public office" in the United States government by virtue of the Social Security Number attached to it:

<u>Idem sonans</u>. Sounding the same or alike; having the same sound. A term applied to names which are substantially the same, though slightly varied in the spelling, as "Lawrence" and "Lawrance," and the like. State v. Culbertson, 6 N.C. App. 327, 170 S.E.2d 125, 127. Under the rule of "idem sonans," variance between

difficulty in distinguishing them when pronounced. Martin v. State, Tx.Cr.App., 541 S.W.2d. 605, 606. Two names are said to be "idem sonantes" if the attentive ear finds difficulty in distinguishing them when pronounced, or if common and long-continued usage has by corruption or abbreviation made them identical in pronunciation. The rule of "idem sonans" is that absolute accuracy in spelling names is not required in a legal document or proceedings either civil or criminal; that if the name, as spelled in the document though different from the correct spelling thereof, conveys to the ear, when pronounced according to the commonly accepted methods, a sound practically identical with the correct name as commonly pronounced, the name thus given is a sufficient identification of the individual referred to, and no advantage can be taken of the clerical error. The doctrine of "idem sonans" has been much enlarged by decisions, to conform to the growing rule that a variance, to be material, must be such as has misled the opposite party to his prejudice. [Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, pp. 744-745]

I do not consent to act on behalf of the social security "trustee", federal "employee", "public officer" or benefit recipient who is the all caps version of my Christian name. I have revoked and renounced and rescinded any evidence and documentation in the possession of any government which might prove otherwise. The entity consisting of the all caps version of my Christian Name is not me. I have no nexus with that entity. The burden of proving otherwise now rests upon you, the recipient of this notice. You have 30 days to provide evidence to the contrary or be found in default. The burden of proof under 26 U.S.C. §7491 only shifts to me if I am a "taxpayer", which I declare under penalty of perjury that I am not. Therefore, the Constitution places the burden of proof back upon the government, as required by the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. §556(d).

allegation and proof of a given name is not material if the names sound the same or the attentive ear finds

The fraudulent conversion of the man or woman, a living Soul, into an officer of a federal corporation is accomplished as documented by Federal and State Rules of Civil Procedure. Particular reference herein is found in the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 52, "Alleging a Corporation". Rule 52 states

"An allegation that a corporation is incorporated shall be taken as true, unless denied by the affidavit of the adverse party, his agent or attorney, whether such corporation is a public or private corporation and however created."

[Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 52]

In the case of Galleria Bank v. Southwest Properties, 498 S.W.2d, there is the stipulation that

"The failure of an adverse party to deny under oath the allegation that he is incorporated with the necessity of proof of the fact [it becomes part of the official record]."
[Galleria Bank v. Southwest Properties, 498 S.W.2d]

Based on the foregoing, it is now clearly evident that the IRS and the federal courts have:

- 1. Intentionally, willfully, with malice aforethought, and with intent to deceive, proceeded in all its collection and enforcement actions as against the all capital letter name and Social Security Number associated with the fictitious federal "public officer" or agent.
- 2. Presumed the all capital letters name is a federal "public officer" and "officer of a corporation" under 26 U.S.C. §6671(b) and 26 U.S.C. §7343, who is privileged by virtue of federal employment and agency. The existence of such "privilege", in fact, is the means of manufacturing liability to taxation under Subtitle A of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 3. "Presumed" that the man or woman, the Living Soul, has agreed or consented to act as a fiduciary for the all caps federal "public officer":

"It is apparent,' this court said in the Bailey Case (219 U.S. 239, 31 S. Ct. 145, 151) 'that a constitutional prohibition cannot be transgressed indirectly by the creation of a statutory presumption any more than it can be violated by direct enactment. The power to create presumptions is not a means of escape from constitutional restrictions.' If a legislative body is without power to enact as a rule of evidence a statute denying a litigant the right to prove the facts of his case, certainly the power cannot be made to emerge by putting the enactment in the guise of a rule of substantive law." [Heiner v. Donnan, 285 U.S. 312 (1932)]

4. Not explained or revealed, but instead have consistently concealed, the above presumptions and kept them from being documented in rulings of the federal courts so as to preserve and protect the organized extortion and racketeering that ensures the flow of plunder into their checking accounts and their retirement plans. This is racketeering in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1951. The only way it wouldn't be racketeering is if I consented to it, which I do not.

- 5. By associating the man or woman with the all caps federal "public officer" or "employee", subjected the man or 1 woman to the law for the domicile of the corporation that he represents under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 2 3 17(b). This has effected the equivalent of kidnapping in criminal violation of 18 U.S.C. §1201. It is also the equivalent of identity theft. 4
- Placed the domicile of the federal "public officer" in the District of Columbia, as required under the following 5 authorities: 6
- 6.1. 4 U.S.C. §72. 7

