

Hi!

Here is an email with attachments I send to anyone wishing to put the gov on notice

Only a free man may make a move against the government where, the government find themselves pinned to the wall with the law.

Most people are not even remotely aware you can literally fire the government and therefore, become a non-resident existing outside the jurisdiction of municipal, provincial and federal governments.

This age old concept of free men only once existed as a nation in the entire written history of the world when the united states of America declared independence from England and shortly there after lost it when the sovereign man traded his sovereignty for citizenship in the new found British corporation called The UNITED STATES of AMERICA in 1868, where they went downhill ever since.

On the other hand, free men have existed on and off for well over a thousand years in England and a long time ago in Germany.

The only lawful, protected and enshrined forever in an Act of Parliament constitution in Canada is the Magna Carta.

Anything else is deemed by me, to be an imposter.

So far, there is no disputing that.

Any questions???..... just ask and I will let you in on what I know.

Jack

You may find something worthy of discussion in the attachments.

Here is an email with attachments that I send to anyone wishing to put the government on notice.

First off.

familiarize yourself with the attachments, especially the Freeman Interpretations.

Get all concerned and effected parties to go into default.

Send everything by registered mail as proof of service.

Cc copies to all concerned and affected parties.

I did this back in May by Canada Post Fax service.

One week later the entire Supreme Court of Canada (all nine justices) showed up unannounced in Saskatoon for a weekend workshop to discuss the problem of people representing themselves in court.

Three days after they left, the Lt. Govenor for Saskatchewan got on the plane (unannounced) and went to England to discuss the problems in his province with the Queen.

Coincidence?

Maybe, maybe not.

Jack

To whom it may concern;

I am known as Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper and as the subject line states; "I am who I say I am."

Now you may or may not catch the truth to which you are about to witness, should you take a closer look at the documents and supporting articles provided in this offer of disclosure.

Not one single lawyer, judge or monkey of the court has in fact or can, dispute the contract they all entered into.

A sovereign has no principal, save for his God.

All three levels of government from principals to agents have been afforded ample opportunity and time to discuss, dispute or simply agree by their silence.

They have chosen silence, save for one.....the main one, The Right Honourable Member of Parliament in The House of Commons for Saskatoon – Rosetown – Biggar.

By noting and acknowledging receipt of my cover letter and Notice whereas, failing to respond as required by an officer of the court, TO WIT; the notary public.

She has in effect and in fact, acquiescence to the contract presented and as such the aforementioned contract is now “law” concerning any government dealings from here on with “I” and only “I.”

I cannot claim anything for my brother, unless I return to the legal fiction LA- LA land.

You cannot count on anyone else to cut your chains free, as that is your job and yours alone.

All of my elected officials now stand as, “FIRED.”

This also applies to every single agent claiming the principals now fired.

The notary, **as an officer of the court** has issued “administrative judgements to all concerned and affected parties” and therefore, no government agent has a principal to act “for” or “against” this one certain “Freeman-on-the-Land.”

I am not subject to “ANY CIVIL LAW”, absolutely not one statute or act applies to me.

The only thing I am bound by is this: “I must not breach the peace or commit mischief or fraud in my contracts.”

Other than that, “I’m good to go.”

So far, they are holding up their end of the contract.

regards,

Jackie-Grant-Vel’oice: Harper

DOCUMENT #1



Honourable Carol Skelton, P.C., M.P.
Saskatoon-Rosetown-Biggan

PARLIAMENTARY OFFICE
376 Confederation Building
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 6A4
Tel: (613) 992-1234
Fax: (613) 992-2000
cskelton@parl.gc.ca

CONSTITUENCY OFFICE
9048 27th Street West
Saskatoon, SK S7N 0S1
TOLL FREE: 1-888-589-6515
Tel: (306) 975-6555
Fax: (306) 975-5786
saskatoon@carolskelton.ca

March 19, 2007

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Jackie Grant Veloce Harper
c/o 1040 B - 20th Street West
Saskatoon, SK

Dear Ms Harper:

This will acknowledge receipt of your cover letter dated the 30th of January 2007 and the appended Affidavit - Notice of Understand and Intent and Claim of Right.

I have noted your claim of rights and freedoms as a "Freeman-on-the-Land" as a "human being in a common law jurisdiction who lawfully revokes or denies consent to be represented and governed and exists completely free of all statutory restraints, obligations and restrictions."

Yours sincerely,

Carol Skelton

Honourable Carol Skelton, P.C., MP
Saskatoon-Rosetown-Biggan

6-3-07

THIS IS A TRUE
CERTIFIED
COPY OF THE
ORIGINAL
L. B. Liebrecht



SATELLITE OFFICE
Biggan, SK
(306) 948-2448

www.carolskelton.ca

SATELLITE OFFICE
Rosetown, SK
(306) 982-6447

**Notice of Default Judgement
And irrevocable estoppels by acquiescence**

In the matter between the;

Respondent: "The Right Honourable Member of Parliament Carol Skelton for Saskatoon-Biggan-Rosetown, in The House of Commons", and,

Claimant: "Freeman-on-the-Land:- Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper"

in regards to the Notice of Understanding and Intent And Claim of Right in the common law jurisdiction known only as "Canada",

Therefore, be it known to any and all concerned and affected parties that on this 6th day of May in the year

2007, whereas, in the absence of contest forthcoming from the respondent in the stated time and fashion as required in the affidavit sworn before me on the 31 day of January in the year 2007 and whereas, the claimant has provided proof of service by registered mail # 79 053 114 702 of aforementioned affidavit and therefore, for want of dispute or counterclaim that is required to be in my office on or before February 16, 2007, the respondent(s) having relinquished and waived all future rights to dispute the claims made by the claimant against the respondent(s), and, whereas, the claimants "claim of right", has been perfected to wit; permanent and irrevocable estoppels by acquiescence has been created barring the bringing of any charges against "Freeman-on-the-Land:- Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper" by any peace officer or prosecutor.

