## **Denial of Consent to Register Children**

Saturday August 21, 2010 This is a sanitised process used by my partner and I to deny our consent to register the births of our children.

NOTICE OF DECLARATION OF DENIAL OF CONSENT TO REGISTERCHILDREN NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENTS

Dear CAROLINE SHORT-TEMPERED,

Following today's receipt of your letter dated 07 May 2010, the contents of which are hereby refused for cause without dishonour; please find enclosed a DECLARATION OF DENIAL OF CONSENT TO REGISTERCHILDREN. We trust this presentment brings this matter to a swift and efficient conclusion. Kindly update your records accordingly. Without malice, mischief, ill-will, frivolity or vexation; in sincerity and honour, By: FATHER'S STRAWMAN<sup>™</sup> For & on behalf of MOTHER'S STRAWMAN

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted Declaration of Denial of Consent to Register Children Strictly Private & Confidential

We, the authorized representatives for the legal persons, FATHER'SSTRAWMAN and MOTHER'S STRAWMAN, do hereby declare that the following is a Verified Plain Statement of the Facts as we perceive them. Let it be known by all concerned, interested and affected parties that:

1. We have been granted irrevocable superior guardianship rights over our children by the Creator of the Universe.

2. We have accepted irrevocable Power of Attorney over our children's well-being and property until the eighteenth anniversary of their birth.

3. For and on behalf of our children, we have settled their entire legal estate into a private trust which is administered for their maximum benefit.

4. The property settled into said private trust includes (without limitation) any and all information pertaining to the existence of our children, strictly precluding the disclosure of the details of their birth to any party whatsoever.

5. Any and all disclosures of information pertaining to the existence of our

children would represent a breach of the aforementioned private trust and all those parties responsible for said breaches will be liable for charges of THIRTY THOUSAND POUNDS STERLING (or functional currency of the United Kingdom) per occurrence.

6. We do not recognise or consent to any perceived obligation, whether statutory or otherwise, to register the births of our children, under any circumstances whatsoever.

7. As Trustees of the aforementioned private trust, we affirm, jointly and without division that we refuse to grant our consent and/or authorisation to the Registrar or any other individual, organization or legal entity, to register information pertaining to the birth of our children, under any circumstances whatsoever. DECLARATION

Errors & Omissions Excepted

Needless to say, the Registra took exception to our declaration and sent us an aggressive notice threatening prosecution if our persons failed to comply, in response to which we sent the following missive.\_

STRICTLY PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL CAROLINE SHORT-TEMPERED REGISTRAR OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS CIVIC CENTRE ANNUITY-UPON-CRIME N66 6XL 27 MAY 2010 NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENTS Dear CAROLINE SHORT-TEMPERED, Following receipt of your notice dated 24 May 2010 and pursuant to the enclosed NOTICE OF APPOINTMENT; I hereby serve NOTICE OFCONDITIIONAL ACCEPTANCE. Wherefore, MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN agree to register the births of their children in the most expedient manner possible, upon receipt of the

following items:

1. Material evidence demonstrating that MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN have not been granted irrevocable superior guardianship rights over their children by the Creator of the Universe.

2. Material evidence demonstrating that MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN have not accepted irrevocable Power of Attorney over their children's well-being and property until the eighteenth anniversary of their birth.

3. Material evidence demonstrating that the information requested has not been settled into a private trust, precluding the disclosure of any and all details of their children's births to any third party whatsoever.

4. Material evidence demonstrating that all disclosures of information pertaining to the existence of their children would not represent a breach of the aforementioned private trust, as well as the Laws of Equity.

5. Material evidence demonstrating that all those parties responsible for said breaches would not be liable for charges of THIRTY THOUSANDPOUNDS STERLING (or functional currency of the United Kingdom) per occurrence.

6. Material evidence demonstrating that MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN have consented to the statutory 'duty' prescribed by the Births and Deaths Registration Act 1953, without which no sustainable cause of action for prosecution can arise.

7. Material evidence demonstrating that MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN have not unequivocally denied their consent and/or authorisation to the Registrar and/or any other individual, organization or legal entity, to register information pertaining to the birth of their children, under any circumstances whatsoever.

8. Material evidence demonstrating that your statement that a "female child was born to... [MOTHER'S STRAWMAN] ...on or about DATE OF BIRTH" does not represent two clear breaches of trust by the Registrar of Births and Deaths.

9. Material evidence demonstrating that any and all attempts to enforce statutes upon persons who have not consented to perform under said instruments would not represent fundamental breaches of international human rights laws, as well as the Laws of Nature.

Please find enclosed a certified copy of the DECLARATION OF DENIAL OFCONSENT TO REGISTER CHILDREN dated 10 May 2010, delivered to your office by Royal Mail Recorded Delivery on 12 May 2010. In good faith, we look forward to receiving the foregoing reasonably requested substance within seven (7) days of your receipt of this notice. Failure to deliver an appropriate response will result in the lawful presumption that the non-negotiable conditions cannot be met and that your request has been duly withdrawn, with no further action required.

Without malice, mischief, ill-will, frivolity or vexation; in sincerity and honour, By: FATHER'S STRAWMAN<sup>™</sup>

For & on behalf of MOTHER'S STRAWMAN

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

A reply [of sorts] was sent by the Registra, in the form of an almost identical notice, to which we responded in kind.

STRICTLY PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL CAROLINE SHORT-TEMPERED, REGISTRAR OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS CIVIC CENTRE ANNUITY-UPON-CRIME N66 6XL 16 June 2010 NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE DISHONOUR NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENTS Dear CAROLINE SHORT-TEMPERED, Following receipt of your letter dated 11 June 2010, the contents of which are refused for cause on the basis that you have failed to respond appropriately to the NOTICE OF CONDITIIONAL ACCEPTANCE dated 27 May 2010; for and on behalf of MOTHER'S STRAWMAN, I hereby serveNOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE DISHONOUR.

Wherefore, in good faith, MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'SSTRAWMAN agree to register the births of their children in the most expedient manner possible, upon receipt of the following items:

1. Material evidence demonstrating that MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN have not been granted irrevocable superior guardianship rights over their children by the Creator of the Universe.

2. Material evidence demonstrating that MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN have not accepted irrevocable Power of Attorney over their children's well-being and property until the eighteenth anniversary of their birth.

3. Material evidence demonstrating that the information requested has not been settled into a private trust, precluding the disclosure of any and all details of their children's births to any third party whatsoever, unless that action is of significant benefit to the beneficiaries. 4. Material evidence demonstrating that all disclosures of information pertaining to the existence of their children would not represent a breach of the aforementioned private trust, as well as the Laws of Equity.

5. Material evidence demonstrating that all those parties responsible for said breaches would not be liable for charges of THIRTY THOUSANDPOUNDS STERLING (or functional currency of the United Kingdom) per occurrence.

6. Material evidence demonstrating that MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN have consented to the statutory 'duty' prescribed by the Births and Deaths Registration Act 1953, without which no sustainable cause of action for prosecution can arise.

7. Material evidence demonstrating that MOTHER'S STRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN have not unequivocally denied their consent and/or authorisation to the Registrar and/or any other individual, organization or legal entity, to register information pertaining to the birth of their children.

8. Material evidence demonstrating that your statement that a "female child was born to... [MOTHER'S STRAWMAN] ...on or about DATE OF BIRTH" does not represent two clear breaches of trust by the Registrar of Births and Deaths.

9. Material evidence demonstrating that MOTHER'S STRAWMAN good faith presentment of a conditional acceptance of the unsubstantiated statutory obligation does not comprise a response delivered in "a positive manner".

10. Material evidence demonstrating that, in accordance to the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999 and pursuant to the NOTICE OFAPPOINTMENT dated 27 May 2010, the Registrar of Births and Deaths has not incurred default charges of FIFTEEN HUNDRED POUNDS STERLING(or functional currency of the United Kingdom).

11. Material evidence demonstrating that the children of MOTHER'SSTRAWMAN and FATHER'S STRAWMAN will benefit significantly from the registration of their births.

12. Material evidence demonstrating that, in stating "your daughter will face considerable difficulties in the future if she does not have a birth certificate" in your letter of 11 June 2010, the Registrar of Births and Deaths has not breached the Malicious Communication Act 1988.

13. Material evidence demonstrating that any and all attempts to enforce statutes upon persons who have not consented to perform under said instruments would not represent fundamental breaches of international human rights laws, as well as the Laws of Nature. In good faith, MOTHER'S STRAWMAN looks forward to receiving the foregoing reasonably requested substance within seven (7) days of your receipt of this notice. Failure to deliver an appropriate response will result in the lawful presumption that the non-negotiable conditions cannot be met and that your request has been duly withdrawn, with no further action required.

Without malice, mischief, ill-will, frivolity or vexation; in sincerity and honour, By: FATHER'S STRAWMAN<sup>™</sup>

For & on behalf of MOTHER'S STRAWMAN

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

Three months after our daughter's birth, the Home Office sent a letter confirming that no further action would be taken, but not without attempting to convince us that obtaining a passport and a place at a state school would be extremely difficult for her because of the position we have taken.

Thus far, we have had no need to take our daughter to the local NHS surgery, but her name is privately registered with the practice for the purposes of dealing with any situation which necessitates a visit to the doctor. This was offered by our family GP without any fuss when it was established that our daughter's birth had not been registered.

We also wasted no time in applying for a passport from the Home Office, in support of which we sent the Certificate of Birth Record we created ourselves, along with the following notice.

MR CHRISTOPHER DIDDLE BUSINESS SERVICES MANAGER IDENTITY & PASSPORT SERVICE PO BOX 666 28 JULY 2010 NOTICE OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENTS

Dear MR DIDDLE,

In my capacity as agent for my partner, MOTHER'S STRAWMAN; following receipt of your letter dated 09 July 2010 requesting a "Full Birth (or Full Adoption) Certificate or equivalent", please find enclosed a Certificate of Birth Record for our daughter.

We fully understand the unusual nature of this presentment, which nevertheless provides the IDENTITY & PASSPORT SERVICE with all the necessary information to determine the unequivocal eligibility of our daughter for

a UNITED KINGDOM PASSPORT: the name of the applicant; details and evidence of the place and date of her birth; evidence of the UK citizenship of her parents. We acknowledge the fact that we have chosen not to register the birth of our children, since we are of the heartfelt belief that to do so would not be in their best interests, but we are also acutely aware that this enclosure more than adequately demonstrates our daughter's entitlement

to UNITED KINGDOM citizenship, whilst the right of all subjects [citizens] to travel to and from any port or place within those territories considered "Her Majesty's dominions" is clearly prescribed by statute in the Union with Scotland Act 1706, for the purposes of which the issue of a passport is generally required.

Wherefore, in good faith, we trust this presentment meets with all reasonable requirements and look forward to receiving a UNITEDKINGDOM PASSPORT for our daughter in due course. Should you have any questions or queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Without malice, mischief, ill-will, frivolity or vexation; in sincerity and honour, By: FATHER'S STRAWMAN<sup>™</sup>

For & on behalf of MOTHER'S STRAWMAN

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice

Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit

Errors & Omissions Excepted

Predictably enough, the I&P Office wrote back claiming that the Certificate of Birth Record was not sufficient evidence of our daughter's right to a UK Passport, but they did concede that the application would be successful if we complied with the specific requirements stipulated by the Home Office.

MR CHRISTOPHER DIDDLE

BUSINESS SERVICES MANAGER

IDENTITY & PASSPORT SERVICE

PO BOX 666

17 SEPTEMBER 2010

NOTICE OF FURTHER SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL

NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENTS

Dear MR DIDDLE,

Following receipt of your letter dated 25/08/2010, in relation to the issue of a passport for DAUGHTER'S STRAWMAN; for and on behalf of

MOTHER'S STRAWMAN, as requested, please find enclosed the following documents:

a. Letter dated 04/06/2010 from the partners of LOCAL SURGERY, with regard to our daughter's six week development check, which was subsequently done by FAMILY DOCTOR at the address below.

b. Letter from the General Register Office dated 21 July 2010, clearly stating that our daughter's birth has not been registered.

Since this presentment would seem to meet the requirements outlined in your letter of the 25th of August, we look forward to receiving our daughter's passport within seven (7) days of your receipt of this notice, as it our intention to travel abroad shortly after that date.

In sincerity and honour,

By: FATHER'S STRAWMAN™

For & on behalf of MOTHER'S STRAWMAN

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

Shortly afterwards we received another letter, this time stating that the letter from the partners of our local surgery did not comply with the strict requirements for the information for a successful application, to which we replied with the following notice.

MR CHRISTOPHER DIDDLE BUSINESS SERVICES MANAGER IDENTITY & PASSPORT SERVICE PO BOX 666 21 October 2010 NOTICE OF FURTHER SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENTS

Dear MR DIDDLE,

As requested, please find enclosed a letter from our family doctor, confirming that our daughter was born on DATE OF BIRTH at PLACE OFBIRTH, and that she has lived with us at the address below since her birth, where FAMILY DOCTOR has performed two physical examinations.

Since this presentment would seem to meet the requirements discussed, we look forward to receiving our daughter's passport in due course. Many thanks. In sincerity and honour,

By: FATHER'S STRAWMAN™

For & on behalf of MOTHER'S STRAWMAN

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

Fourteen days later, the documents we submitted with the application were returned, along with a note confirming that the application was being processed. The passport arrived in the post the following morning, thus demonstrating that the Home Office has no legal recourse to deny a passport to an unregistered child, provided that the right to UK citizenship can be demonstrated with a simple letter from the family doctor or a statutory declaration by an individual who has firsthand knowledge of the time and place of birth.

It is a wonderful feeling to know that our daughter's future sweat equity has not been pledged to the Crown, in return for a Birth Certificate and the dwindling benefit priviledges of the failing Welfare State. It is also reassuring to know that the government do not have the jurisdiction to interfere in our daughter's life. Until such time that we manage to obtain a Diplomatic Family Passport, a security agreement on loan from HMQ will be more than sufficient for our short-term international travel purposes. http://freetheplanet.net/articles/177/denial-of-consent-to-register-children