8

9

13

14

15 16

17

28

42

43

44

45

46

- 6.2. 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(9) and (a)(10).
 - 6.3. 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(39).
- 6.4. 26 U.S.C. §7408(c). 10
- 11 7. Made the all caps strawman and the SSN associated with him surety for the debts of the federal government. The Bible 12 says that Christians CANNOT be surety for the debts of any third party:
 - "My son, if you become surety for your friend, if you have shaken hands in pledge for a stranger, you are snared by the words of your mouth; you are taken by the words of your mouth. So do this, my son, and deliver yourself; for you have come into the hand of your friend [slavery!]: Go and humble yourself; plead with your friend. Give no sleep to your eyes, nor slumber to your eyelids. Deliver yourself like a gazelle from the hand of the hunter; and like a bird from the hand of the fowler." [Prov. 6:1-5, Bible, NKJV]
- 18
- 19 "A man devoid of understanding shakes hands in a pledge, and becomes surety for his friend." [Bible, Proverbs 20
- "He who is surety for a stranger will suffer, but one who hates being surety is secure." [Prov. 11:15, NKJV] 21
- 22 The Social Security Number that is associated with the all caps name is therefore based upon a constructive trust contract
- created by the SSA Form SS-5. Such a relationship is unenforceable as a contract without informed consent, full disclosure 23
- of terms, conditions, and definitions, and consent beyond the age of majority. Children do not meet that qualification and as 24
- a result, the entire SSN contract, created as a child is voidable ab initio from the date it was created. To ensure this end, I 25
- have sent via certified mail a resignation and termination of any such arrangement, rebutting and rescinding any 26
- presumptions to the contrary. See: 27
 - http://sedm.org/Forms/Emancipation/SSTrustIndenture.pdf
- I am not a party made liable for the federal income tax based on the Legislative Intent of the 16th Amendment written by 29 President William H. Taft and published in the Congressional Record of the United States Senate on pages 3344-3345. I 30
- am not subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the federal government or any Federal Judicial or Internal Revenue District. I 31
- am not a federal "employee", "federal personnel" under 5 U.S.C. §2105 or 5 U.S.C. §552a(a)(13) nor is there a contractual 32
- agreement which can arise from requesting an SSN. This was confirmed by the U.S. Supreme Court, which said on the 33 34 matter:
- 35 "... railroad benefits, like social security benefits, are not contractual and may be altered or even eliminated at 36 any time."
- [United States Railroad Retirement Board vs Fritz, 449 U.S. 166 (1980)] 37
- 38 "We must conclude that a person covered by the Act has not such a right in benefit payments... This is not to 39 say, however, that Congress may exercise its power to modify the statutory scheme free of all constitutional 40 restraint."
- [Flemming v. Nestor, 363 U.S. 603 (1960)] 41
 - Because participation in the Social Security Program does not satisfy all the requirements for a valid legal contract, then any attempt to enforce the payment of "taxes" resulting from participation in it without at least providing legally admissible proof of informed consent from a person who has reached the age of consent amounts to:
 - Theft, if the participant did not provide informed consent to participate. Consequently, any money accepted under the program by the federal government becomes an act of "receiving stolen property" in violation of 18 U.S.C. §662.
- Constructive Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1001. The government is "pretending" that I qualify to participate when 47 48 they know in fact that I don't and didn't ever qualify. The result of fraudulent activity of this nature is the following:

1 2	2.1. If the fraud produces a contractual obligation, then the contract is void ab initio (from the beginning) if the injured party explicitly voids it:
3	American Jurisprudence, 2d [legal encyclopedia]
4	Fraud and Deceit
5	§8 Effect
6	Fraud vitiates every transaction and all contracts. 7 Indeed, the principle is often stated, in broad and
6 7	
	sweeping language, that fraud destroys the validity of everything into which it enters, and that it vitiates the
8	most solemn contracts, documents, and even judgments. 8 Fraud, as it is sometimes said, vitiates every act,
9	which statement embodies a thoroughly sound doctrine when it is properly applied to the subject matter in
10	controversy and to the parties thereto and in a proper forum. 9 As a general rule, fraud will vitiate a contract
11	notwithstanding that it contains a provision to the effect that no representations have been made as an
12	inducement to enter into it, or that either party shall be bound by any representation not contained therein, or a
13 14	similar provision attempting to nullify extraneous representations. Such provisions do not, in most jurisdictions, preclude a charge of fraud based on oral representations. 10
•	jan marchons, precimite a charge of frame ounce on or at representations. 10
15	It is a general rule in the law of contracts, however, that an agreement induced by fraud is voidable 11 and
16	<u>not void,</u> 12 although the rule laid down in some cases is that fraud in the factum or execution renders the
17	agreement void, whereas fraud in the treaty or inducement renders it merely voidable. 13 Fraudulent
18	representations, to avoid a contract, need not be such as would sustain an indictment for false pretenses. 14
19	In preventing actual consent, fraud may be as effectual as mistake or a want of capacity; and where such is the
20	fact in dealing with ordinary contracts, its effect is to vitiate and invalidate them. 15 Ordinarily, however, a
21	contract induced by fraud is voidable at the option of the person defrauded, who must take affirmative action
22	for relief. 16 Generally speaking, the right to avoid a contract induced by fraud must be exercised before the
23	rights of third parties have intervened. 17
24	Fraudulent misrepresentations may operate as an estoppel in pais, whereby the fraudulent person is precluded
25	from denying a statement which another has relied upon to his injury. 18 As respects fraud in law, that is,
26	constructive fraud as contradistinguished from fraud in fact, or actual fraud, where that which is valid can be
27	separated from that which is invalid without defeating the general intent, the maxim, "void in part, void in toto,"
28	does not necessarily apply, and the transaction may be sustained notwithstanding the invalidity of a particular
29	provision. 19 If an original transaction is valid, it cannot be rendered fraudulent by subsequent events, 20 as
30	by the mere nonperformance of a contract, 1 unless, under the rule in force in the majority of jurisdictions,
31	there is a coexisting intention not to perform. 2 In the event of a controversy between the parties regarding
32	fraud in the contract, a "valid" contract is what a court acting with jurisdiction says it is. 3
33	A person does not, by attempting to defraud another, forfeit his property to the latter. 4
34	[37 Am.Jur.2d, Fraud and Deceit, Section 8]
35	2.2. The person who earned the moneys fraudulently procured by the government has a legal right to recover them:
36	"Dolus auctoris non nocet successori."
37	The fraud of a possessor does not prejudice the successor.
38	[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856,
39	http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]
40	2.3. The act of fraud and all the consequences of the act never legally happened. That means that the Social Security
	Number they falsely believe was issued to me was never actually issued:
41	Number they faisely believe was issued to me was never actually issued:
42	"Ex dolo malo non oritur action. "
43	Out of fraud no action arises. Cowper, 343; Broom's Max. 349.
44	[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856,
45	http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]
46	2.4. Any act by any government servant to conceal the fraud becomes an act of fraud:
47	"Fraus est celare fraudem. "
48	It is a fraud to conceal a fraud. 1 Vern. 270.
49	[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856,
50	http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]
51	2.5. Fraud is inexcusable and unpardonable:
52	"Fraus et dolus nemini patrocianari debent. "
53	Fraud and deceit should excuse no man. 3 Co. 78.