Therefore, I the undersigned and sealed Notary Public, acting in my capacity as an officer of the court, a member of the Notary Society in good standing, do hereby issue this Notice of Default Judgement in favour of the "Claimant".

Date May 6, 2007

WITNESS my hand and official seal the date aforesaid.

NOTARY PUBLIC *L. Burke Liebrecht*

Postal

address #7 Stewart, Saskatoon, Sask. S7L 3B5

My Commission Expires: *intended term*



Use of a Notary is for attestation and verification purposes and does not constitute adhesion, contract or change in status in any manner. All rights reserved without prejudice.

Date, January 30, 2007

To: Carol Skelton, acting as, the Right Honourable Member of Parliament for Saskatoon-Biggar-Rosetown, in The House of Commons;

Please regard this cover letter as an introduction to the Notice of Understanding and Intent And Claim of Right, which accompanies this letter.

It has come to my attention, realization and understanding through personal experience, that as a "person" and "British subject", "I" have no right to freedom of movement, freedom to travel, freedom from arbitrary and/or despotic government, no right to possess private property, no right to Justice in the courts nor the right to contract in good faith with any government in Canada.

Is this the result of "Canada" having the status of "an abandoned British warship at sea with nothing more than a salvage title open to seizure and plunder?"

As a result of coming to this understanding and my desire to be a "Freeman-on-the-Land", in "The true north strong and free", "I" am left with the only resort remaining in a common law jurisdiction whereas, my intent is to lawfully claim my rights and freedoms under "common law".

Please be advised that "I AM" aware that in your public capacity, you are currently "acting as", my representative in government by tacit (implied) consent.

And that is the reason this is directed to you.

I am quite sure you are aware that, Canada, wherein lie's the province of Saskatchewan and the city of Saskatoon, is a claimed "common law" jurisdiction.

Therefore, the Magna Carta, and Criminal Code of Canada passed in Acts of Parliament are therefore, federal law that are applicable to all claimants in the aforementioned common law jurisdiction, unless of course, they conflict with common law. Whereas, those Acts of Parliament passed, carry considerable more weight and jurisdiction, when in direct conflict with provincial statutes and therefore, set precedence over any provincial or municipal statutes enacted by any municipal council or provincial legislature.

It is not my intent to be difficult or bear any ill will, ill intent or malice towards you, acting as, M.P. for Saskatoon-Rosetown-Biggar, Saskatoon City Councillor, the Mayor for Saskatoon or the M.L.A for Riversdale and Premier or the Saskatoon Police Service.

It is however, my intent to lawfully capture, claim, secure and protect my "common law rights", as "Law" afforded "I" in numerous Acts of Parliament passed into Law.

Whereas, "I" may engage in lawful activities without fear of criminal activity or reprisal from the very people sworn to protect and serve; "Without Fear - Without Favour."

It is also my intent to dispel with any and all assumptions, preconceived notions or erroneous beliefs as to my inability or desire to fire all of you.

I am quite sure a person of your calibre and intellect will have no trouble at all coming to an understanding as to the consequences of your future actions or lack thereof concerning this one certain to be Freeman-on-the-Land.

Whom, very soon by the grace of God, none of you, being, The Right Honourable Member of Parliament Carol Skelton, The Honourable Member of the Legislature Lorne Calvert or His Worship-The Honourable Mayor for Saskatoon Donald Atchison or City Councillor Pat Lorje, nor any future titled legal fiction persons, will no longer represent "I" in government.

Nor, will you have any jurisdiction to govern or force to submit to any existing or future statute and/or Act that you or any otherperson may enact or attempt to enforce.

Society is not a prison and you are not my jailer.

My so-called Birth Certificate, being a certified extract of the original birth registration, showing my name printed in proper "Canadian Style" (Jackie Grant Veloice Harper) is evidence that the corporation known as "THE PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN" failed to create the CORPORATE LEGAL PERSON known as JACKIE GRANT VELOICE HARPER and/or HARPER, Jackie G. and therefore, has never had any legal jurisdiction over anyone with the name "JACKIE GRANT VELOICE HARPER" and/or HARPER, Jackie G. as the Crown has no contradicting evidence to the creation of the corporate person.

You may or may not be aware that no law exists in right of Canada, a province or municipality with authorized claim in commerce, over a free will man as portrayed by the certified extract issued by "THE PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN".

The door will soon be open and I am leaving.

Whereas, all of you ostentatious titled legal fictions known as, my Member of Parliament, Member of the Legislature, Mayor and City Councillor, by implied consent, for all intents and purposes are forthwith, "Fired!!"

It is well settled in law, as to the division between the creation of God (man) and the creation of the Crown (person).

And therefore, yours or any other person's jurisdiction, beginning with Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II ends where my nose begins, unless of course, "I" have "breached the peace", committed mischief or fraud in my contracts or given any of you my express written and notarized permission.

So in closing "I" bid you all fair well and may God bless you in all your endeavours.

Freeman-on-the-Land and bond servant of Christ:

Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper
All rights reserved without prejudice

P.S.

What is a Freeman-on-the-Land? A Freeman-on-the-Land is a human being in a common law jurisdiction who lawfully revokes or denies consent to be represented and governed and exists completely free of all statutory restraints, obligations and restrictions,

P.P.S

Therefore, be it known to any and all concerned:

“Unless you are prepared to stand up and claim that; “Yes indeed, you are my slave and I own you” then, I would well advise you that any future transgressions of my lawfully claimed rights and freedoms by anyone will be acted upon to the fullest extent of the remedies provided under the Law.”

