

QUESTIONS FOR AUTHORITIES AND BUREAUCRATS

The questions in this article are created as a relatively simple yet powerful means of challenging and defending one self against the assumptions, opinions, beliefs, demands and claims of all so-called "authority," "officials," "police," "judges" and bureaucrats in general. These questions can be modified and adapted to almost any type of situation, event or circumstance involving interaction between human beings.

The questions are based on common sense, reason and the principle of placing the lawful burden of proof on any human who attempts to impose or force their conscience, will and beliefs against another human through allegations, assumptions, claims and demands without satisfactory factual proof.

A person does not need to be an expert in "law" to effectively use these questions. If we allow our selves to become entrapped and bogged down with "legal" gibberish and "semantic manipulation," then we become helplessly entangled in the political and legal systems' games of control. The hidden self-appointed ruling elite primarily relies on the illusionary power of "political" and "legal" terminology and words to manipulate and control the thoughts, emotions and lives of humankind.

The majority of human beings are unaware that *all* so-called "statutes," "Acts," and "laws" are essentially the **written subjective will and opinions and beliefs** of certain humans known as "politicians" and "legislators (lawyers)." All human made "law" is fundamentally "contract law." All human beings have an unlimited natural and common law right of *free will choice* to contract or not contract with anyone else.

All so-called "democratic" political and legal systems authority, power and jurisdiction relies entirely on the *voluntary consent* of the people – individually and collectively. Without consent or agreement there would be dictatorship, tyranny, oppression, subjugation, coercion, duress, extortion and blackmail to name a few. Naturally, all these acts are criminal offences.

I believe that demanding factual proof or "evidence in fact" through questions; asserting your sovereignty as a free will human being; claiming and living your unalienable natural/divine law, common law and constitutional rights, and challenging the presumed authority and jurisdiction of all courts and officials/bureaucrats over your life, liberty and property, are the simplest and most powerful tools of a free human being.

There is a legal maxim that states: "*Affirmanti non neganti incumbit probatio... the burden of proof is upon him who affirms, not upon him who denies.*" And since it's the fictitious, artificial entities and corporations of the "Commonwealth" and "States" making allegations, assumptions, claims and demands through their various police, authorities and bureaucrats, the burden of proof lies with them.

These powerful questions can be asked or demanded of every judge, prosecutor, police officer, official and bureaucrat who attempts to impose their will, opinions/beliefs and "laws" over you:

What facts (not opinions or beliefs) are currently within your knowledge that prove where, when, why and how... or alternatively: what facts are there proving...

- 1) Your alleged, implied or presumed authority and jurisdiction over my life and human sovereignty without my consent/agreement? Are you claiming to be my creator, lord, master or owner?
- 2) You can take control (*through coercion; force; violence*) of my life, liberty or property against my free will and consent? Are you responsible for my life? If no, then what right *and* proof do you have to interfere in my life/private affairs and decide what I can be, do and have without my consent?
- 3) You can impose (*force*) your conscience and will over me without my consent/agreement?
- 4) You can restrain or take away my unalienable *natural law* and *human right* to freedom of conscience and free will?
- 5) You can restrain or take away my unalienable *natural law* and *human right* to freedom of choice?

- 6) You can restrain or take away my unalienable *natural law* and *human right* to not consent (*dissent*) against any human laws that are deficient, repugnant to my conscience, or conflict with my natural law, common law or constitutional rights and liberties?
- 7) Any human law can bind, compel, coerce or obligate me against my conscience, free will and consent? Are you presuming I consented to the law in dispute *and* this law is applicable to me? If yes, can you factually prove it? (*Note: quoting a law does not make it magically applicable and binding!*)
- 8) You can restrain or take away my unalienable natural law, common law, constitutional and human rights of *dissent*, *political sovereignty*, *political liberty*, *peaceful political protest*, or *conscientious objection* against any deficient, repugnant, unjust or unconscionable human / political laws?
- 9) You can restrain, hinder, obstruct or take away my unalienable natural law, common law, constitutional, and human *right of passage*, *right to travel* and *right to freedom of movement* upon the earth? (*This question is used in relation to common law vehicle registration, road tolls, parking tolls.*)
- 10) Your allegation or claim I have committed a real *crime* when you lack *standing* and a valid *cause of action*? *Standing* requires “the allegation of a *personal injury* (resulting in harm, damage or loss) and a breach of duty (to a human being’s right to life, liberty or property). Who is the *human victim* in this alleged crime/offence? (*Note: an artificial fictitious entity such as a “state,” “nation,” “corporation” or “Crown” cannot be a victim or injured! They are ‘artificial persons’; not living sentient beings!*)

Note: The U.S. Supreme Court has held that the “injury alleged must be *distinct* and *palpable*, and not “abstract” or “conjectural” or “hypothetical,”... A plaintiff must allege personal injury fairly traceable to the defendant’s allegedly unlawful conduct and likely to be redressed by the requested relief.” *Allen v. Wright*, 468 U.S. 737, 751 (1984).

Use these questions with thoughtful diligence and you have practically cornered and checkmated all authority and bureaucrats. Most responses they give to these questions will be subjective opinions and beliefs - not objective facts. Courts must only deal in objective facts and factual reality. Legal maxim: “*All opinions and beliefs are subject to complete invalidation at law.*”

Any accusations, allegations, assumptions, opinions and beliefs should be turned back onto the person asserting them in the form of the aforesaid questions, and always firmly demand strict *factual* proof or “evidence in fact.” Evidence consists of facts or the testimony of independent witnesses with personal knowledge of the facts.

Of course magistrates and judges have an insurmountable problem in that all “statutes,” “Acts” and laws are the **written subjective will and opinions and beliefs** of certain humans known as “politicians,” and “legislators” (lawyers). Additionally, any case law and precedents they refer to will also be nothing more than the subjective opinions and beliefs of other judges (lawyers) subjectively interpreting the written subjective opinions and beliefs known as statutes/acts/laws!

The corporatised courts and human “magistrates” and “judges” acting within them knowingly conspire to keep this truth about “law” and human rights secretly hidden from the people, thus making many of them dishonourable and corrupt liars, frauds, impostors, charlatans and criminals. They often violate their own laws to protect the status quo and power of the current political and legal systems.

Stand your ground with strength, courage, determination and the conviction of your beliefs and spirit. Magistrates and judges are often unable or unwilling to fairly deal with a human who knows who they truly are as a God created free sovereign human, and can confidently assert their rights and freedoms. On this basis I believe a person can more often than not successfully defend themselves against the political and legal systems, and live their unalienable rights as a free man and woman.

No human or political law can automatically bind or obligate anyone against their conscience, will and consent. Humans are not the servants or slaves of the government and its laws! The government and its laws are supposed to serve and protect our rights (life, liberty, property) and interests, or they are a nullity and entitled to no respect or obedience. Always remind your public servants of this truth.