1 2		[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856, http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]
3		2.6. Fraud amounts to an injustice:
4 5		"Fraus et jus numquam cohabitant. " Fraud and justice never agree together. Wing. 680.
6		Quod alias bonum et justum est, si per vim vel fraudem petatur, malum et injustum efficitur.
7		What is otherwise good and just, if sought by force or fraud, becomes bad and unjust. 3 Co. 78.
8 9		[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856, http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]
10		2.7. If a debt or tax obligation arises by virtue of the fraud, then the victim of the fraud must be excused from the
11		liability:
12		"In commodo haec pactio, ne dolus praestetur, rata non est. "
13		If in a contract for a loan there is inserted a clause that the borrower shall not be answerable for fraud, such
14		clause is void. Dig. 13, 6, 17.
15		[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856,
16		http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]
17		2.8. Fraud creates no rights to property on the part of the government:
18		"Jus et fraudem numquam cohabitant. "
19		Right and fraud never go together.
20		[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856,
21		http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]
22		2.9. Fraud gives the victim of the fraud the right to terminate his relationship to the government:
23		"Si quis custos fraudem pupillo fecerit, a tutela removendus est. "
24		If a guardian behaves fraudently to his ward, he shall be removed from the guardianship. Jenk. Cent. 39.
23 24 25 26		[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856,
26		http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]
27	3.	Money laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1956. Money laundering is the receiving of money from the proceeds of
28		unlawful activity, or activity not specifically authorized by the constitution and the laws which implement it, at least in
29		the context of persons domiciled in states of the Union.
30	T /	Affiant, the Living Soul, do hereby rebut any and all "presumptions" that have ever been made against me under the
31		lem sonans" deception of the nom de guerre consisting of the all caps version of my Christian name to be 'Void Ab

- *Initio*.' All IRS documents that have used the artificial entity identified with the all caps version of my Christian name for 32
- the creation of a tax liability for the Subtitle A income tax, the Subtitle B Estate & Gift income tax, and the Subtitle C 33
- Chapter 24 Collection of income tax at source, et al, are forevermore declared to be "Void Ab Initio" as there was no legal 34
- validity for such at any time. 35
- 36 Verified by this Affidavit, now and for all times, to be a matter of record the adverse party, Affiant, proclaims in truth that:
- The IRS has no legal capacity to sue or that the adverse party has no legal capacity to be sued as a Living Soul vis-à-vis 37 an artificial entity. 38
- The IRS is not entitled to recover in the capacity in which it would sue, or that the adverse party is not liable in the 2. 39 capacity of an artificial entity to be sued. 40
- There exists a defect of parties, plaintiff, or defendant. 41
- A denial of partnership as alleged in or by any pleadings as to any party to the suit. 42
- 5. Any party alleged in any pleading to be a corporation, constructive trust, or any artificial entity is not incorporated as 43 44 alleged.
- 45 6. A denial of the genuineness of the endorsement or assignment of a written instrument upon which suit is brought by an indorsee or assignee and in the absence of such a sworn plea; the indorsement or assignment thereof shall be held as 46 fully proved. The denial required by this subdivision of the rule may be made upon information and belief. 47

- 7. A written instrument upon which a pleading is founded is without consideration, or that the consideration of the same has failed in whole or in part.
- 3 8. A denial of an account, by this affidavit, which is the foundation of the IRS action.
 - 9. A contract sued upon or contract claimed to be in existence is an attempted usurpation of power and authority, which only resides with the adverse party.
- 10. Notice and proof of loss or claim for damage or debt liability has not been given as alleged by the IRS. Unless such plea is filed within 30 days from the date of this affidavit, such notice and proof shall be presumed and no evidence to the contrary shall be admitted. A denial of such notice or such proof shall be made specifically and with particularity.
- 9 11. A party plaintiff or defendant is not doing business under an assumed name or trade name as alleged.
 - 12. Any other matter required by statute to be pleaded under oath.

"One sovereign does not need to tell another sovereign that he/she is sovereign. The sovereign is merely sovereign by his very existence. The rule in America is that the American people are the sovereigns."
[Kemper v. State, 138 Southwest 1025 (1911), page 1043, section 33]

Affiant is a sovereign and a secured party to the Constitution of the united States of the America and enjoys all protections of his/her God-given inalienable Rights so enumerated or reserved. There is no lawful or legal authority for the national government, a creation of limited delegation of Rights of "We the People" [the Master] and thus a servant to the Master to continue in such fraudulent conveyances.

There is no quarter or protection, nor can there be, for any federal employee, federal officer, or elected official of the United States to continue to make claims for a debt against the legal fiction or nom de guerre consisting of the all caps version of my Christian name to identify the Living Soul, Affiant, as a corporation, constructive trust, or any other artificial entity which would be contrary to this sworn statement under oath.

I have enclosed an IRS form 56: Notice Concerning Fiduciary Relationship, renouncing and denouncing any connection with the all caps federal "public officer" or "employee" who is the object of all of your enforcement, collection, extortion, racketeering, and legal terrorism efforts.