DOCUMENT #4 -- Notice of Understanding and Intent

Affidavit- Notice of Understanding and Intent And Claim of Right

Respondent: Carol Skelton, The Right Honourable Member of Parliament for Saskatoon-Biggar-Rosetown, in The House of Commons.

**Claimant: Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice:
Harper.**

Whereas it is my understanding Canada is a

common law jurisdiction, and,

Whereas it is my understanding equality before the law is paramount and mandatory, and,

Whereas it is my understanding a statute is defined as a legislated rule of society which has been given the force of law, and,

Whereas it is my understanding a society is defined as a number of people joined by mutual consent to deliberate, determine and act for a common goal, and,

Whereas it is my understanding the only form of government recognized as lawful in Canada is a representative one, and,

Whereas it is my understanding representation requires mutual consent, and,

Whereas it is my understanding that in the absence of mutual consent neither representation nor governance can exist, and,

Whereas it is my understanding all Acts are statutes restricted in scope and applicability by the Constitution Act, and,

Whereas it is my understanding Section 32 of the Constitution Act limits it to members and employees of government, and,

Whereas it is my understanding those who have a SIN (Social Insurance Number) are in fact employees of the federal government and thus are bound by the statutes created by the federal government, and,

Whereas it is my understanding that it is lawful to abandon one's SIN, and,

Whereas it is my understanding men and women in Canada have a right to revoke or deny consent to be represented and thus governed, and,
Whereas it is my understanding if anyone does revoke or deny consent they exist free of government control and statutory restraints, and,
Whereas a Freeman-on-the-Land has lawfully revoked consent and does exist free of statutory restrictions, obligations, and limitations, and,
Whereas I, Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper am a Freeman-on-the-Land, and,
Whereas it is my understanding that acting peacefully within community standards does not breach the peace, and,
Whereas it is my understanding that any action for which one can apply for and receive a license must itself be a fundamentally lawful action, and,
Whereas as I am a Freeman-on-the-Land who operates with full responsibility and not a child, I do not see the need to ask permission to engage in lawful and peaceful activities, especially from those who claim limited liability, and,
Whereas it is my understanding a by-law is defined as a rule of a corporation, and,
Whereas it is my understanding corporations are legal fictions and require contracts in order to claim authority or control over other parties, and,
Whereas it is my understanding legal fictions lack a soul and cannot exert any control over those who are thus blessed and operate with respect to that knowledge as only a fool would allow soulless fictions to dictate ones actions, and,
Whereas it is my understanding peace officers have a duty to distinguish between statutes and law and those who attempt to enforce statutes against a Freeman-on-the-Land are in fact breaking the law, and,
Whereas I have the power to refuse intercourse or interaction with peace officers who have not observed me breach the peace, and,
Whereas permanent estoppel by acquiescence barring any peace officer or prosecutor from bringing charges against a Freeman-on-the-Land under any Act is created if this claim is not responded to in the stated fashion and time,

Therefore be it now known to any and all concerned and

affected parties, that I, Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper a Freeman-on-the-Land do

hereby state clearly, specifically and unequivocally my intent to peacefully and lawfully;

(1) Exercise my "common law right to travel", unhindered, unencumbered at my discretion in my private conveyance of the day, to wit; my private, unregistered, unlicensed automobile.

(2) Exercise my God given right to travel as stated in the Queen's Bible.

(3) Exercise my "common law right" to refuse to, obtain by submit ion; any application for any government issued license, permit or seek permission to perform any fundamentally lawful action or, enter into any government contract under duress, threat and /or intimidation which would involve committing an act of fraud and /or theft, or any other crime, by way of deception by "I" and /or any involved government principal, employee or agent. (in compliance with my Common Law Rights, the Magna Charta and the Criminal Code of Canada.)

I claim that pursuant to any action by any government and/or any principal, member, employee, agent, servant, person thereof in Right of Canada, a province, or municipality; "I reserve my right not to be compelled to perform under any contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally. And I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed contract or commercial agreement, which are my rights pursuant to Common Law".

Furthermore,

I claim that these actions are not outside my community's standards and will in fact support said community in our desire for truth and maximum freedom.

Furthermore,

I claim the right to engage in these actions and further claim that all property held by me under common law being;

any and all intellectual property, real estate, trade tools, private automobile(s) and contents; contents at the private posted residence known as 1040-B 20th, Street West, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan are held under claim of right as mentioned in the Criminal Code of Canada.

Furthermore,

I claim that, the Crowns claim of; “All property reverts to the Crown for want of a competent heir”, as referred to in the escheats act, stands as a lawful claim and whereas, Canada is an insolvency, an estate, where everything is owned by God and currently held in trust under the Crown until a competent heir(s) shows up and lays a lawful claim of jurisdiction.

Furthermore,

I claim that the corporation known as “THE CROWN” and/or “HER MAJESTY QUEEN ELIZABETH II” and all human beings, acting as, persons, holding the subordinate position, [office of a person] in Canada are in fact deemed incompetent by all legal means and therefore require a legal trustee (third party to an action), to legally represent the incompetent one to any and all other corporate persons such as “The Courts of Saskatchewan” and/or “Government of Saskatchewan” etc.

Furthermore,

I claim that the intentional blurring of the lines with smoke and mirrors, deception, outright lie’s and too numerous to mention false claims as to the well settled division, between the Crown created legal entity known as the “PERSON” and the flesh and blood creation of the Creator known as a “man”, is nothing short of theft, fraud, breach of trust and forced slavery, a heinous criminal activity of the most odious form.

Furthermore,

I claim that “all persons, acting as, governments, principals, employees, agents and justice system participants claiming, “retained legal counsel” have, by virtue of their own and/or their principals actions, claimed “total incompetence”, in handling any of their own affairs in law and have become an instant ward of the court, hence, they are imprisoned by their own actions in hand or lack thereof.

Furthermore,

I claim that due to the self evident and the facts in truth at hand, that all persons, the Crown, governments, principals, employees, agents and justice system participants claiming limited liability or immunity are doing so under the pretence of being in fact deemed totally incompetent and under law made instant wards of the crown and/or court and therefore, cannot claim good faith or colour of right over anyone who is thus blessed to being a competent heir.

Furthermore,

I claim that, “Ignorance of the Law” is not a lawful or legal claim when used by the Crown, government principals, employees, agents and justice system participants at any and all levels to my harm or detriment, especially by those claiming limited liability.

Furthermore,

I claim that anyone who interferes with my lawful activities after having been served notice of this claim and who fails to properly dispute or make lawful counterclaim is breaking the law, cannot claim good faith or colour of right and that such transgressions will be dealt with in a properly convened court de jure.

Furthermore,

I claim that the courts in Saskatchewan are de-facto and bound by the Law and Equity Act and are in fact in the profitable business of conducting, witnessing and facilitating the transactions of security interests and I further claim they require the consent of both parties prior to providing any such services.

Furthermore,

I claim all transactions of security interests require the consent of both parties and I do hereby deny consent to any transaction of a security interest issuing under any Act for as herein stated as a Freeman-on-the-Land I am not subject to any Act.

Furthermore,

I claim my FEE SCHEDULE for any transgressions by peace officers, government principals or agents or justice system participants is TWO HUNDRED DOLLARS PER HOUR or portion thereof if being questioned, interrogated or in any way detained, harassed or otherwise regulated and TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS PER HOUR or portion thereof if I am handcuffed, transported, incarcerated or subjected to any adjudication process without my express written and Notarized consent.

Furthermore

I claim the universal maxim of law to wit; “the partner (government) of my partner (agent: JACKIE GRANT HARPER) is not my partner (Freeman-on-the-Land)” applies herein and is in full force and effect.

Furthermore

I claim the law of agent and principal applies and that service upon one is service upon both.

Furthermore,

I claim the right to use a Notary Public to secure payment of the aforementioned FEE SCHEDULE against any transgressors who by their actions or omissions harm me or my interests, directly or by proxy in any way.

Furthermore,

I claim the right to convene a proper court de jure in order to address any potentially criminal actions of any peace officers, government principals or agents or justice system participants who having been served notice of this claim fail to dispute or discuss or make lawful counterclaim and then interfere by act or omission with the lawful exercise of properly claimed and established rights and freedoms.

Furthermore,

I claim the right to deal with any counterclaims or disputes publicly and in an open forum using discussion and negotiation and to capture on video tape said discussion and negotiation for whatever lawful purpose as I see fit.

Affected parties wishing to dispute the claims made herein or make their own counterclaims must respond appropriately within FOURTEEN (14) days of service of notice of this action.

Reponses must be under Oath or attestation, upon full commercial liability and penalty of perjury and registered in the Notary Office herein provided no later than _____.

Failure to register a dispute against the claims made herein will result in an automatic default judgement and permanent and irrevocable estoppel by acquiescence barring the bringing of charges under any statute or Act against Freeman-on-the-Land Jackie-Grant-Vel’oice: Harper.

Place of claim of right: Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada

Dated: ___January 29, 2007_____

claimant’s agent]

Claimant [or

Witness:

Address

Notary Public: _____

To register

counterclaims and disputes:

NOTARY PUBLIC

ADDRESS _____

ATTN: Freeman-on-the-Land _____ Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper _____

Use of a Notary is for attestation and verification purposes and does not constitute adhesion, contract or change in status in any manner. All rights reserved without prejudice.

Qui bene interrogat, bene docet. He who questions well, learns well. 3 Buls. 227.

Qui bene distinguit, bene docet. He who distinguishes well, learns well. 2 Co. Inst. 470.

DOCUMENT #5 -- Chief of Police Document

May 1, 2007

Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper

c/o 1040-B 20th. Street
West

Saskatoon, Sask.
Clive Weighill
Chief of Police
for the city of Saskatoon
Dear Sir,

I wish to seek clarification on a few issues of concern to me.

I wish to uphold the law and follow the rule of law as I'm sure all of us need to.

Therefore,

Without assumption, I seek clarification as to; "how your peace officers, in your employ and under your command, intend to proceed, (not having observed me breach the peace) upon stopping me while exercising my common law right to travel in my private conveyance of the day to wit; my private unregistered, unlicensed automobile, held under a claim of right in the common law jurisdiction known only as, Canada."

Before you consider any response, please be advised that I have lawfully revoked consent to being represented and thus governed at all four levels of government (city counsellor, mayor, MLA and M.P.) Therefore, I also seek clarification as to: "who" do you claim as your principal that you claim to act under or your peace officers claim to act under, in upholding or enforcing statutes against a sovereign and Freeman-on-the-Land, a man with no lawful government?

Also, please take under consideration that, only in a common law jurisdiction can one lawfully, "outlaw the government" and as my former member of Parliament Carol Skelton stated in a letter sent to me from the House of Commons dated March 19, 2007, quote, " I have noted your claim of rights and freedoms as a "Freeman-on-the-Land" as a "human being in a common law jurisdiction who lawfully revokes or denies consent to be represented and governed and exists completely free of all statutory restraints, obligations and restrictions." Unquote.

I think you can dispatch with any assumption of counting on her and the federal government, as a claimed principal, also if you wish to consult with my ex-mayor Donald Atchison or city counsellor Pat Lorje and MLA Lorne Calvert you may also take note that they too, have no desire or wish to claim ownership of any Freeman as a corporate slave.

Now, in the future your armed agents may witness a certain, Freeman-on-the-Land, exercising his common law right to travel in his conveyance of the day to wit: "his private, unlicensed, unregistered automobile" identified only by the sign on the back, "Sovereign Ca."

I wish to make something perfectly clear, so there is no confusion on the side of the road and that is simply this; "I am not questioning yours or any law enforcement officers authority or jurisdiction concerning, "persons", "drivers", "motor vehicles", "applications" or "submit ions" for privileges such as "licensing", "registration", "permits", the 60,000,000 statutes, rules or regulations in existence, as

these only apply to the sheep on board the ship of fools under admiralty law who have agreed and need to be represented and thus governed.”

Therefore, upon providing identification as peace officers operating in a common law jurisdiction, whose duty it is, to recognize the difference between law and societies rules (statutes), whereas, their jurisdiction and authority may be questioned as to my being a member of the society they wish to enforce their rules (statutes) on.

Please be advised that “I” am not in possession of a driver’s license, motor vehicle registration, health card, fishing license, gun permit, license for my cat, license for my church, SIN card, bank account, lawful invoice from any crown corporation, library card, BAR card, bus pass, bike license, welfare check, license or permit for my occupation, GST #, PST # nor any other privilege that would tie or connect me to an equity position to the municipal, provincial or federal government, save for my birth certificate, a certified extract, which isevidence that the PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN, failed to create the corporate person “JACKIE GRANT VELOICE HARPER” and/or “HARPER, Jackie G.”, as portrayed by the style of writing on the aforementioned document.

Your legal counsel, Greg Baines, has in his possession a photo copy of it and if anyone attempts, upon presentation and notification to not alter the style of writing on it and then enter it into the CPIC or on a ticket or any other unsolicited Bill of Exchange in an attempt to create joinder for theirs or anyone’s financial gain, then, is that not, a blatant act of fraud under the Personal Property Security Act? Or, should I just exercise my common law right and refuse to give them my name with no consideration, no payment and no guarantee to cause no harm to or devalue the name, (an intangible asset of immeasurable value-according to the PPSA), forthcoming from your officers?

Please clarify.

Furthermore,

Whereas it is my understanding that: “A workman is worthy of his hire” (Exodus 20:15; Lev. 19:13; Matt. 10:10; Luke 10:7; II Tim. 2:6. Legal maxim: "It is against equity for freemen not to have the free disposal of their own property."), and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: “All are equal under the Law” (God’s Law--Ethical and Natural Law). (Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24:17-21; Deut. 1:17, 19:21; Matt., 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. Legal maxims: "No one is above the law."; "Commerce, by the law of nations, ought to be common, and not to be converted into a monopoly and the private gain of a few."), and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: “In Commerce truth is sovereign” (Exodus 20:16; Ps. 117:2; Matt. 6:33, John 8:32; II Cor. 13:8. Legal maxim: "To lie is to go against the mind." and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: “Truth is expressed by means of an affidavit” (Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 6:3-5; Lev 19:11-13; Num. 30:2; Matt. 5:33; James 5:12), and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: “An un rebutted affidavit stands as the truth in Commerce” (1 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15. Legal maxim: "He who does not deny, admits."), and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: “An un rebutted affidavit becomes the judgment in Commerce” (Heb. 6:16-17. Any proceeding in a court, tribunal, or arbitration forum consists of a contest, or "duel," of commercial affidavits wherein the points remaining un rebutted in the end stand as the truth and the matters to which the judgment of the law is applied.), and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: “A matter must be expressed to be resolved” (Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21. Legal maxim: "He who fails to assert his rights has none."), and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: “He who leaves the field of battle first loses by Default” (Book of Job; Matt. 10:22. Legal maxim: "He who does not repel a wrong when he can, occasions it."), and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: “Sacrifice is the measure of credibility”

(One who is not damaged, put at risk, or willing to swear an oath that he consents to claim against his commercial liability in the event that any of his statements or actions is groundless or unlawful, has no basis to assert claims or charges and forfeits all credibility and right to claim authority.) (Acts 7, life/death of Stephen, maxim: "He who bears the burden ought also to derive the benefit."), and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: "A lien or claim can be satisfied only through rebuttal by Counter-affidavit point-for-point, resolution by jury, or payment" (Gen. 2-3; Matt. 4; Revelation. Legal maxim: "If the plaintiff does not prove his case, the defendant is absolved."), and,

Whereas it is my understanding that: The respondent(s) for the crown, being Carol Skelton P.C. M.P., Lorne Calvert Saskatchewan NDP MLA, Mayor for Saskatoon Donald Atchison and Pat Lorje City Counsellor for Ward 2 having been duly served by registered mail, a notarized sworn affidavit under notary protest by an officer of the court and forthwith failed to file a rebuttal, dispute or counter claim with the Notary and thereby dishonoured an officer of the court and therefore having left the field of battle first, lost by default. Whereas, failure to register a dispute against the claims made therein has resulted in an automatic default judgement and permanent irrevocable estoppel by acquiescence has been created barring the bringing of charges under any statute or Act against Freeman-on-the-Land:-Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper.

I respectfully await your clarification on this issue as to who, you or your agents under your command, lawfully claim as your principal in acting for or against a certain "Freeman-on-the-Land."

In Pure Trust and without malice, aforethought, ill will, vexation or frivolity, Freeman-on-the-Land and bond servant of, Yah'shua the Messiah.

Yours truly,
p.s.

Please be advised that failure to respond in a timely fashion to this offer will result in a Notarized affidavit of "Notice of Demand seeking Clarification" being served upon your person.

cc:

- filed at the Court of Queen's Bench Registrar
- Gordon L. Barnhart, Lieutenant Governor for Saskatchewan
- Pat Lorje, City Councillor, Ward 2
- Donald J. Atchison, Mayor for Saskatoon
- Lorne Calvert, Honourable Member of the Legislature Assembly for Saskatoon Riversdale
- Carol Skelton, Right Honourable Member of Parliament for Saskatoon-Biggar-Rosetown in The House of Commons

DOCUMENT #6 -- The Commercial Maxims of Law

The Commercial Maxims

For many people it might come as a surprise (in many cases a pleasant one) if they were informed that essentially all of the law of the world is founded on, derived from, and is a function of ten simple, essential, and fundamental Commercial Maxims seven (7) basic ones plus three (3) corollaries. These foundational principles/axioms underlie all of man's law. Notwithstanding the vastness and complexity of the law today, it is safe to say that all of the world's law is fundamentally a function of the ten Commercial Maxims. Although the dazzling complexity and ever-changing forms, parameters, and labels obfuscate this fact, the essence of the matter remains intact.

The Commercial Maxims constitute the basic rules involved in preventing and resolving disputes,

including relating in life and commercial affairs as if disputes might arise and written proof of one's position, in time and content, must be securely established. **Although commerce is usually thought of as "buying, selling, and trading," all of man's interactions with his fellow man are considered as being "commerce."** Commerce encompasses all relationships between people.

Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition, for instance, defines "commerce" as follows: Commerce. "The exchange of goods, productions, or property of any kind; the buying, selling, and exchanging of articles.... Intercourse by way of trade and traffic between different peoples or states...including not only the purchase, sale, and exchange of commodities, but also the instrumentalities and agencies by which it is promoted and the means and appliances by which it is carried on, and transportation of persons as well as of goods, both by land and sea.... Also interchange of ideas, sentiments, etc., as between man and man." Page 244.

The Commercial Maxims codify the fundamental principles/maxims of law and commerce upon which man's law and governments have operated on this planet for at least the past 4-6 thousand years. They constitute, as it were, the rules of the game. Part of the grief of mankind today is that the vast, overwhelming percentage of the populace does not know the basic rules of the game they are playing and are hence incapable of playing it. If one who does not know the rules of a game is playing that game with others who are masters of the rules, the outcome is a foregone conclusion: the one who knows the rules wins the game while the one who does not know the rules necessarily loses. Such is the state of the world.

Elucidating the underlying, fundamental rules so that one understands what is going on helps greatly in "leveling the playing field." These rules, therefore, are set forth below with the understanding that they operate within the context and setting of the universal Underlying Principles. **The Commercial Maxims are the most basic, enduring, and minimalist codification of universal, real law extant on earth.**

They are very simple, largely self-evident, and based on common sense. The Jews, for instance, have studied, analyzed, practiced, and refined Commercial Law, founded on these Maxims, for thousands of years. This continuous, relentless, single-minded absorption in the law over millennia has "worked the bugs out." Every angle, facet, ramification, application, and nuance of practice of Commercial Law has been seasoned over time, and is deeply and thoroughly known by those who "own, run, and rule the world."

Indeed, the "Elite" are precisely where they are because they do know this fundamental law,

because it is real, that it must work, always works, and it is impossible for it not to work, since it is grounded in natural law. Those who do not know and use the law by which everything functions necessarily and always lose. This esoteric truth must be obscured and concealed from the "masses" by every means possible. Otherwise, those who would rule mankind would have no way of obtaining their positions of power, privilege, and plunder (all of which are frauds). By knowing and using the law themselves and keeping the knowledge of such law from the masses, the people are deliberately rendered defenceless, confused, emasculated, dependent, helpless "sheeple," considered as existing for the purpose of being exploited, herded, sheered, gelded, and slaughtered at will.

The Powers That Be thus achieve and operate their monopoly on "law" (the very thought is absurd, like stating one has a monopoly on light or life), by propagandizing the lie that law is so complex, esoteric, obtuse, vast, and confusing that only they and their hatchet men called "attorneys" and "judges" can administer it. The law is "mystified," made into some kind of quasi-religious cult, operated by a high priesthood that alone has the knowledge and authority for operating the resulting "legal system" that rules the life of man. Law must be transformed into a "closed union shop" such as the Bar Association, into whose hands the people must entrust their "lives, fortunes, and sacred honour" without availability of alternative sources of remedy and redress of grievances. Where can one go for relief when the fox guards the henhouse?

If the so-called "Rulers of the World" did not withhold from general understanding the knowledge that the foundational principles of real law are few in number and easily mastered by everyone, and that all of the documents and instruments used in all law and commerce are likewise few in number and comprehensible to laymen, such con men would have to abandon their aristocratic "titles of nobility" and find real jobs based on genuine productivity,

95
contribution, and "win-win" interactions with their fellow man. It is empowering and exhilarating to understand that the ever-changing, monstrous vastness of "law" can be distilled into a handful of universal principles that can be contained on a 3" X 5" card, and that all of the legal documents and instruments functioning today can be mastered by nearly anyone.

Attorneys and Judges deliberately conceal the fact that the only significance inhering in court cases and statutes consists of the simple and universal principles of commercial law codified by the Maxims. All legal documents, proceedings, and processes are obscured by re-naming and mislabelling said documents and processes in accordance with whatever degrees of multiplicity and

complexity are needed for preserving its inaccessible aloofness. Law is made diffuse, enormously complex, and allegedly far beyond the ken of regular folks. With knowledge of the truth underlying all of that misdirection and deception, i.e. seeing through the Wizard's Light Show, you can understand what is happening and place yourself in a position of mastery of the situation instead of being relegated to the status of a confused, helpless victim forever in the dark and at the mercy of those who exploit your ignorance of the rules and processes by which law (i.e. organized, deadly force) operates. In short, **"Know the truth and the truth shall make you free."**

As mentioned above, the word "commerce" encompasses all interactions and interchanges between people, including exchanges of such **"noncommercial"** things as **"ideas, sentiments, etc."** The fundamental principles and precepts of universal commercial law that have for millennia formed the underpinnings of civilized law on this planet are both biblical and non-biblical, i.e. their truth and validity is a function of themselves and the long-accepted usage and practice by many cultures and peoples, in diverse forms, throughout the world for thousands of years. These fundamental Maxims of Commerce, which underlie all commercial documents, instruments, and processes, are enumerated herewith **(with biblical references in parenthesis)**:

1. A workman is worthy of his hire (Exodus 20:15; Lev. 19:13; Matt. 10:10; Luke 10:7; II Tim. 2:6. Legal maxim: "It is against equity for freemen not to have the free disposal of their own property.").
2. All are equal under the Law (God's Law--Ethical and Natural Law). (Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24:17-21; Deut. 1:17, 19:21; Matt., 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. Legal maxims: "No one is above the law."; "Commerce, by the law of nations, ought to be common, and not to be converted into a monopoly and the private gain of a few.").
3. In Commerce truth is sovereign (Exodus 20:16; Ps. 117:2; Matt. 6:33, John 8:32; II Cor. 13:8. Legal maxim: "To lie is to go against the mind.").
4. Truth is expressed by means of an affidavit (Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 6:3-5; Lev 19:11-13; Num. 30:2; Matt. 5:33; James 5:12).
5. An un rebutted affidavit stands as the truth in Commerce (1 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15. Legal maxim: "He who does not deny, admits.").
6. An un rebutted affidavit becomes the judgment in Commerce (Heb. 6:16-17. Any proceeding in a court, tribunal, or arbitration forum consists of a contest, or "duel," of commercial affidavits wherein the points remaining un rebutted in the end stand as the truth and the matters to which the judgment of the law is applied.).
7. A matter must be expressed to be resolved (Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21. Legal maxim: "He who fails to assert his rights has none.").
8. He who leaves the field of battle first loses by

default (Book of Job; Matt. 10:22. Legal maxim: "He who does not repel a wrong when he can, occasions it.").

9. Sacrifice is the measure of credibility

(One who is not damaged, put at risk, or willing to swear an oath that he consents to claim against his commercial liability in the event that any of his statements or actions is groundless or unlawful, has no basis to assert claims or charges and forfeits all credibility and right to claim authority.) (Acts 7, life/death of Stephen, maxim: "He who bears the burden ought also to derive the benefit.").

10. A lien or claim can be satisfied only through rebuttal by Counter-affidavit point-for-point, resolution by jury, or payment (Gen. 2-3; Matt. 4; Revelation. Legal maxim: "If the plaintiff does not prove his case, the defendant is absolved.").

DOCUMENT #7 -- Free-man-on-the-Land claimed Interpretations 2006

Free-man-on-the-Land claimed Interpretations 2006

The following interpretations are for the benefit of the reader, respondent, and accused including, but not limited to any and all who may read this or any other correspondence from a certain Free-man-on-the-Land:-Jackie-Grant-Vel'oice: Harper

Pharisees: one of an ancient Jewish sect who believed in the validity of the oral law and in the free interpretation of the written law, a self righteous or hypocritical PERSON.

In reference to today, this person could be a: "Premier, a.k.a (Territorial Pharisees), or a Justice Minister (Federal or Provincial) or a Mayor of a City (municipal Pharisees).

Scribe: an interpreter of law. A lawyer, mercenaries, mouthpieces, without a mind of their own. A paid-for-profit-prophet. A dramatist, able to assume another persons persona. (for a fee)

Para-scribe: an unscrupulous scribe.

JACKIE GRANT VELOICE HARPER:

A legal entity created by the PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN and later transferred to the Federal government upon registration and receipt of a SIN (social insurance number)

HARPER, Jackie G.: A nom-deguerre, meaning "name of war, usually used by terrorists and enemies of the state."

Jackie HARPER: Same as above, with the exception of certain rights and/or privileges removed.

Jackie Grant Veloice: Harper: A human being. A free will man without person (unseen, unheard, to which the government cannot legally act, for or against.) (check all of your government issued “plastic, statements, checks and any other demands or benefits it bestows on its subjects, i.e. slaves).

PERSON:

A fraud.

A masked player on stage.

A legal fiction creation to coincide with a human being.

One that is assigned certain revocable rights, privileges and duties.

Not a human being.

One's persona that a lawyer re-presents to the court.

A person cannot read, walk, talk, fart or soil their diaper and is therefore deemed totally incompetent and thus needs a “legal trustee” to represent the incompetent one to all other parent (crown) corporate persons

All acts and statutes apply only to PERSONS and not human beings.

“PERSON”, a legal entity assigned to a human being.

One human being may have many ‘persons.’

A Police Officer, Lawyer, Mayor and Doctor would be deemed a “titled legal fiction person” for all intents and purposes.

The government or society cannot act for or against a human being, but only for or against the ‘Person’ as a government or society is a Corporate Body Politic; it lacks a living soul and is thus deemed legally dead, thereby existing only on paper or in your mind.

Only by your submit ion can they claim power over you.

Most, if not all, titled legal fiction person's operate under limited liability and as such, cannot be held fully accountable for their actions.

