Read and learn...

igger text (+) | Smaller text (-) ranslate this Page!

CONGRATULATIONS

2

12-3-09 The following allegedly happened in September 2006. It is presented for the purpose of the information as to what the man did and asked for.

[He included a scanned copy of the dismissal report which I can't include in this blogspot, but it said "dismissal" for the reason of "no charging instrument."]

Re: Ticket Dismissed - No Charging Instrument

In Sonoma County, California September 12, 2006

This is a rough sequence of events that led up to the dismissal of a Traffic Ticket in California. It is my personal experience.

Several days ago I went to the Clerk's Office in Santa Rosa that handles Traffic matters in Sonoma County, California. It was my intention to file a "Motion to Dismiss and Counter Claim" for a <u>speeding ticket</u> that I got from the Petaluma Police in a speed trap just south of town. The "Motion to Dismiss" is a paper that my Paralegal, Jeff, wrote for me - using strictly Statutes (Vehicle Code) to move for dismissal, based on the fact that there was no "Verified Complaint."

In California the Codes are written so that a "Verified Complaint" sworn under oath with two signatures, is needed to prosecute for most "offenses" - including Traffic, <u>Misdemeanors</u>, Criminal and Civil. 99% of the time there is no such "Complaint" because the Plaintiff would have to swear under oath that there was an Injured Party. In rare occasions such a Complaint is issued by crime victims. But neither the District Attorney nor the Police Officer are going to issue such a Complaint, because they are part of the Government "fiction," which by definition cannot be injured.

So when I attempted to file my 47-page Motion to Dismiss, the Clerk there went to her supervisor, not knowing what to do. These types of Motions are very rare in the Traffic Division, because most people have NO CLUE about the rules. They normally roll over, pay the fine and go to <u>Traffic</u> <u>School</u>, which of course is what The Beast is counting on. Or else they ARGUE THE FACTS in Traffic Court, hoping to get out of the Ticket or have it reduced.

OF COURSE THEY ALWAYS LOSE - because they are dumb-xxxxx who have NO CLUE that "The System" is completely stacked against them. TO ARGUE in court, is to LOSE because ARGUING IS A DISHONOR in the Equity System of Justice. When they plead "Not Guilty," they do not understand that such a plea ARGUES THE FACTS of the case. So what? - They are going to deny that the Ticket was issued on the date specified? That the Officer pulled them over? That they gave their name and Drivers License? This is INSANITY - because 99% of the time THE FACTS ARE INCONRIVERTABLE. So arguing against them gets an immediate judgment of "Guilty!"

The correct strategy is to ACCEPT THE FACTS as true - because they ARE.

A better strategy is to CHALLENGE JURISDICTION before anything else happens. You do this by bringing up the FACT that their own procedure (Due Process) was not followed, as defined by THEIR Constitution and Codes. IF you do not challenge jurisdiction at the VERY BEGINNING, then you are lost. Although the Supreme Court has ruled that "jurisdiction can be challenged at any time," the practical situation is that most people GIVE UP JURISDICTION WHEN THEY FIRST OPEN THEIR MOUTH. After that, it is completely useless to "challenge jurisdiction," having already given it away.

But of course, The Beast will NEVER tell you this.

Really, you should challenge jurisdiction at the side of the road, when the "Officer" first pulls you over. But that is another matter.

The Traffic Clerk Supervisor refused to file my Motion to Dismiss, because you have to do it within a certain time - usually 10-30 days after the Ticket was issued, depending on their rules. So even though the Appearance Date was not yet up, that window had closed for me. The Supervisor Clerk said that I needed to submit my Motion in open Court.

So I boned up on "the law" (their rules) the next night, in preparation for going to Court the following day. There was a long line at the Traffic Window, as usual. It snaked out the Office door, down the hallway to the Building door, and outside to the Courtyard. AMAZING! - How people STAND IN LINE to be RAPED!!! Finally I got to the Clerk's Window and said that I wanted a Hearing, so she put me on the list, and I proceeded to the Hearing Room. It was another half-hour before the "Judge" walked in. The Bailiff said, "All rise!" To which I responded by remaining seated. When it was finally my turn to enter the Bar, I stepped up and said, "I am here by Restricted Appearance, to challenge Jurisdiction ONLY. I am NOT here to Testify or to Plead, and I do NOT swear to Oaths. Are you, SIR, a DULLY ELECTED JUDICIAL OFFICER?"

(I NEVER call them "Your Honor" or "Judge"!)

To which he replied "No. I see that you have been ticketed for speeding. How do you plead?"So I said, "Excuse me, SIR, but according to the California Constitution, Article 6, I am allowed a DULLY ELECTED JUDICIAL OFFICER. If you are NOT one of those, then I disqualify you for cause."The "Judge" had no alternative but to grant me a Hearing before an elected "Judge", so he scheduled another hearing "Upstairs" in the Superior Court, three weeks hence. Normally they would have you go "Upstairs" immediately, but it was late in the day, and those sessions upstairs had ended.

Finally the fateful day arrived, and I passed the Electronic Surveillance Station. They not only do not want you to bring guns and knives into the Courtroom, but Voice Recorders are also not allowed. I think you may surmise the reason.

This was a "court" that recorded proceedings anyway, so those recordings were OSTENSIBLY available for a small fee. I wanted to be in a court that recorded proceedings, because a Prosecuting Attorney is always there, unlike in Traffic Court. NONE of these "courts" are Courts of Record.

After finding the correct Courtroom and sitting down, I waited for about an hour until my case was called. When I reached the Bar, I immediately stated, "I am here by Restricted Appearance in the matter of George Dxxxxx, to challenge Jurisdiction ONLY. I am not here to plead or to testify, and I do not swear to Oaths."That perked up the woman Judge's ears, because 99.9% of Defendants do not say anything like that. Most just whimper in, accept the "authority" of the "court," and plead for

mercy. Or worse, they try to "explain" their situation, hoping for mercy. Little do most people know, that the "court" has NO Jurisdiction, UNTIL you CONSENT to it - by "appearing" as the "Defendant" before the "court". Then you are AUTOMATICALLY guilty, having agreed to their terms and conditions. All that remains is the amount of "fine." That is why we lose 99% of the time. It is a VERY profitable RACKET.

So the Judge tried to trap me into contracting with the Court, by asking "Mr. Dxxxxx, I see that you are charged with speeding. How do you plead?"Since I fully understood her ploy, I responded with, "Do you have the CHARGING INSTRUMENT?" (This is a SWORN record, or "Verified Complaint" by the District Attorney, of the charges against you. They NEVER have this!)

The Judge then looked at the Assistant DA and asked if she had the Charging Instrument. The Assistant DA said "No," keeping her head bowed low at her table.So the Judge then just shrugged, and said, "Dismissed."I will explain more of the details of what happened, and why, in a later note. Following is a copy of the Court Minutes, showing the dismissal.

George

More & Other Information - Resource Pages

Admiralty related items

Belligerent Claimant

Bonds

Attention Signing the Constitution Away

Citizenship / nationality related items	Education
Graphics	History
Jerry Kirk	Aware
Jurisdiction	Law related items
Lewis Mohr	Luis Ewing
Money	Oath related items
Reading Material	Reading Room
Stuff	Tax matters
Travel related	Truth
AntiShyster Magazine	Video

NOTICE: The information on this page was brought to you by people who paid this website forward so that someone such as you might also profit by having access to it. If you care to do so also please feel encouraged to KEEP THIS SITE GOING by making a donation today. Thank you.



Freedom-School is not affiliated with the links on this page - unless otherwise stated. This enterprise collectively is known and generally presented as "Freedom-School.com" - "we," "us" or "our" are other expressions of Freedom-School.com used throughout. "You" is in reference to the user / visitor.

This is the fine print that so important. Freedom School and other information served is so for educational purposes only, no liability expressed or assumed for use. The information you obtain at this site is not, nor is it intended to be, legal advice.

Freedom School does not consent to or condone unlawful action.

Freedom School advocates and encourages one and all to adhere to, support and defend all Law which is particularly applicable.

Information is intended for [those] men and women who are not "US CITIZENS" or "TAXPAYERS" - continued use, reference or citing indicates voluntary and informed compliance. Support is not offered.

Freedom School is a free speech site, non-commercial enterprise and operation as there is no charge for things presented.

Freedom-School.com site relies on this memorandum and others in support of this philosophy and operation.

The noteworthy failure of [the] government or any alleged agency thereof to at any time rebut anything appearing on this website constitutes a legal admission of the fidelity and accuracy of the materials presented, which are offered in good faith and prepared as such by Freedom School and any and all [third] parties affiliated or otherwise. THIS IS AN ELECTRONIC AGREEMENT AND IS A LEGALLY BINDING CONTRACT, EQUIVALENT TO A SIGNED, WRITTEN CONTRACT BETWEEN PARTIES - If the government, or anyone else, wants to assert that any of the religious and/or political statements appearing on this website are not factual or otherwise in error, then they as the moving party have the burden of proof, and they must responsively meet that burden of proof under the Administrative Procedures Act 5 U.S.C. § 556(d) and under the due process clauses found in the Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Amendments to the national Constitution BEFORE there will be response to any summons, questions, or unsubstantiated and slanderous accusations. Attempts at calling presented claims "frivolous" without specifically rebutting the particular claim, or claims, deemed "frivolous" will be in deed be "frivolous" and prima facie evidence that shall be used accordingly. Hey guys, if anything on this site is found to be in error a good faith effort will be made to correct it in timely fashion upon notification.

Freedom-School.com is not responsible for content of any linked website or material. In addition, users **may not** use Freedom-School.com to engage in, facilitate or further unlawful conduct; use the service in any way, or manner, that harms us or anyone connected with us or whose work is presented; damage, disable, overburden, or impair the service (or the network(s) connected to the site) or interfere with anyone's use and enjoyment of the website.

All claims to be settled on the land - Austin, Travis county Texas, united States of America, using Texas Common Law. All parts of this contract apply to the maximum extent permitted by law. A court may hold that we cannot enforce a part of this contract as written. If this happens, then you and we will replace that part with terms that most closely match the intent of the part that we cannot enforce. The rest of this contract will not change. This is the entire contract between you and us regarding your use of the service. It supersedes any prior contract or statements regarding your use of the Freedom-School.com site. If there exists some manner of thing missing we do not forfeit our right to that thing as we reserve all rights.

We may assign, or modify, alter, change this contract, in whole or in part, at any time with or without notice to you. You may not assign this contract, or any part of it, to any other person. Any attempt by you to do so is void. You may not transfer to anyone else, either temporarily or permanently, any rights to use the Freedom-School.com site or material contained within.

GOOGLE ANALYTICS: While we do not automatically collect personally identifiable information about you when you visit the Freedom-School.com site, we do collect non-identifying and aggregate information that we use to improve our Web site design and our online presence.

Visitors to this site who have Javascript enabled are tracked using Google Analytics. The type of information that Google Analytics collects about you includes data like: the type of Web browser you are using; the type of operating system you are using; your screen resolution; the version of Flash you may be using; your network location and IP address (this can include geographic data like the country, city and state you are in); your Internet connection speed; the time of your visit to the Freedom-School.com site; the pages you visit on the Freedom-School.com site; the amount of time you spend on each page of the Freedom-School.com site and referring site information. In addition to the reports we receive using Google Analytics data, the data is shared with Google. For more information on Google's privacy policies, visit: www.google.com/privacy_ads.html

Here is Google's description of how Google Analytics works and how you can disable it: "Google Analytics collects information anonymously, and much like examining footprints in sand, it reports website trends without identifying individual visitors. Analytics uses its own cookie to track visitor interactions. The cookie is used to store information, such as what time the current visit occurred, whether the visitor has been to the site before, and what site referred the visitor to the web page. Google Analytics customers can view a variety of reports about how visitors interact with their website so they can improve their website and how people find it. A different cookie is used for each website, and visitors are not tracked across multiple sites. Analytics requires that all websites that use it must update their privacy policy to include a notice that fully discloses the use of Analytics. To disable this type of cookie, some browsers will indicate when a cookie is being sent and allow you to decline cookies on a case-by-case basis."

Presentation Copyright© 2007, 2013 All Rights Reserved H O M E