2 Affirmation

4

5

10

11 12

13

25

26

27

28

29

44

45

46

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Republic where I live but do not maintain a domicile and from <u>without</u> the "United States" defined in <u>28 U.S.C. §1603(c)</u> and <u>26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(10)</u> and only when litigated under the following conditions that the facts, exhibits, and statements made by in this and the attached pleading me are true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge and ability in accordance with <u>28 U.S.C. §1746(1)</u>.

- 30 1. Jury trial in a state court.
- 2. Constitutional diversity of citizenship under Constitution Article III but not statutory diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(2).
- 33 3. No jurist or judge may be a "U.S. citizen" under <u>8 U.S.C. §1401</u>, or a "taxpayer" under <u>26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(14)</u>.
- 4. No jurist or judge, like the submitter, may be in receipt of any federal financial or other privilege, benefit or employment nor maintain a domicile on federal territory.
- 5. The common law of the state and no federal law or act of Congress or the Internal Revenue Code are the rules of decision, as required Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 17(b), 28 U.S.C. §1652, and Erie R.R. v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64 (1938).
- 6. Any judge who receives retirement or employment benefits derived from Subtitle A of the I.R.C. recuse himself in judging the law and defer to the jury to judge both the facts and the law, as required under 18 U.S.C. §208, 28 U.S.C. §144, and 28 U.S.C. §455.
- 7. All of the pleadings, exhibits, and statements made, including those about the law, are admitted into evidence and subject to examination by the jury.
 - 8. None of the pleadings in the case are sealed or unpublished so as to cover up government wrongdoing or otherwise obstruct justice.
 - 9. The signator is not censored or restricted by the judge in what he can say to the jury during the trial.
- 10. Submitter is treated as a "foreign sovereign" under the <u>Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. §1602 through</u> 1611.

1 2 3	11. Submitter is not treated as a "person" under <u>26 U.S.C. §6671(b)</u> or <u>26 U.S.C. §7343</u> , which is defined as an officer of a corporation or partnership who has a fiduciary duty. See: http://sedm.org/Forms/MemLaw/WhyThiefOrEmployee.pdf
4	Non-acceptance of this affirmation or refusal to admit all evidence attached to this pleading into the record by the court
5	shall constitute evidence of duress upon the submitter. This affirmation is an extension of my right to contract guaranteed
6	under Article 1, Section 10 of the United States Constitution and may not be interfered with by any court of a State of the
7	Union or of the United States.
8	of of the cined states.
9	Signature:
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	Printed Name:
12	
13	Date:

14 15

1 2	<u>NC</u>	OTARY PUBLIC'S JURAT
3 4	BE	FORE ME, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public, of the County of, Republic
5		(statename), this day of, 20
	01	
		, the above signed did appear and was identified by (circle one): driver's
	lice	ense/passport/other and who, upon first being duly sworn and/or affirmed, deposes and says that the aforegoing
	ass	everation is true to the best of his/her knowledge and belief.
	W	ITNESS my hand and official seal:
	/s/_	SEAL Notary Public
		Notary Fublic
	Му	Commission Expires On:
	3	Resources for Further Study and Rebuttal
	If v	you were unable to find your specific questions or concerns answered, thousands of pages of additional resources are
		allable that back up everything in this pamphlet below:
	1.	Resignation of Compelled Social Security Trustee, Form #06.002- Proves that the all caps name and number associated
	1.	with it is a federal "employee" and "trustee" over federal property.
		http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
	2.	How the IRS traps you into liability by making you a fiduciary for a dead "strawman"- Describes how the IRS falsifies
		peoples Individual Master File to create fraudulent tax liabilities by telling the computer that they are fiduciaries over
		the estate of a dead person.
	2	http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/Instructions/0.6HowIRSTrapsYouStrawman.htm
	3.	<u>Memorandum of Law on The Name-</u> Describes the nature of the "idem sonans" or "nom de guierre" http://famguardian.org/Subjects/LawAndGovt/
		Articles/MemLawOnTheName.htm
	4.	<u>Social Security: Mark of the Beast-</u> Free book which describes why the Social Security Number is the Mark of the
	••	Beast and Satanic, as described in the Bible Book of Revelations. Has many supporting legal authorities as well.
		http://famguardian.org/Publications/SocialSecurity/TOC.htm