All persons are deemed to be; “Corporations” in Canada by Federal, Provincial and Municipal governments.

All Corporations fall under the “Corporations Act” (all registered churches are corporations with Christ removed) and are thus bound to obey all the 60,000,000 by-laws, statutes, regulations, etc. in existence as they see fit

on any given day.

PERSON

- [from the Latin persona; per (through or by) and sonus (sound), a latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on a stage; a pretender; a disguise; a fiction; a fake; a fraud]

- a TRADE NAME or ?mask? for the sentient, living being who uses it and assigns themselves as various forms of CHATTEL such as a VOTER, a TAXPAYER, a CITIZEN, an OFFICER, a PUBLIC VESSEL, a FLAG, etc.

- a LEGAL entity with LEGAL rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "PERSONS" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons" which, in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as PARTNERSHIPS, CORPORATIONS or ASSOCIATIONS. - from DUHAIME'S LEGAL DICTIONARY

Note: In order to truly be a faithful Christian, Jew, Muslim, Buddhist, Rastafarian, etc. you must obey your faith and declare yourself in a condition of faithful incapacity of serving the devil (or the Black Robe JUDGE devil) and the Creator at the same time. Your true self is also in a condition of true incapacity of being a PERSON, since you are a live, living man or woman and a PERSON is a DEAD LEGAL FICTION (one is truly existant, one is an abstraction).

All human beings in Canada are assigned, by contract, a 'PERSON', upon completion and submission of the "Registration of Live Birth." PERSONS are requested to reaffirm their status as chattel security on a regular basis with the government. (i.e. renewal of licences, permits etc.)

Corporations may take on the same rights, privileges and duties as a person.

All persons are bonded and insured at birth.

According to the "Canadian Ownership Control and Determination Act", corporations are valued, bought and sold according to the Acts mandate, inclusive of 'Persons.'

All PERSONS are "owned slaves of someone."

Caesar: You guessed it! A leader, a self proclaimed God. I.e. President George Walker Bush.

FREE-MAN. One who is in the enjoyment of the right to do whatever he pleases, not forbidden by law. One in the possession of the civil rights enjoyed by, the people generally. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 164. See 6 Watts, 556:

Free-man-on-the-Land: A sovereign, under control of no man, not a slave.

Does not recognize, honour or accept defacto (illegitimate) authority.

Does not recognize “statutes” (society’s rules) unless they are in complete harmony with the Law.

Does not recognize Law Enforcement Officers who, upon, not having observed a breach of the peace, impersonate Peace Officers and operate under ‘colour of law’, which gives statutes the ‘force of law’ against a Freeman-on-the-Land.

Operates in a common law jurisdiction and no-where else.

A Freeman-on-the-Land retains the right and knowledge to fire any representative claiming to act as, his: M.P., MLA, Mayor, Alderman, Reeve, counsellor etc.

A Freeman-on-the-Land does not vote (to do so, you lose your sovereignty).

“A Free-man-on-the-Land is a human being in a common law jurisdiction who lawfully revokes or denies consent to be represented and governed and exists completely free of all statutory restraints, obligations and restrictions.

A Free-man-on-the-Land recognizes that a license is permission to engage in a lawful activity and realizing we are all equal, refuses to seek permission from anyone,

preferring instead to rely on claims. Anything you can do with a license within the legislative

framework you must be able to do lawfully without license outside that framework. You cannot

get a license to engage in unlawful activities, and to ask permission to engage in a lawful activity is

to be seen as a child by the one who grants that permission. Are you a child?”

Legal Trustee: The flesh and blood human being who has taken on

responsibility for the dead legal fiction ‘PERSON’, or, your

lawyerrepresenting your “person” to another legal fiction i.e. (the court or government).

Bankruptcy:

The act of handing back your financially incapable ‘PERSON’ to the government via a third party.

“Discharge” from bankruptcy: Something no Free-man should do.

Chattel: Old English for cattle, a mindless beast.

A stupefied mindless corporate slave, who may be bought and sold under the mandate of the “Canadian Ownership Determination and Control Act.”

Assumption:

The slayer of all governments.

What bottom feeders thrive on.

Cannot think outside the box.

A square heads creed.

When found in excessive use, usually results in self destruction (madness).

Has NEVER resulted in anything, but chaos.

Persons who excessively rely on assumptions almost always suffer from a lack of a sense of smell, whereas they may be standing in shit up to their upper lip and not even remotely realize it.

province: A territory. A geographical area defined by borders and held by military might.

Province: A corporation with no borders. A legal fiction entity existing only on paper or in your mind, where no human can exist, where only legal entities shuffle paper to and from other legal fictions.

PROVINCE: a non-word. A 100% corporate fiction currently posing as a fraud. (Translation Bureau of Canada: “Canadian Style”).

“PEACE OFFICER”: Same as above.

“HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN”: Same as above.

Peace Officer: obligated to distinguish between law and statutes, abide by and obey common law jurisdiction

Canada: A British colony

CANADA: A corporation, headed up by the Bank of England through its subsidiary, The Bank of Canada.

Free-man-on-the-Land’s creed:

And God said; I will provide all that you ask for:

Think!

I

will fulfil all of your needs: Think!

Imagine, dream and think are three different words with three different definitions.

You can imagine a billion dollars.

You can dream about a billion dollars.

But, if you thought about it, spent a little time thinking about it, you wouldn't know what to do with a billion dollars. Whereas,

What you can think about is a good roof over your head, your family clothed and fed.....and not have to worry about your horse and cart being

stolen.

by Free-man-on-the-Land:- Jackie-G

Therefore, I claim as of a right that;

“The reason we have definite written rules, is to combat the arguments of stupid and opinionated men.”