4 Demand for Rebuttal

1

52

53

54

55

If you, the recipient, have read this entire affidavit and still challenge its conclusions, I demand a rebuttal from you of the 2 facts and law revealed here. You have thirty days to provide your rebuttal. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 3 Rule 8(b)(6), failure to deny shall constitute an admission to the truth of everything contained herein: 4 5 III. PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS > Rule 8. 6 Rule 8. General Rules of Pleading 7 (b) Defenses; Admissions and Denials. 8 (6) Effect of Failing to Deny. 9 An allegation — other than one relating to the amount of damages — is admitted if a responsive pleading is 10 required and the allegation is not denied. If a responsive pleading is not required, an allegation is considered 11 denied or avoided. 12 Failure to timely deny WITH EVIDENCE shall also constitute an estoppel in pais, default judgment, and nihil dicit judgment relating to every controversy presented by this affidavit: 13 14 "Silence is a species of conduct, and constitutes an implied representation of the existence of facts in question. 15 When silence is of such character and under such circumstances that it would become a fraud, it will operate as 16 an Estoppel." 17 [Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932] 18 19 "Equitable estoppel, or estoppel in pais, is a term applied usually to a situation where, because of something 20 which he has done or omitted to do, a party is denied the right to plead or prove an otherwise important fact. 2 21 The term has also been variously defined, frequently by pointing out one or more of the elements of, or prerequisites to, 3 the application of the doctrine or the situations in which the doctrine is urged. 4 The most 22 23 comprehensive definition of equitable estoppel or estoppel in pais is that it is the principle by which a party who 24 knows or should know the truth is absolutely precluded, both at law and in equity, from denying, or asserting 25 the contrary of, any material fact which, by his words or conduct, affirmative or negative, intentionally or 26 through culpable negligence, he has induced another, who was excusably ignorant of the true facts and who 27 had a right to rely upon such words or conduct, to believe and act upon them thereby, as a consequence 28 reasonably to be anticipated, changing his position in such a way that he would suffer injury if such denial or 29 contrary assertion was allowed. 5 In the final analysis, however, an equitable estoppel rests upon the facts and 30 circumstances of the particular case in which it is urged, 6 considered in the framework of the elements, 31 requisites, and grounds of equitable estoppel, 7 and consequently, any attempted definition usually amounts to 32 no more than a declaration of an estoppel under those facts and circumstances. 8 The cases themselves must 33 be looked to and applied by way of analogy rather than rule. 9" 34 [American Jurisprudence 2d, Estoppel and Waiver, §27: Definitions and Nature] 35 "The doctrine of estoppel is based upon the grounds of public policy, fair dealing, good faith, and justice, and 36 37 its purpose is to forbid one to speak against his own act, representations, or commitments to the injury of one to whom they were directed and who reasonably relied thereon. 11 The doctrine of estoppel springs from 39 equitable principles and the equities in the case. 12 It is designed to aid the law in the administration of justice 40 where without its aid injustice might result. 13 Thus, the doctrine of equitable estoppel or estoppel in pais is founded upon principles of morality and fair dealing and is intended to subserve the ends of justice. 14 It 41 42 always presupposes error on one side and fault or fraud upon the other and some defect of which it would be 43 inequitable for the party against whom the doctrine is asserted to take advantage. 15 It concludes the truth in 44 order to prevent fraud and falsehood and imposes silence on a party only when in conscience and honesty he should not be allowed to speak. 16 45 46 The proper function of equitable estoppel is the prevention of fraud, actual or constructive, 17 and the 47 doctrine should always be so applied as to promote the ends of justice and accomplish that which ought to be 48 done between man and man. 18 Such an estoppel cannot arise against a party except when justice to the rights 49 of others demands it 19 and when to refuse it would be inequitable. 20 The doctrine of estoppel should be 50 applied cautiously and only when equity clearly requires it to be done. 1 Hence, in determining the application 51 of the doctrine, the counterequities of the parties are entitled to due consideration. 2 It is available only in

defense of a legal or equitable right or claim made in good faith and can never be asserted to uphold crime,

fraud, injustice, or wrong of any character. 3 Estoppel is to be applied against wrongdoers, not against the

victim of a wrong, 4 although estoppel is never employed as a means of inflicting punishment for an unlawful

or wrongful act. 5"

1		[American Jurisprudence 2d, Estoppel and Waiver, §28: Basis, function, and purpose]
2 3		ally, the denial must come from a person who has personal knowledge, delegated authority to make such a denial, and denial must be signed under penalty of perjury as required by <u>26 U.S.C.</u> §6065.
4	<u>AD</u>	MISSIONS/QUESTIONS:
5 6	1.	Admit that Social Security Numbers and Social Security Cards are the property of the U.S. government and not the person in possession of them:
7 8 9 10		Title 20: Employees' Benefits PART 422—ORGANIZATION AND PROCEDURES Subpart B—General Procedures § 422.103 Social security numbers.
11 12 13 14		(d) Social security number cards. A person who is assigned a social security number will receive a social security number card from SSA within a reasonable time after the number has been assigned. (See §422.104 regarding the assignment of social security number cards to aliens.) Social security number cards are the property of SSA and must be returned upon request.
15		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
16 17	2.	Admit that because Social Security Numbers and Social Security Cards are the property of the U.S. government, then they constitute property devoted to a "public purpose" or "public uses":
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		"Public purpose. In the law of taxation, eminent domain, etc., this is a term of classification to distinguish the objects for which, according to settled usage, the government is to provide, from those which, by the like usage, are left to private interest, inclination, or liberality. The constitutional requirement that the purpose of any tax, police regulation, or particular exertion of the power of eminent domain shall be the convenience, safety, or welfare of the entire community and not the welfare of a specific individual or class of persons [such as, for instance, federal benefit recipients as individuals]. "Public purpose" that will justify expenditure of public money generally means such an activity as will serve as benefit to community as a body and which at same time is directly related function of government. Pack v. Southwestern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co., 215 Tenn. 503, 387 S.W.2d. 789, 794.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34		The term is synonymous with governmental purpose. As employed to denote the objects for which taxes may be levied, it has no relation to the urgency of the public need or to the extent of the public benefit which is to follow; the essential requisite being that a public service or use shall affect the inhabitants as a community, and not merely as individuals. A public purpose or public business has for its objective the promotion of the public health, safety, morals, general welfare, security, prosperity, and contentment of all the inhabitants or residents within a given political division, as, for example, a state, the sovereign powers of which are exercised to promote such public purpose or public business." [Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 1231, Emphasis added]
35		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
36 37	3.	Admit that the number assigned by the Social Security Administration called a Social Security Number is created, owned, reissued, and controlled exclusively by the Social Security Administration.
38		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
39 40	4.	Admit that only public "employees" or contractors on official duty can lawfully possess, use, or control public property or property devoted to a "public use".
41		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
42 43	5.	Admit that possession or use of a Social Security Number or Social Security Card constitutes prima facie evidence that the person in possession is acting in an official capacity as "federal personnel":
44 45 46		<u>TITLE 5</u> > <u>PART I</u> > <u>CHAPTER 5</u> > <u>SUBCHAPTER II</u> > § 552a § 552a. Records maintained on individuals

1		(a) Definitions.— For purposes of this section—
2 3 4 5		(13) the term "Federal personnel" means officers and employees of the Government of the United States, members of the uniformed services (including members of the Reserve Components), individuals entitled to receive immediate or deferred retirement benefits under any retirement program of the Government of the United States (including survivor benefits).
6		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
7	6.	Admit that a private person who uses public property called a Social Security Number and Social Security Card for
8		personal benefit is committing the crime of impersonating a public officer in violation of <u>18 U.S.C. §912</u> :
9 10		<u>TITLE 18 > PART I</u> > <u>CHAPTER 43</u> > § 912 § 912. Officer or employee of the United States
11 12 13 14		Whoever falsely assumes or pretends to be an officer or employee acting under the authority of the United States or any department, agency or officer thereof, and acts as such, or in such pretended character demands or obtains any money, paper, document, or thing of value, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.
15		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
16	7.	Admit that it is illegal to use public property for a <i>private</i> purpose or private benefit:
17 18		<u>TITLE 18</u> > <u>PART I</u> > <u>CHAPTER 11</u> > § 208 § 208. Acts affecting a personal financial interest
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		(a) Except as permitted by subsection (b) hereof, whoever, being an officer or employee of the executive branch of the United States Government, or of any independent agency of the United States, a Federal Reserve bank director, officer, or employee, or an officer or employee of the District of Columbia, including a special Government employee, participates personally and substantially as a Government officer or employee, through decision, approval, disapproval, recommendation, the rendering of advice, investigation, or otherwise, in a judicial or other proceeding, application, request for a ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest, or other particular matter in which, to his knowledge, he, his spouse, minor child, general partner, organization in which he is serving as officer, director, trustee, general partner or employee, or any person or organization with whom he is negotiating or has any arrangement concerning prospective employment, has a financial [or personal/private] interest—
29		Shall be subject to the penalties set forth in section 216 of this title.
30		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
31 32	8.	Admit that the Social Security Number is primarily used to control those who participate, and that those who participate have no control or ownership over how the government uses or discloses it.
33		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
34	9.	Admit that it is impossible to "have" a number. A number is information and one can know information but one can't
35		own it unless it is copyrighted.
36		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
37 38	10.	Admit that claiming a number or participating in Social Security guarantees NOTHING, according to the Supreme Court.
39 40 41 42 43		"We must conclude that a person covered by the Act has not such a right in benefit payments This is not to say, however, that Congress may exercise its power to modify the statutory scheme free of all constitutional restraint." [Flemming v. Nestor, 363 U.S. 603 (1960)]

2		"The Social Security system may be accurately described as a form of social insurance, enacted pursuant to "Congress' power to "spend money in aid of the `general welfare,'" Helvering v. Davis, supra, at 640, whereby
3		persons gainfully employed, and those who employ them, are taxed to permit the payment of benefits to the
4		retired and disabled, and their dependents. Plainly the expectation is that many members of the present
5		productive work force will in turn become beneficiaries rather than supporters of the program. But each
6		worker's benefits, though flowing from the contributions he made to the [363 U.S. 603, 610] national economy
7		while actively employed, are not dependent on the degree to which he was called upon to support the system by
8		taxation. It is apparent that the noncontractual interest of an employee covered by the Act cannot be soundly
9		analogized to that of the holder of an annuity, whose right to benefits is bottomed on his contractual
10		premium payments."
11		[Flemming v. Nestor, <u>363 U.S. 603</u> , 610, 80 S.Ct. 1367 (1960)]
12		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
13 14	11.	Admit that without a guaranteed benefit, anyone using a number cannot claim any legally enforceable right or entitlement or "property".
14		endicinent of property.
15		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
16	12.	Admit that the Social Security Act is found on the Social Security website at the following address:
17		http://www.ssa.gov/OP Home/ssact/comp-ssa.htm
18		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
19 20	13.	Admit that the Social Security Act is also found in the <u>U.S. Code</u> , <u>Title 42</u> , <u>Chapter 7</u> available on the web at the address below:
21		http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode42/usc_sup_01_42_10_7.html
22		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
23 24	14.	Admit that only "U.S. citizens" and "lawful permanent residents" may apply for the Social Security program. See website above and 20 CFR §422.104(a).
25		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
26	15.	Admit that the term "United States" is defined in the current Social Security act in section 1101(a)(2) as follows:
27		SEC. 1101. [42 U.S.C. 1301] (a) When used in this Act—
20		"(2) The same "Heised States" when used in a committee of the same many and the same state of the same in a same in the same state of the
28 29		"(2) The term "United States" when used in a geographical sense means, except where otherwise provided, the States."
30		[Social Security Act as of 2005, section 1101]
31		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
32	16.	Admit that the term "State" is defined in the current Social Security Act in section 1101(a)(1) as follows:
33		SEC. 1101. [42 U.S.C. 1301] (a) When used in this Act—
3/1		(1) The term 'State' except where exhausing provided includes the District of Columbia and the
34		(1) The term 'State', except where otherwise provided, includes the District of Columbia and the
35 36		Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and when used in titles IV, V, VII, XI, XIX, and XXI includes the Virgin Islands
36 37		and Guam. Such term when used in titles III, IX, and XII also includes the Virgin Islands. Such term when used in title V and in part B of this title also includes American Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Trust
31 38		in title V and in part B of this title also includes American Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. Such term when used in titles XIX and XXI also includes the Northern Mariana
39		Islands and American Samoa. In the case of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, titles I, X, and XIV, and
40		title XVI (as in effect without regard to the amendment made by section 301 of the Social Security Amendments
41		of 1972[3]) shall continue to apply, and the term 'State' when used in such titles (but not in title XVI as in effect
42		pursuant to such amendment after December 31, 1973) includes Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam.

1 2 3		Such term when used in title XX also includes the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Mariana Islands. Such term when used in title IV also includes American Samoa." [Social Security Act as of 2005, section 1101]
4		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
5	17.	Admit that states of the Union are <u>not</u> included in the above definition of either "State" or "United States".
6		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
7	18.	Admit that under the rules of statutory construction, that which is not explicitly included is excluded by implication:
8		"expressio unius, exclusio alterius"—if one or more items is specifically listed, omitted items are purposely excluded. Becker v. United States, 451 U.S. 1306 (1981)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16		"Expressio unius est exclusio alterius. A maxim of statutory interpretation meaning that the expression of one thing is the exclusion of another. Burgin v. Forbes, 293 Ky. 456, 169 S.W.2d. 321, 325; Newblock v. Bowles, 170 Okl. 487, 40 P.2d 1097, 1100. Mention of one thing implies exclusion of another. When certain persons or things are specified in a law, contract, or will, an intention to exclude all others from its operation may be inferred. Under this maxim, if statute specifies one exception to a general rule or assumes to specify the effects of a certain provision, other exceptions or effects are excluded." [Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 581]
17		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
18 19	19.	Admit that the federal government has no legislative jurisdiction within states of the Union according to the U.S. Supreme Court:
20 21 22 23		"It is no longer open to question that the general government, unlike the states, Hammer v. Dagenhart, 247 U.S. 251, 275, 38 S.Ct. 529, 3 A.L.R. 649, Ann.Cas.1918E 724, possesses no inherent power in respect of the internal affairs of the states; and emphatically not with regard to legislation." [Carter v. Carter Coal Co., 298 U.S. 238, 56 S.Ct. 855 (1936)]
24 25 26 27 28 29		"The difficulties arising out of our dual form of government and the opportunities for differing opinions concerning the relative rights of state and national governments are many; but for a very long time this court has steadfastly adhered to the doctrine that the taxing power of Congress does not extend to the states or their political subdivisions. The same basic reasoning which leads to that conclusion, we think, requires like limitation upon the power which springs from the bankruptcy clause. United States v. Butler, supra." [Ashton v. Cameron County Water Improvement District No. 1, 298 U.S. 513; 56 S.Ct. 892 (1936)]
30		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
31	20.	Admit that the Social Security Act qualifies as "legislation" as indicated in the above cites.
32		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
33 34 35	21.	Admit that participation in Social Security is voluntary for people who live outside of the District of Columbia and the territories and possessions of the "United States" as defined above because it does not and cannot apply to them absent their informed, explicit, written consent.
36		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
37 38	22.	Admit that it is ILLEGAL for the Social Security Administration to approve an application from a person who is not a "U.S. citizen" under <u>8 U.S.C. §1401</u> or lawful "permanent resident".
39 40 41 42		Title 20: Employees' Benefits PART 422—ORGANIZATION AND PROCEDURES Subpart B—General Procedures § 422.104 Who can be assigned a social security number.
43 44		(a) Persons eligible for SSN assignment. We can assign you a social security number if you meet the evidence requirements in §422.107 and you are:

Affidavit of Corporate Denial Copyright Sovereignty Education and Defense Ministry, http://sedm.org Form 02.004, Rev. 3-11-2008 16 of 18

1		(1) A United States citizen; or
2		(2) An alien lawfully admitted to the United States for permanent residence or under other authority of law
3		permitting you to work in the United States (§422.105 describes how we determine if a nonimmigrant alien is
4		permitting you to work in the United States); or permitted to work in the United States); or
5		(3) An alien who cannot provide evidence of alien status showing lawful admission to the U.S., or an alien with
6		evidence of lawful admission but without authority to work in the U.S., if the evidence described in §422.107(e)
7		does not exist, but only for a valid nonwork reason. We consider you to have a valid nonwork reason if:
8		(i) You need a social security number to satisfy a Federal statute or regulation that requires you to have a
9		social security number in order to receive a Federally-funded benefit to which you have otherwise established
10		entitlement and you reside either in or outside the U.S.; or
11		(ii) You need a social security number to satisfy a State or local law that requires you to have a social security
12		number in order to receive public assistance benefits to which you have otherwise established entitlement, and
13		you are legally in the United States.
14		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
15	23.	Admit that an illegal or unconstitutional act does not constitute an "act" of a government, but simply the act of a
16		private individual masquerading as a public officer:
10		private marviatar masquerating as a public officer.
17		" the maxim that the King can do no wrong has no place in our system of government; yet it is also true, in
18		respect to the State itself, that whatever wrong is attempted in its name is imputable to its government and not
19		to the State, for, as it can speak and act only by law, whatever it does say and do must be lawful. That which
20		therefore is unlawful because made so by the supreme law, the Constitution of the United States, is not the
20 21		word or deed of the State, but is the mere wrong and trespass of those individual persons who falsely spread
22		and act in its name."
23		"This distinction is essential to the idea of constitutional government. To deny it or blot it out obliterates the
24		line of demarcation that separates constitutional government from absolutism, free self- government based on
25		the sovereignty of the people from that despotism, whether of the one or the many, which enables the agent of
26		the state to declare and decree that he is the state; to say 'L'Etat, c'est moi.' Of what avail are written
23 24 25 26 27 28		constitutions, whose bills of right, for the security of individual liberty, have been written too often with the
28		blood of martyrs shed upon the battle-field and the scaffold, if their limitations and restraints upon power may
29		be overpassed with impunity by the very agencies created and appointed to guard, defend, and enforce them;
30		and that, too, with the sacred authority of law, not only compelling obedience, but entitled to respect? And how
31 32		else can these principles of individual liberty and right be maintained, if, when violated, the judicial tribunals are forbidden to visit penalties upon individual offenders, who are the instruments of wrong, whenever they
33		interpose the shield of the state? The doctrine is not to be tolerated. The whole frame
34		and scheme of the political institutions of this country, state and federal, protest against it. Their continued
35		existence is not compatible with it. It is the doctrine of absolutism, pure, simple, and naked, and of
36		communism which is its twin, the double progeny of the same evil birth."
37		[U.S. Supreme Court in Poindexter v. Greenhow, 114 U.S. 270, 5 S.Ct. 903 (1885)]
38		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
39	24.	Admit that an illegal or unconstitutional act is an "act" of a private individual that certainly cannot be recognized as an
40		act of any kind on the part of a legitimate government.
41 42		"An unconstitutional act is not a law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; it affords no protection; it
+2 43		creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed." [Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425 (1885)]
+3		[Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425 (1885)]
44		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
45	25.	Admit that an illegally issued Social Security Number is not a Social Security Number, but simply an illegal act that
46		cannot be recognized and certainly not benefited from by anyone exercising a lawful, constitutional function of
47		
T /		government.
48		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny

Affidavit of Corporate Denial Copyright Sovereignty Education and Defense Ministry, http://sedm.org Form 02.004, Rev. 3-11-2008

!	26.	Admit that persons born in states of the Union are "nationals" under <u>8 U.S.C. §1101(a)(21)</u> but not "citizens" under <u>8 U.S.C. §1401</u> . If you disagree, please rebut:
		Why You are a "national", "state national", and Constitutional but not Statutory Citizen, Form #05.006 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
i		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
;	27.	Admit that Affiant has stated under penalty of perjury that he is <u>neither</u> a "U.S. citizen" as defined in <u>8 U.S.C. §1401</u>
•		nor a "lawful permanent resident" as defined in 26 U.S.C. §7701(b)(1)(A).
;		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
)	28.	Admit that those who either never applied for Social Security or whose application was made by others who they never
)		authorized cannot be obligated to participate and that any number that might have been assigned under such circumstance is illegally obtained and invalid because issued without consent.
!		"An agreement [consent] obtained by duress, coercion, or intimidation is invalid, since the party coerced is not
		exercising his free will, and the test is not so much the means by which the party is compelled to execute the
		agreement as the state of mind induced. Duress, like fraud, rarely becomes material, except where a contract
		or conveyance has been made which the maker wishes to avoid. As a general rule, duress renders the contract
		or conveyance voidable, not void, at the option of the person coerced, ² and it is susceptible of ratification. Like other voidable contracts, it is valid until it is avoided by the person entitled to avoid it. ³ However, duress in the
		form of physical compulsion, in which a party is caused to appear to assent when he has no intention of doing
		so, is generally deemed to render the resulting purported contract void. 4"
		[American Jurisprudence 2d, Duress, Section 21]
		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
	29.	Admit that it is a federal crime to compel the use or disclosure of Social Security Numbers.
		TITLE 42 - THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE
		CHAPTER 7 - SOCIAL SECURITY SUBCHAPTER II - FEDERAL OLD-AGE, SURVIVORS, AND DISABILITY INSURANCE BENEFITS
		Sec. 408. Penalties
		(a) In general
		Whoever
		(8) discloses, uses, or compels the disclosure of the social security number of any person in violation of the laws
		of the United States; shall be guilty of a felony and upon conviction thereof shall be fined under title 18 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both.
		YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
	20	Additional and the second of t
	30.	Admit that all presumptions made by a public employee against a party protected by the Bill Of Rights which might prejudice those rights are unconstitutional and constitute a tort.
		"'It is apparent,' this court said in the Bailey Case (219 U.S. 239, 31 S. Ct. 145, 151) 'that a constitutional prohibition cannot be transgressed indirectly by the creation of a statutory presumption any more than it can
	¹ Bro	own v Pierce, 74 U.S. 205, 7 Wall 205, 19 L.Ed. 134

² Barnette v Wells Fargo Nevada Nat'l Bank, 270 U.S. 438, 70 L.Ed. 669, 46 S.Ct. 326 (holding that acts induced by duress which operate solely on the mind, and fall short of actual physical compulsion, are not void at law, but are voidable only, at the election of him whose acts were induced by it); Faske v Gershman, 30 Misc.2d. 442, 215 N.Y.S.2d. 144; Glenney v Crane (Tex Civ App Houston (1st Dist)) 352 S.W.2d. 773, writ ref n r e (May 16, 1962); Carroll v Fetty, 121 W Va 215, 2 SE2d 521, cert den 308 U.S. 571, 84 L.Ed. 479, 60 S.Ct. 85.

³ Faske v Gershman, 30 Misc.2d. 442, 215 N.Y.S.2d. 144; Heider v Unicume, 142 Or. 416, 20 P.2d. 384; Glenney v Crane (Tex Civ App Houston (1st Dist)) 352 S.W.2d. 773, writ ref n r e (May 16, 1962)

⁴ Restatement 2d, Contracts § 174, stating that if conduct that appears to be a manifestation of assent by a party who does not intend to engage in that conduct is physically compelled by duress, the conduct is not effective as a manifestation of assent.

1 2 3 4	be violated by direct enactment. The power to create presumptions is not a means of escape from constitutional restrictions.' If a legislative body is without power to enact as a rule of evidence a statute denying a litigant the right to prove the facts of his case, certainly the power cannot be made to emerge by putting the enactment in the guise of a rule of substantive law."
5	[Heiner v. Donnan, 285 U.S. 312 (1932)]
6	YOUR ANSWER:AdmitDeny
7	Acknowledgment:
8	I declare under penalty of perjury as required under 26 U.S.C. §6065 that the answers provided by me to the foregoing
9	questions are true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge and ability, so help me God. I also declare that these
10	answers are completely consistent with each other and with my understanding of both the Constitution of the United States
11	Internal Revenue Code, Treasury Regulations, the Internal Revenue Manual, and the rulings of the Supreme Court but no
12	necessarily lower federal courts.
13	Name (print):
14	Signature:
15	Date:
16	Witness name (print):
17	Witness Signature:
18	Witness Date: