

POLICY DOCUMENT: UCC REDEMPTION

WIZARD OF OZ™



***“The righteous should choose his friends [and government protectors] carefully,
For the way of the wicked leads them astray.”
[Prov. 12:26, Bible, NKJV]***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	2
1 Introduction	6
2 UCC Redemption Personalities	7
2.1 Roger Elvick	8
2.2 Barton Buhtz	9
2.3 Robert Kelly	10
2.4 Sam Davis	11
3 Summary of the UCC Redemption Approach.....	12
4 SEDM overall policy towards the UCC Redemption Approach	14
5 Family Guardian Approach to UCC Redemption	16
5.1 Flaw 1: The birth certificate is not the basis for the creation of credit in this country.....	17
5.2 Flaw 2: The birth certificate cannot be, as a matter of law, a guarantee of debt.....	18
5.3 Flaw 3: Our bodies and our labor are not articles of commerce.....	18
5.4 Flaw 4: The 1935 Social Security Act did not create an account for everyone born in this country in the amount of approximately \$630,000.	18
5.5 Flaw 5: The above named account is not the "Treasury direct account."	18
5.6 Flaw 6: You cannot write sight drafts on the Treasury of the United States via this non-existent account.....	19
6 United States Treasury Dept. View of UCC Redemption.....	21
7 Larry Becraft View of UCC Redemption Arguments	22
8 Lewis Ewing View of UCC Redemption Arguments	23
9 Stern Warning to Redemptionists about Membership in SEDM and Use of our Materials or services	29
9.1 Aspects of redemption approach that members CAN use	30
9.2 Aspects of redemption approach that members CANNOT use	30
9.3 Blacklist of known redemption advocates who are abusing our materials	31
10 Frequently Asked Questions from UCC Redemptionists.....	32
10.1 How current is your information and can you apply it to redemptionism?.....	32
10.2 Can you decode the IMF of a UCC Redemptionist?	34
11 Conclusions	35
12 Resources for Further Study and Rebuttal	36

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Constitutional Provisions

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 2	12
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 5	12
Fourteenth Amendment.....	15

Statutes

12 U.S.C. §411	12
----------------------	----

15 U.S.C., §17	18
18 U.S.C. §471	13
22 Okla. Stat. 403	27
26 U.S.C. §7212	16
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(9) and (a)(10)	30
28 U.S.C. §3002(15)(A)	12, 13
H.J.R. 192.....	13
I.R.C. Subtitle A.....	15
Internal Revenue Code	14, 15
Louisiana Revised Statutes Art. 429	23
RCW 10.40.050.....	28
RCW 10.46.060.....	28
UCC 1-207	30
UCC 1-308	30
Uniform Commercial Code	14

Regulations

31 CFR § 357.20	19
-----------------------	----

Rules

Fed.R.Civ.P. 17(b).....	30
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(b)(6).....	11
Texas Rules of Civil Procedure (TRCP) Rule 52.....	23
TRCP 52.....	24
TRCP Rule 52	24, 25

Cases

Bar v. Gorton, 84 Wn.2d. 380, 382, 526 P.2d. 379 (1974).....	26
Boyce v. C.I.R., 72 T.C.M. 1996-439	25
Bye v. Mack, 519 N.W.2d. 302 (N.D. 1994).....	16
Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932 (1906)	11
Dose v. United States, 86 U.S.T.C. ¶ 9773 (N.D.Iowa 1986)	16
Dr. Pepper Company v. Crowe, 621 S.W.2nd. 466.....	24
Entick v. Carrington, 19 Howell's St. Tr., Col. 1029, 1065-1066 (1765).....	27
Hurtado v. California , 110 U.S. 516, 536 (1884)	27
Jaeger v. Dubuque County, 880 F.Supp. 640 (N.D.Iowa 1995)	25
Juilliard v. Greenman, 110 U.S. 421 (1884).....	15
Lodi v. Lodi, 173 Cal.App.3d. 628, 219 Cal.Rptr. 116 (1985).....	16
Penhallow v. Doane's Administrators, 3 U.S. 54, 1 L.Ed. 57, 3 Dall. 54	22
Proprietors of Charles River Bridge v. Proprietors of, 36 U.S. 420 (1837).....	13
Rosenheck & Co., Inc. v. United States, 79 A.F.T.R.2d. (RIA) 2715 (N.D. Ok. 1997).....	26
Russell v. United States, 969 F.Supp. 24, 25 (W.D. Mich. 1997)	26
Schreiber v. Riemcke, 11 Wn.App. 873, 874, 526 P.2d. 904 (1974)	26
United States v. Dykstra, 991 F.2d. 450, 453 (8th Cir. 1994)	16
United States v. Frech, 149 F.3d. 1192 (10th Cir. 1998).....	26
United States v. Heard, 952 F.Supp. 329 (N.D.W.Va . 1996).....	25
United States v. Klimek, 952 F.Supp. 1100 (E.D.Pa . 1997).....	25
United States v. Lindbloom, 97-2 U.S.T.C. 50650 (W.D. Wash. 1997)	26
United States v. Lorenzo, 995 F.2d. 1448 (9th Cir. 1993).....	16
United States v. Washington, 947 F.Supp. 87, 92 (S.D.N.Y. 1996).....	25

United States v. Weatherley, 12 F.Supp.2d 469 (E.D.Pa 1998)	26
United States v. Wiley, 979 F.2d. 365 (5th Cir. 1992)	16

Other Authorities

30 Am. Jur. 2d Evidence section 1163, at 338 (1967).....	26
About SSNs and TINs On Government Forms and Correspondence, Form #05.012	15
Americans Bulletin Storefront.....	7
Americans Sovereign Bulletin.....	10, 11, 31
Barton Buhtz	7
Better Book and Coin of America (BBCOA).....	7
BILL ARCHER.....	19
Branch Davidians	20
Bureau of Public Debt	13
Bureau of Public Debt FOIA, Form #03.007	13
Correcting Erroneous Information Returns, Form #04.001	30
Cracking the Code	7
Cracking the Code, Third Edition	6, 7, 36
Dave DeReimer	16, 17
Family Guardian Website: Money and Banking Page	37
Federal Pleading/Petition/Motion Attachment, Litigation Tool #01.002, Section 8	30
Flawed Tax Arguments to Avoid, Form #08.004, Section 8.4	16
Franklin D. Roosevelt (FDR)	12
Freedom Club	31
Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises, Form #05.030.....	15, 23, 30, 36
Great IRS Hoax, Form #11.302, Chapters 3 and 4.....	15
Guide to Asking Questions, Form #09.017	32
Highlights of American Legal and Political History CD, Form #11.202	6, 36
Hyla Clapier	17
Investigative Report on the UCC	7
Investigative Report, Barton Buhtz	6, 36
IRS Document 7130.....	31
IRS Form 1040.....	30
IRS Form 1040V	30, 31
IRS Form 1099OID.....	30, 31
IRS form 56.....	14
Jack Smith	19
Larry Becraft	22
Las Vegas Sun, October 27, 2011	12
Legal Notice of Change in Citizenship/Domicile Records and Divorce from the United States, Form #06.005	15
Liberty University	16, 29
Liberty University, Section 4	23
Liberty University, Section 8: Resources to Rebut Government, Legal, and Tax Profession Propaganda	33
Luis Ewing	23
Mark Edwards	11
Mastering the Uniform Commercial Code	6, 36
Member Agreement, Form #01.001	34, 36
Member Agreement, Form #01.001 (see section 1.3, item 2 and section 4, item 10)	7
Member Agreement, Form #01.001, Section 1.3	29, 34
Member Agreement, Form #01.001, Section 1.3, Item 2	32
Member Agreement, Form #01.001, Section 5, Item 13	15
NMC Services	11
Policy Document: Rebutted Arguments Against this Website, Form #08.011, Sections 6.1 and 6.2	32
Policy Document: UCC Redemption, Form #08.002.....	33, 35
Proof That There is a “Straw Man”, Form #05.042	15, 36
Public v. Private Employment: You Really Work for Uncle Sam if you Receive Federal Benefits	23

Questions and Answers from Ministry Members to Ministry Staff, SEDM Forums, Section 8	32
Reasonable Belief About Income Tax Liability, Form #05.007.....	16
Rebecca Adelle Shollenburg	9
Redemption Manual	36
Redemption Service	11
Resignation of Compelled Social Security Trustee, Form #06.002.....	15
Rice McCleod.....	7
Richard Roy Aquila.....	9
Robert Kelly	7, 10
Roger Elvick.....	7, 16, 17, 21
Ron Knutt.....	16, 17
Rush Lindbaugh	29
Sam Davis	7
SEDM Exhibit #06.001	15
SEDM Forums, Forum #9.4: Errata	33
SEDM Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)	32
SEDM Frequently Asked Questions Page, Section 0.....	31
SEDM Member Agreement, Form #01.001	30
Shawna Page	11
Solutions in Commerce	6
Southern Poverty Law Center	8
Sovereignty Franchise and Agreement, Form #06.027	30
Steven Dale Kelton.....	9
Steven Douglas Shollenburg	9
Tax Form Attachment, Form #04.201, Section 6	30
The Law, The Money, and Your Choice, Lee Brobst	12
The Money Scam, Form #05.041	15
The Redemption Manual.....	7
The Trade or Business Scam, Form #05.001.....	15
The Wizard of Oz.....	36
U.S. Dept. of Commerce	13
UCC Filing.....	6, 37
UCC Security Agreement, Form #14.002	6, 30, 35, 37
UCC-1 Financing Statements	14
Victor Varjabedian	7, 22
Wally Peterson	16, 17
Why Statutory Civil Law is Law for Government and Not Private Persons, Form #05.037.....	23, 36
Why the Fourteenth Amendment is NOT a Threat to Your Freedom, Form #08.015.....	33
Why the Government Can't Lawfully Assess Human Beings with an Income Tax Liability Without Their Consent, Form #05.011	14
Why You are a "national", "state national", and Constitutional but not Statutory Citizen, Form #05.006.....	15
Why Your Government is Either a Thief or You are a "Public Officer" for Income Tax Purposes, Form #05.008	36
Winston ShROUT.....	7
Zerodebts	31

1 **1 Introduction**

2 The purpose of this document is to:

- 3 1. Describe in detail differences between the approaches of UCC Redemption and this website towards the illegal
4 enforcement activities of the IRS.
5 2. To describe efforts to resolve the conflicts between us to date and UCC Redemption's response to those efforts.
6 3. To provide legally admissible evidence justifying why our position is the only one consistent with prevailing law.
7 4. Offer an opportunity for fellowship Members and readers to further investigate and rebut any of the evidence upon
8 which we base our position.
9 5. Improve the information and materials available on this website for preventing unlawful activities by our government
10 and private industry.

11 In preparing this document, we rely upon all of the following sources of information

- 12 1. Reading or viewing the following UCC Redemption materials:
13 1.1. Highlights of American Legal and Political History CD, Form #11.202-describes the legal and political history
14 that produced the corrupted government we suffer from today
15 <http://sedm.org/ItemInfo/Disks/HOALPH/HOALPH.htm>
16 1.2. Cracking the Code, Third Edition, Better Book and Coin (BBC). This book is out of print
17 1.3. Mastering the Uniform Commercial Code
18 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/UCC/MasteringTheUCC.pdf>
19 1.4. Investigative Report, Barton Buhtz
20 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/UCC/InvestigativeReportUCC.pdf>
21 1.5. Winston Shrou's three day redemption video seminar entitled Solutions in Commerce.
22 <http://www.winstonshroutsolutionsincommerce.com/>
23 1.6. UCC Filing. Family Guardian
24 <http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/Forms/Emancipation/UCCFiling.htm>
25 1.7. UCC Security Agreement, Form #14.002
26 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
27 2. Postings on Family Guardian available at:
28 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/MoneyBanking.htm>

29 The content of this document is therefore a reflection of all of the information available from UCC Redemption that we are
30 aware of at the time this document was written.

IMPORANT NOTE: Please DO NOT contact us with questions about any of the following:

1. Asking us for copies of any of the materials we mention above as references to the UCC. That would only further the spread of what we believe is a hugely flawed approach.
2. How to use our materials or services in connection with anything having to do with UCC Redemption as described herein.
3. How to undo the damage caused by those who were deceived or misled into pursuing UCC redemption.
4. Promises or guarantees about the effectiveness of any of our materials or services. The only thing you can and should rely on as a source of reasonable belief is your own reading of what the law actually says and not what ANY vain man, guru, or “expert” says, including us.

We remind our readers that our *Member Agreement*, Form #01.001 (see section 1.3, item 2 and section 4, item 10) forbids anyone from using our materials or services who are also pursuing UCC redemption. Consequently, all we can do is describe our own efforts to comply with the law consistent with the content of our website and let people decide for themselves whether that method is appropriate in their case.

If you discover methods to address the issue of undoing the damage that UCC redemptionists did to you, we welcome you to post what you learn in our MEMBER forums. You are also encouraged to compare notes with others in our forums as you discover such methods, but please direct all your questions at OTHER than us directly because we won't help you violate our Member Agreement.

<http://sedm.org/forums/>

2 UCC Redemption Personalities

The main personalities within the UCC Redemption community are

1. Roger Elvick.
2. Winston Shrou. Holds commercial redemption seminars throughout the country.
<http://www.winstonshroutsolutionsincommerce.com/>
3. Sam Davis:
 - 3.1. Has his own website: <http://statusisfreedom.com/>
 - 3.2. Runs the Sovereign People's Court in Las Vegas Nevada: <http://www.sovereignpeoplescourt.com/>.
 - 3.3. Affiliated with the Commercial Redemption website: <http://www.commercialredemption.com/>
4. Victor Varjabedian. Published a book called *Cracking the Code*. Three different editions were published before the book was discontinued. We have a copy of *Cracking the Code*, Third Edition. The book was originally offered by the now defunct Better Book and Coin of America (BBCOA). They were shut down by the Federal Trade Commission because they were offering false ID documents.
5. Rice McCleod. He has done several seminars and publishes the *Redemption Manual*.
6. Robert Kelly. He used to publish a UCC Redemption newsletter called the Americans Bulletin. We have obtained a few of his offerings, including the Redemption Companion and Redemption Manual. His website is at:

Americans Bulletin Storefront

<http://americansbulletin.safestorefront.com/>

7. Barton Buhtz. See:

Investigative Report on the UCC

<http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/UCC/InvestigativeReportUCC.pdf>

Roger Elvick started UCC Redemption and we are told that Barton Buhtz and Winston Shrou were among his students. Winston Shrou makes a series of 21 videos in three volumes called “Solutions in Commerce”. We have watched the series and it is rather disappointing because, like most redemptionist offerings:

1. There is no evidence or facts from independent third parties to back up almost all of what he says.
2. He cites almost no statutes, case law, or regulations to prove any of his points.
3. He talks about the history of how the fraud upon the American people was perpetrated, but cannot confirm it from any independent objective source.
4. Everything he talks about is couched in commerce. There is no moral or religious element that ties his teachings back to any aspect of morality or the bible.

1 It seems as though what the redemptionists teach is simply a presumption or a religion without any facts to back them up.
2 We are about as far in the OPPOSITE direction as you can get: We insist on evidence to back EVERYTHING up. Some
3 have approached Winston ShROUT to ask him where he gets the materials he teaches people. You know what his answer
4 was?: Prisoners who are STILL in jail! Do you want to base your whole approach to the world on what convicted cons
5 know about law and commerce? Not exactly the brightest thing to do, if you ask us.

6 Many of the above redemption personalities have a criminal record, as you will see from the following subsections.

7 **2.1 Roger Elvick¹**



8 **Patriots for Profit**

9 His 'Straw Man' free, a scammer finds the rest of him isn't

11 As the creator of the "Redemption movement," a bizarre fusion of conspiracy theories and financial chicanery, Roger
12 Elvick claims he has liberated his "straw man," a secret doppelganger created by the U.S. government to capture the
13 economic value of U.S. citizens who, according to the Redemption doctrine, have unknowingly been sold into slavery to a
14 Jewish-run international banking cabal.

15 But while Elvick's straw man is free—at least in his own mind—the rest of him is back in prison.

16 In April, Elvick pleaded guilty to one count each of forgery, extortion and engaging in a pattern of corrupt activity, and was
17 sentenced to four years in an Ohio state penitentiary. The 68-year-old far-right extremist and former Aryan Nations
18 associate was charged for aiding and abetting a ring of Redemption scammers based in Akron, Ohio, home to Right Way
19 Law, a clearinghouse for the Redemption movement's pseudo-legal shenanigans.

20 The Redemption movement is founded upon Elvick's outer-limits postulation that for every birth certificate issued in the
21 U.S. since the 1936 Social Security Act, the federal government deposits \$630,000 in a hidden bank account linked to the
22 newborn American. Redemptionists claim that by executing a series of arcane legal maneuvers, a person may entitle
23 themselves to the \$630,000 held in the name of the phantom entity created at their birth, and may then access these funds
24 with "sight drafts" — better known to business owners and prosecutors as "bogus checks." Elvick also encourages
25 Redemption enthusiasts to harass enemies with phony property liens and IRS reports designed to provoke audits.

26 Elvick first started spreading his crackpot vision in the 1980s, when he was the national spokesperson for Committee of the
27 States, a white supremacist group Elvick started with William Potter Gale, who had previously founded the Posse
28 Comitatus, a violent anti-Semitic organization.

¹ Adapted from an article published by the Southern Poverty Law Center, <http://www.splcenter.org/intel/intelreport/article.jsp?aid=544>

1 By 1990, Redemption groups advised by Elvick were active in 30 states and several provinces of Canada, and had tried to
2 pass more than \$15 million in bad checks. Elvick was eventually convicted of personally passing more than \$1 million in
3 sight drafts, and, in a separate case, of filing fraudulent IRS forms. He spent most of the 1990s in federal prison.

4 But while he was incarcerated, the Redemption movement lured ever-growing legions of antigovernment extremists with
5 the combined promise of free money and the chance to attack the federal government with paperwork instead of guns.

6 After Elvick was released, he started holding expensive seminars where he instructed Redemption acolytes. It wasn't long
7 before he was back in big trouble. Elvick was indicted on multiple felony counts in Ohio in August 2003.

8 During preliminary hearings, Elvick frustrated court officials by denying his identity, claiming the court had no jurisdiction
9 over him or his straw man, and constantly interrupting with unfathomable questions about procedure. A judge ruled Elvick
10 mentally unfit to stand trial and committed him to a correctional psychiatric facility, where he was diagnosed with an
11 "unclassified mental disorder" and underwent nine months of treatment before facing trial. Elvick then surprised
12 prosecutors by changing his plea to guilty.

13 When asked if he wished to address the court at his sentencing, the usually vociferous Elvick replied simply, "I have
14 nothing to say."

15 **2.2 Barton Buhtz²**

16 **California man convicted in Oregon for fake checks**

17 AP

18 Posted: 2007-10-10 15:11:43

19 MEDFORD, Ore. (AP) - A federal jury has convicted a California man of passing \$3.8 million in fake checks.

20 Barton Buhtz, 68, of Sunland, Calif., was convicted of conspiracy to pass false U.S. Treasury instruments and five counts of
21 passing fictitious financial instruments, according to the U.S. Attorney's office.

22 A co-defendant, Steven Douglas Shollenburg, 56, of Prineville and formerly of Medford, was acquitted.

23 Buhtz could face up to 130 years in federal prison and fines up to \$1.5 million, prosecutors said.

24 U.S. District Judge Owen M. Panner set sentencing for Dec. 17.

25 Buhtz was indicted in September 2005 with Rebecca Adelle Shollenburg, Steven Douglas Shollenburg, Richard Roy
26 Aquila and Steven Dale Kelton on charges of using fake checks drawn on nonexistent Treasury accounts to pay property
27 taxes in Jackson and Coos Counties, to pay federal income taxes, and to try to buy property, recreational vehicles and other
28 goods and services.

29 The incidents occurred between August 2001 and April 2003.

30 Court records show that Buhtz, a self-styled "consumer advocate," gave promotional seminars on fraudulent financial
31 practices in Oregon and other states.

32 In 2001, co-defendants Steven and Rebecca Shollenburg, Aquila and Kelton attended a seminar in Medford. After that, they
33 began using the fake checks, which Buhtz approved, to defraud banks, tax authorities and private creditors, prosecutors
34 said.

² Adapted from an article published by AOL News:
http://news.aol.com/story/_a/california-man-convicted-in-oregon-for/n20071010151109990027

1 A Medford bank learned that the documents were worthless after initially accepting them as payment for new vehicles
2 purchased by the Shollenburgs and Aquila.

3 Before the start of Tuesday's trial, Rebecca Shollenburg and Aquila pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to pass
4 fictitious documents. Kelton pleaded guilty to the same charge after the trial had begun. The co-defendants testified against
5 Buhtz and Steven Shollenburg, as required by their plea agreements.

6 On the Net: <http://www.treasurydirect.gov/instit/statreg/fraud/fraud.htm>, U.S. Treasury Department information on
7 financial scams.

8 10/10/07 15:11 EDT

9 **2.3 Robert Kelly**

10 Robert Kelly ran a website called the Americans Bulletin (<http://americansbulletin.com>) for several years. That website
11 focused on UCC Redemption. In 2009 he had a stroke so a new person came in and took charge of the Americans Bulletin
12 and renamed it to Americans Sovereign Bulletin. That person is Theresa Blankenship and thankfully, she appears to be
13 against redemption. Members of this ministry have contacted her and we think she much better educated, more open
14 minded, and better informed than Mr. Kelly.

15 The new Americans Sovereign Bulletin website can be found at:

Americans Sovereign Bulletin
<http://www.americanssovereignbulletin.com/>

16 After Kelley had a stroke in 2009:

- 17 1. His americansbulletin.com domain was discontinued.
- 18 2. Links to his writings were removed from the Americans Sovereign Bulletin website.
- 19 3. His writings were moved to a different store website.
- 20 4. Blankenship and Kelley stayed in contact.

21 Kelly's new store website where he continues to sell his *Redemption Manual* is the following, as of 2011:

<http://americansbulletin.safestorefront.com/Merchant/?p1=95618>

22 The following email was sent to us by a former secretary of Robert Kelly of the Americans Bulletin on Nov. 5, 2007. She
23 asked us not to disclose her identity:

24 *I want to comment on the UCC.pdf file [this document] I just finished reading online. It is excellent.*

25 *I recently worked for Robert Kelly at the Americans Bulletin for about 6 months. It got to the point where I
26 could not stomach the job, I had real issue with the way they conducted their business. More importantly, I
27 think Robert is a hypocrite for various reasons.*

28 *My job was to answer the phones. I spoke with very many people in crisis on a daily basis, not Patriots but
29 people looking for an easy way out of their financial problems, as one example. I was concerned that they
30 would only have larger problems in the end. Robert does not use discretion when accepting their money to
31 process these UCC filings. He doesn't feel it is his responsibility if these so-called clients do not take the time to
32 learn about the concepts of 'Redemption', a word I have come to despise.*

33 *Personally, I do not care about this subject. However, I realize there is an underlying truth but what does it all
34 mean in the end, what are the goals of this so-called movement, and will it benefit all.*

35 *I am still in communication with a couple of Kelly's clients, mostly the families of inmates who are concerned
36 about their loved ones doing these processes. I haven't known what to tell them exactly other than to say there is
37 something not right to my way of thinking and that Kelly does not care about their loved one, which is the truth.*

1 I liked your UCC article and will send it to these people I have learned to care about. I was hoping, for their
2 sakes, that their efforts weren't all in vain, they weren't being scammed or feeling foolish. Your article gives the
3 process legitimacy.

4 There is something wrong with Kelly's materials when people ask, over and over, "Will these processes really
5 get me out of prison? or "Is it true I have a million dollars in an account somewhere?" Somewhere in his
6 writings I saw...you can even buy a house! This is irresponsible in my eyes. Ah, it upsets me to even think about
7 this.

8 I am very unsettled about the inmate issues as well. I feel it is unconscionable of Kelly to use the disclaimer of
9 'everything works on a case by case basis'. The answer is NO, they have not released one inmate with these
10 processes.

11 I am sure you are a very busy office but feel free to contact me if you wish.

12 It shouldn't surprise you that Mr. Kelly eventually learned about the above mention in this document by one of his clients,
13 and subsequently contacted us to ask us to have it removed. However:

- 14 1. When we asked him to swear that it was NOT true under penalty of perjury, he refused.
- 15 2. He REFUSED to give his contact information so we could talk to him to verify whether it was inaccurate.

16 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(b)(6), we are entitled to continue to believe that the above is true. A failure to
17 deny constitutes an admission:

18 *"Silence is a species of conduct, and constitutes an implied representation of the existence of the state of facts*
19 *in question, and the estoppel is accordingly a species of estoppel by misrepresentation. When silence is of such*
20 *a character and under such circumstances that it would become a fraud upon the other party to permit the party*
21 *who has kept silent to deny what his silence has induced the other to believe and act upon, it will operate as an*
22 *estoppel."*

23 [*Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932 (1906)*]

24 People in the redemption community frequently plagiarize and reuse other people's materials in violation of the copyrights
25 and to the injury of the original authors. For instance, Robert Kelly's redemption materials, including his Redemption
26 Manual, have been widely emulated in the redemption community. At least two other redemption purveyors that we know
27 of have plagiarized his materials, including the following:

- 28 1. Redemption Service. They sell a "Matrix DVD" that contains many of our documents. Mark Edwards runs this
29 website.
30 <http://redemptionservice.com/>
- 31 2. NMC Services. They sell a "American Freedom DVD" that contains many of our documents. They also stole the
32 Redemption Manual from Robert Kelly of the Americans Sovereign Bulletin
33 (<http://www.americanssovereignbulletin.com>), modified it slightly, and are reselling it. Shawna Page runs this
34 website.
35 <http://nmcservices.net/>

36 2.4 Sam Davis

37 Sam Davis resided in Idaho and at one time. His history:

- 38 1. He was associated with the following websites:
 - 39 1.1. Has his own website: <http://statisisfreedom.com/>
 - 40 1.2. Ran the Sovereign People's Court in Las Vegas Nevada: <http://www.sovereignpeoplescourt.com/>. It was shut
41 down on 3-5-09 after an FBI raid.
 - 42 1.3. Affiliated with the Commercial Redemption website: <http://www.commercialredemption.com/>
- 43 2. He was arrested on March 5, 2009 in connection with money laundering. Undercover FBI agents infiltrated the
44 Sovereign People's Court and secretly enticed him to engage in criminal money laundering, and then prosecuted him
45 for fictitious crime THEY manufactured. Shawn Rice was a co-defendant but he fled after the raid and is still a
46 fugitive.

47 Here is the story on his conviction:

1 **Federal judge sentences man convicted in money-laundering scheme**

2 Thursday, Oct. 27, 2011 | 7:46 p.m.

3 CARSON CITY – U.S. District Judge James Mahan has sentenced Samuel Davis, 56, to 57 months in prison on
4 his guilty plea in a money-laundering scheme in Las Vegas in which he was caught by undercover FBI agents.

5 The judge also ordered Davis to pay \$95,782 in restitution and serve three years of supervised released for his
6 guilty plea to one count of conspiracy to commit money laundering and 30 counts of money laundering.

7 U.S. Attorney Daniel Bogden said Davis' partner Shawn Rice, 48, is still at large.

8 Court records say Davis, of Council, Idaho, and Rice, of Seligman, Ariz., laundered about \$1.3 million for FBI
9 undercover agents who said they got the money from theft and forgery of stolen official bank checks.

10 The government maintains Davis laundered the money through a nominee trust account and Rice put it through
11 a reported religious organization. Davis got \$74,000 and Rice took \$22,000 for their work.

12 Davis and Rice are reportedly members of an anti-government group called "Sovereign Movement," which
13 tries to disrupt and overthrow governments and other forms of authority by using "paper terrorism" tactics,
14 intimidation, harassment and violence.

15 Sovereign Movement maintains members do not have to pay taxes and it believes Americans are deceived by the
16 federal government into obtaining Social Security cards, drivers' licenses, car registrations and wedding
17 licenses.

18 Davis is a national leader and Rice is a lawyer and rabbi, according to the government.

19 [Las Vegas Sun, October 27, 2011, SOURCE: [http://www.lasvegassun.com/news/2011/oct/27/federal-judge-
20 sentences-man-money-laundering-charge/](http://www.lasvegassun.com/news/2011/oct/27/federal-judge-sentences-man-money-laundering-charge/)]

21 **3 Summary of the UCC Redemption Approach**

22 UCC Redemption has been around for many years. It started long before the SEDM website was available. Below is a
23 synopsis of the UCC approach based on our reading or viewing of the resources identified in the previous section:

- 24 1. The only lawful money is gold and silver. This is mandated by our Constitution.
25 2. Gold was outlawed by Franklin D. Roosevelt (FDR) in 1933 in order to force people to use fiat currency.
26 2.1. In the place of gold, fiat currency was borrowed by the U.S. government in the form of Federal Reserve Notes
27 (FRN's).
28 2.2. Federal Reserve Notes are classified as "obligations of the United States government". [12 U.S.C. §411](#).
29 2.3. FRN's are NOT issued under the authority of the government's power to coin money found in Article 1, Section
30 8, Clause 5 of the Constitution. Instead, they are issued under the authority of Article 1, Section 8, Clause 2 of
31 the Constitution, which authorizes congress to borrow money.
32 2.4. The use of FRN's constitutes a "franchise" from which the authority to collect income taxes primarily derives.
33 See:

The Law, The Money, and Your Choice, Lee Brobst
<http://fanguardian.org/Subjects/LawAndGovt/Articles/LawAndMoney.pdf>

- 34 2.5. Since FRN's are not lawful money, the government made it impossible to satisfy any contractual obligation or to
35 pay off a debt with real money.
36 2.6. Federal Reserve Notes have NO value. See:
37 <http://www.ustreas.gov/education/faq/currency/legal-tender.shtml>

38 "Federal Reserve notes are not redeemable in gold, silver or any other commodity, and receive no backing by
39 anything This has been the case since 1933. The notes have no value for themselves, but for what they will buy.
40 In another sense, because they are legal tender, Federal Reserve notes are "backed" by all the goods and
41 services in the economy."
42 [SOURCE: <http://www.ustreas.gov/education/faq/currency/legal-tender.shtml>]

- 43 3. What most people "think" is money today is actually a promissory note or corporate bond issued by the "United
44 States", which is a federal corporation as described in [28 U.S.C. §3002](#)(15)(A) backed by NOTHING.

- 1 4. The Wizard of Oz story is symbolic of how our country was corrupted by the banksters and politicians. See:
2 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/UCC/WizardOfOz.pdf>
- 3 5. The United States government went officially bankrupt in 1933.
4 5.1. When it went bankrupt, it was replaced with a for-profit corporation wholly owned and controlled by the private
5 bankers. See [28 U.S.C. §3002](#)(15)(A).
6 5.2. H.J.R. 192 passed in 1933 is the document which authorizes the switch in the money system accompanying the
7 bankruptcy. That resolution is still in force. See:
8 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/Money/1933-HJR192.pdf>
- 9 6. Government does not need taxes to pay its bills. Instead, it simply prints more money out of thin air. See:
10 <http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/Evidence/Money/RUMLspeechToAmBarAssn1945.pdf>
- 11 7. The only thing that regulates the current value of our fiat currency is the supply.
12 7.1. The Federal Reserve and the IRS in combination are the method for regulating the supply.
13 7.2. Both the Federal Reserve and the IRS are private, for profit corporations that are NOT part of the U.S.
14 government.
15 7.3. The Federal Reserve creates the money out of nothing and loans it at interest to the federal government. Present
16 fiat currency is DEBT based, not VALUE based.
17 7.4. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) is the mechanism for reducing the supply of fiat currency from circulation in
18 order to increase the value of remaining currency.
- 19 8. The Federal Reserve essentially amounts to an illegal counterfeiting franchise sanctioned by the now de facto United
20 States government.
21 8.1. It is a private, for profit consortium of private banks. It is NOT “federal” and there is no “reserve” It is no more
22 federal than “Federal Express”.
23 8.2. Member banks can loan ten times the money they have on deposit. This creates money out of thin air.
24 8.3. The Federal Reserve Board manipulates interest rates to control how much new money is created by the
25 counterfeiting franchise.
26 8.4. When we commit counterfeiting, it’s a criminal offense pursuant to [18 U.S.C. §471](#). It’s OK, however, for either
27 the government to do it or for member banks of the Federal Reserve to do it so long as they submit to supervision
28 of the Federal Reserve which limits the amount of counterfeiting. This is, however, a violation of the requirement
29 for equal protection and equal treatment that is the foundation of the United States Constitution.
- 30 9. Everything the government does is commercial:
31 9.1. Every government entity, including cities, counties, states, territories, and the federal government, are
32 “corporations”. See:
33 9.1.1. [28 U.S.C. §3002](#)(15)(A).
34 9.1.2. Proprietors of Charles River Bridge v. Proprietors of, 36 U.S. 420 (1837)

35 *"Corporations are also of all grades, and made for varied objects; **all governments are corporations, created**
36 **by usage and common consent, or grants and charters which create a body politic for prescribed purposes;**
37 **but whether they are private, local or general, in their objects, for the enjoyment of property, or the exercise**
38 **of power, they are all governed by the same rules of law, as to the construction and the obligation of the**
39 **instrument by which the incorporation is made. One universal rule of law protects persons and property. It is**
40 **a fundamental principle of the common law of England, that the term freemen of the kingdom, includes 'all**
41 **persons,' ecclesiastical and temporal, incorporate, politique or natural; it is a part of their magna charta (2**
42 **Inst. 4), and is incorporated into our institutions. The persons of the members of corporations are on the same**
43 **footing of protection as other persons, and their corporate property secured by the same laws which protect**
44 **that of individuals. 2 Inst. 46-7. 'No man shall be taken,' 'no man shall be disseised,' without due process of law,**
45 **is a principle taken from magna charta, infused into all our state constitutions, and is made inviolable by the**
46 **federal government, by the amendments to the constitution."**
47 *[Proprietors of Charles River Bridge v. Proprietors of, 36 U.S. 420 (1837)]**

- 48 9.2. When people are born, their birth certificate becomes a debt security that the government deposits into a central
49 account that it uses to determine how much it can borrow.
- 50 9.3. The U.S. Dept. of Commerce maintains a central national database of birth certificates in order that the Bureau of
51 Public Debt can figure out how much it can borrow against your straw man, which is symbolized by the birth
52 certificate. See:

<p>Bureau of Public Debt FOIA, Form #03.007 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm</p>
--

- 53 9.4. Money is created when criminal indictments signed by a foreman of a jury and the U.S. attorney create a
54 commercial security instrument that creates money. This money is sold as bonds on the open market.
- 55 9.5. Courthouses act as brokerage houses for the indictments, citations, etc. that are used to create the debt securities.

9.6. Prisons are privatized, money-making industries that contract out incarceration to private parties. The cost of incarceration is paid for by bonds issued against prisoners. See:

<http://famguardian.org/forums/index.php?showtopic=1957>

9.7. When the IRS collects taxes, it does so under the Uniform Commercial Code in complete disregard for what the Internal Revenue Code says. They fabricate debt instruments and securities called “liens” and “notice of liens” through a default process that are then sold on the open market to pay for government debts, even though they have no lawful authority to do so. See:

Why the Government Can't Lawfully Assess Human Beings with an Income Tax Liability Without Their Consent,
Form #05.011

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

10. Governments cannot lawfully interact directly with biological people. They can only interact with entities like themselves who are artificial and/or corporate:

10.1. When the government wishes to interact commercially with you as a person, it must do so through your “straw man”.

10.2. Your “straw man” is a “public officer” who serves within the United States government. All “taxpayers” are “public officers”. The Social Security Number and/or Taxpayer Identification Number constitutes a de facto license for the natural person to act in a representative capacity as a “public officer” in the commercial arena.

10.3. The ALL CAPS instantiation of your legal birthname in combination with the federal identifying number such as an SSN or TIN together constitute the “res” that is the entity against whom all tax collection and enforcement activities by the government are undertaken.

11. It is very important to control the uses to which the government attempts to put your straw man in order to defend yourself from government usurpations and exploitation. This is done by:

11.1. There are two ways to attempt to deal with this straw man:

11.1.1. Destroy the straw man.

11.1.2. Make use of the straw man to protect yourself and continue to engage in commerce through the straw man conduit.

11.2. Below are the steps needed to implement the second option above:

11.2.1. Separating the natural person from the straw man by filing IRS form 56.

11.2.2. Filing UCC-1 Financing Statements with the Secretary of State of your State.

11.2.3. Putting UCC liens against the straw man in combination with hold harmless agreements that limit your personal liability.

11.2.4. Using the lien to interfere with litigation and collection activity directed at the straw man.

11.2.5. For an example of the above:

<http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/Forms/Emancipation/UCCFiling.htm>

12. Since the government through the Federal Reserve system routinely counterfeits fiat currency, and since the government is a government all of whose power is delegated to it by We The People, then it must be OK for we as the sovereigns to also create money out of nothing by issuing and “monetizing” private securities.

12.1. Issuing “promissory notes” is a perfectly legitimate way to pay off IRS debts. Other instruments such as “bills of exchange” and checks drawn on the Treasury are problematic.

12.2. Issuing commercial private bonds may be used to pay off personal debts when done correctly, but very few people in practice know how to do it correctly. It is still under experimentation and development and not recommended unless highly skilled.

13. Because Federal Reserve Banks basically are loaning money they don't have, then all debts contracted with these banks are not legitimate and may lawfully be “cancelled”. This is called “debt cancellation”, “discharge”, “setoff”, etc and it is lawfully done all the time. When you set it off, it is prepaid. When it is discharged, payment is rolled forward to a future date.

14. The above are “secret” knowledge that the government doesn't want you to know about. It is very common in the Redemption community for people to try to sell you CD's or DVDs that are loaded with this special “secret” knowledge so that you can use it to benefit yourself privately and commercially. This attitude and approach is what people in the government call “Patriot for Profit” or “Payriot”.

4 SEDM overall policy towards the UCC Redemption Approach

We caution our readers of the following differences of opinion that we have with UCC Redemption's approach:

1. Things we agree on:

1.1. We agree that there is no lawful money and that Federal Reserve Notes are NOT “lawful money” for private purposes. See:

The Money Scam, Form #05.041
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

1.2. We agree with redemption advocates that there really is a “straw man” who is the “res” against which the government performs all of its statutory enforcement and collection activities, and that this straw man is the real “taxpayer” in the context of the Internal Revenue Code. See:

Proof That There is a “Straw Man”, Form #05.042
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

1.3. We agree with redemption advocates that the SSN and the TIN constitute the license to act in the capacity of the straw man as a “public officer” of the United States government. See:

About SSNs and TINs On Government Forms and Correspondence, Form #05.012
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

1.4. We believe that the best way to avoid becoming the target of government enforcement actions is to destroy the straw man. This is a mandatory requirement of our [Member Agreement](#). This is done by:

1.4.1. Quitting Social Security by sending in:

Resignation of Compelled Social Security Trustee, Form #06.002.
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

1.4.2. Correcting your citizenship using our:

Legal Notice of Change in Citizenship/Domicile Records and Divorce from the United States, Form #06.005.
<http://www.sedm.org/MemberAgreement/MemberAgreement.htm>

1.5. We believe that doing a UCC filing against your straw man is a very good defensive strategy after you destroy him using the two steps above.

2. Things we disagree on:

2.1. We disagree that money in states of the Union must *only* be gold and silver. It can be anything Congress wants it to be. The only requirement is that it must be redeemable in something of tangible value by the government. SEDM Exhibit #06.001 contains a letter from the Federal Reserve board confirming our views and admitting that even the U.S. Supreme Court agrees with us in *Juilliard v. Greenman*, 110 U.S. 421 (1884).

2.2. We DO NOT condone creating privately issued debt securities or bonds or “bills of exchange” under any circumstances. In fact, any commercial use of our strictly educational materials is prohibited by our Member Agreement.

2.3. We warn our [Members](#) that using bills of exchange to cancel IRS debts is a very bad idea. See Section 5, Item 13 of our [Member Agreement](#).

2.4. We do not condone or advocate cancellation of debts because invalid or fraudulent. Our [Member Agreement](#) specifically prohibits either [Members](#) or officers of the ministry from getting involved in such activities. See Section 5, Item 13 of our [Member Agreement](#).

3. Things we think most in the Redemption Community simply do not understand. We think most redemptionists do not understand:

3.1. How franchises are the main method unlawfully and criminally abused by a de facto government to enslave the and oppress people they instead are supposed to be protecting and helping. See:

Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises, Form #05.030
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

3.2. That the “straw man” is actually nothing more than a “public officer” within the government. See:

Proof That There is a “Straw Man”, Form #05.042
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

3.3. How to read or research the law to validate their presumptuous conclusions. For information that will remedy this deficiency, see *Great IRS Hoax*, Form #11.302, Chapters 3 and 4:

<http://fanguardian.org/Publications/GreatIRSHoax/GreatIRSHoax.htm>

3.4. Citizenship. They misconstrue the Fourteenth Amendment, typically. They falsely presume that statutory and constitutional citizens are equivalent when in fact, they are NOT. See:

Why You are a “national”, “state national”, and Constitutional but not Statutory Citizen, Form #05.006
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

3.5. The nature of I.R.C. Subtitle A as an excise tax upon the “trade or business” franchise. See:

The Trade or Business Scam, Form #05.001
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

1 We think that many of the beliefs within the UCC Redemption community are more a product of ignorance and
2 presumption than a realistic and diligent study of the statutes, regulations, and case law. In that sense, they constitute
3 religion and superstition more than informed belief. SEDM, by contrast:

- 4 1. Requires a belief in God in order to be relevant. No one who does not believe in God can become a Member. UCC
5 Redemption advocates don't care about religious affiliations.
- 6 2. Encourages skepticism. We tell everyone to validate EVERYTHING that everyone tells them, including us instead of
7 simply "presuming" that what they are told is true.
- 8 3. Insists on court admissible evidence in forming *every* belief. See:
9

<u><i>Reasonable Belief About Income Tax Liability</i></u> , Form #05.007 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
--
- 9 4. Emphasizes legal education so that people will have the tools and resources to validate what people tell them for
10 themselves. See:
11

<u><i>Liberty University</i></u> http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm

- 11 5. Insists on arguments that are readily and easily defensible in any court of law using the government's own laws and
12 documents.
- 13 6. Does not have a commercial motive. This prevents us from coming under government jurisdiction, most of which
14 derives from commerce.
- 15 7. Focuses on increasing all aspects of sovereignty by avoiding all government franchises instead of focusing only on
16 money or commerce. The Bible says that the love of money is the root of all evil. Any system of beliefs that only
17 focuses on money and keeping more of it is always going to be perceived as immoral by juries and will make an easy
18 target for the government to undermine and destroy using the legal process.

19 **5 Family Guardian Approach to UCC Redemption³**

20 There is a "new" theory floating around the movement which is absolutely crazy, yet it is promoted as "the hot new
21 solution." This new theory has its origins with a fellow named Roger Elvick, who has been involved with some con jobs in
22 the past; see *Bye v. Mack*, 519 N.W.2d. 302 (N.D. 1994). Roger Elvick was years ago "into" the idea of sending forms 1099
23 to the IRS for its agents who stole your constitutional rights. This was a part of his "redemption process" back then and if
24 you wish to learn about what happened to one party who followed Elvick's advice, read *United States v. Wiley*, 979 F.2d.
25 365 (5th Cir. 1992). Many others who followed Elvick's advice also went to jail; see *United States v. Dykstra*, 991 F.2d.
26 450, 453 (8th Cir. 1994)("He voluntarily made the decision to purchase and use Roger Elvick's 'redemption program,' and
27 he admitted that he did not pay any of the purported recipients any of the amounts reflected on the 1099 Forms. Because he
28 knew he never paid the individuals, he could not have believed that the forms, which he signed under penalties of perjury,
29 were in fact true and correct. The evidence also established that appellant acted corruptly in pursuing the retaliation scheme,
30 in violation of 26 U.S.C. §7212(a)"). Roger was convicted for this activity; see *United States v. Lorenzo*, 995 F.2d. 1448
31 (9th Cir. 1993).

32 While there, Roger developed this new argument. In essence, he contends that everyone's birth certificate constitutes
33 ownership in "America, Inc." and we all have stock in this corporation, which stock is represented by these birth certificates
34 (see *Lodi v. Lodi*, 173 Cal.App.3d. 628, 219 Cal.Rptr. 116 (1985), where similar arguments were rejected; and *Dose v.*
35 *United States*, 86 U.S.T.C. ¶ 9773 (N.D.Iowa 1986)("Petitioner... informs the Court of [his] 'notorious rescission of [his]
36 social security number' and rescission of his birth certificate, which documents had previously made him a 'member of
37 Corporate America (commune)' converting him into 'a slave of the commune subject to the regulation and control of the
38 Federal Government'... the fact that Dose has attempted to rescind his social security number and birth certificate by sworn
39 affidavit is irrelevant..."). According to Roger, the big banks and other financial institutions regularly trade in these birth
40 certificates, buying and selling them to others. Of course according to this new argument, you can do the same thing.

41 From here, the argument goes down hill and becomes even more bizarre. I know precisely what are the major features of
42 this argument because I have read the course material and even viewed a video tape of one meeting where this issue was
43 discussed; this contention is utterly crazy. However, many people are studying this new issue and even issuing "sight
44 drafts" based on this argument. But the promoters of this argument like Elvick, Wally Peterson, Ron Knutt and Dave

³ Excerpted from *Flawed Tax Arguments to Avoid*, Form #08.004, Section 8.4 available at:
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

1 DeReimer are really selling federal indictments. You are free to "buy into" this scheme, but be ready to face criminal
2 charges, the maximum term of imprisonment of which is 25 years.

3 Here is late breaking news, an e-mail, regarding the law enforcement activity against the redemption advocates:

4 *January 11, 2000 - @:25 PM, EDT*

5 *I was just informed that a Federal SWAT team, approximately 30, raided a farm house near the town of Evert,
6 Michigan this AM. The raid started at approximately 6:00 AM and lasted 4 hours until 10:00 AM.*

7 *They captured the occupants, made them sit and watch the proceedings. They were told nothing except they
8 were "Not under arrest".*

9 *The raid was pursuant to a Grand Jury Subpoena and contained a Warrant for any and all items relating to
10 "Accepted for Value", "sight drafts" and anything to do with "IRS" and United States "Securities".*

11 *I was told that there were 22 people on a list that were raided this AM.*

12 *At least one of the occupants there was served a Grand Jury subpoena to appear and testify in February.*

13 *NO FURTHER INFORMATION AT THIS TIME!*

14 *Be Advised!*

15 So what is going to happen? I bet that those who advocated using "acceptance for value" to refuse criminal process like an
16 indictment or information will be charged with obstruction of justice, and they will be tied into a giant conspiracy of those
17 who told others to send in drafts drawn on the U.S. Treasury. This stupidity will just be another instance where the freedom
18 movement will be held up to the press and the rest of America as a bunch of crackpots, nuts and fruitcakes, and
19 "dangerous" ones at that.

20 Have people already gotten into trouble by using the "redemption process" sight drafts? Hyla Clapier is a sweet, little old
21 lady from Idaho. She was convinced last year by the redemptionists to try to buy a car with one of those "redemption
22 process" sight drafts drawn on the U.S. Treasury. Her effort brought her an indictment, trial and conviction. If you wish to
23 study the details of her case, simply read her [docket sheet](#) posted on the U.S. District Court of Idaho's web site. In late
24 April, 2000, I received a call from an Ohio newspaper reporter and was informed that a man in his local community had
25 attempted to buy 8 Cadillacs with those sight drafts. I was also informed that the man was being prosecuted for several
26 felonies. Is the "redemption process" sight draft effort anything but another crackpot idea? I think so.

27 There are certain very fundamental flaws within this argument which are as follows:

28 **5.1 Flaw 1: The birth certificate is not the basis for the creation of credit in this country.**

29 Economic texts and a wide variety of other materials plainly demonstrate the manner by which credit ("money") is created
30 in this country: a bank (or central bank like the Fed) extends credit in exchange for the receipt of some note or other
31 financial obligation made by either a private party or government. At the federal level, the Federal Reserve extends credit to
32 the U.S. Treasury simply by book keeping entry made in favor of the United States when the Fed buys obligations of the
33 United States. In contrast, a birth certificate is not a note or other debt instrument, contrary to what Roger Elvick, Ron
34 Knutt, Wally Peterson or idiots like Dave DeReimer may contend. Simply stated, a birth certificate is not a note, bond or
35 other financial obligation, and it is not sold to financial institutions, contrary to the blatant lies of the "liaryer" promoters of
36 this argument. In short, the birth certificate is not the foundation for the credit used as money today.

37 Why don't you ask the advocates of this argument to produce some reliable documentation that birth certificates are the
38 basis of credit in this country rather than the instruments mentioned above? It is simply foolish to rely on the word of Roger
39 Elvick. It is even more foolish to believe anything that DeReimer declares.

5.2 Flaw 2: The birth certificate cannot be, as a matter of law, a guarantee of debt.

A debt is created by a debtor making a promise to pay a creditor a specified amount of money over a specified period of time. Merchandise purchased on credit involves the buyer delivering a promissory note to the seller wherein he promises to pay a specific periodic amount with interest until the debt is paid. When a borrower obtains a loan, he delivers a promissory note to the lender. A promissory note by definition requires the payment of certain specific amounts of funds to the holder of that note. Is a birth certificate a promissory note? It simply cannot be because the party named therein has no obligation to make any payment of anything to some alleged holder thereof (and traffic tickets, indictments, IRS documents and letters, etc., also are not commercial instruments).

But ignoring for the moment this major fatal flaw, presume for purposes of argument that a birth certificate is indeed a promissory note. The redemption advocates claim that the "straw man" is liable to pay some unspecified amount to some unspecified creditor who holds the financial instrument known as a birth certificate (I have been unable to learn from the advocates the name of the ephemeral creditor). They further argue that the "counterpart" of the "straw man," you, must answer for this debt of the "straw man." This is legally impossible. I view such an argument as evidence of lunacy.

The "statute of frauds" originates from the common law and every state today has a general "statute of frauds." For example, here in Alabama, we have a "statute of frauds" found in Ala. Code §8-9-2, which states that "every special promise to answer for the debt, default or miscarriage of another" must be in writing and signed by the party to be charged. This same type of requirement appears in our version of the UCC, Ala. Code §7-2-201, which requires contracts for the sale of goods of more than 500 bucks to be in writing and subscribed by the party liable. Precisely where is your agreement to answer for the debt of the straw man? If such an agreement exists, have you signed that agreement making you legally liable to pay that debt of the straw man? The truth of the matter is that such a signed agreement does not exist. But without your signature to a guarantee making you liable for this debt, you cannot legally be liable.

The advocates of this insanity further contend that the international banks which hold these birth certificates as security for some unknown financial obligation have a claim against you for your whole life, unless of course you "redeem your straw man" by perfecting your claim against him by filing a Form UCC-1 financing statement. Can you really be legally responsible for some debt for the rest of your life? Again, our statute of frauds found at Alabama Code §8-9-2 requires that "every agreement which, by its terms, is not to be performed within one year from the making thereof" must be in writing and signed by the party to be charged. The redemptionists assert that whenever a child is born and his birth certificate is filed in DC and later bought by some big bank, that creditor owns you for the rest of your life. We all know that the average life expectancy of a baby is longer than a single year. Just where is this agreement signed by you (apparently on the day you were born) which cannot by its very terms be performed within a single year? Have you ever signed such an agreement? The truth of the matter is that every aspect of this redemption theory flies in the face of the statute of frauds.

5.3 Flaw 3: Our bodies and our labor are not articles of commerce.

The "redemption process" advocates contend that via our birth certificates, we have pledged our bodies and the labor of our lifetimes to those creditors who hold these birth certificates; in essence, our labor is commerce according to this theory. The purchase of these birth certificates is allegedly performed in Washington, DC. However, at this place where federal law clearly applies, federal law declares via 15 U.S.C., §17, that "The labor of a human being is not a commodity or article of commerce." Does this "redemption" argument not plainly conflict with federal law?

5.4 Flaw 4: The 1935 Social Security Act did not create an account for everyone born in this country in the amount of approximately \$630,000.

In review of the material I have been provided regarding this argument, it is plainly alleged that whenever anyone is born in this country, a sum of approximately \$630,000 is deposited into some account at the US Treasury or the Social Security Administration and that this account was created by the 1935 Social Security Act. This contention is utterly false as may be seen simply by reading [the act which is posted to the SSA web site](#).

5.5 Flaw 5: The above named account is not the "Treasury direct account."

Neither the original Social Security Act nor any amendment to it created an account known as the "Treasury direct account." However, there is such an account established by Treasury for those who routinely purchase US notes and bonds.

1 A description of this account may be found at 31 C.F.R., part 357 and specifically 31 CFR § 357.20. Those who assert that
2 everyone has such an account know nothing about such accounts. And there is no "public side" and "private side" for these
3 accounts.

4 **5.6 Flaw 6: You cannot write sight drafts on the Treasury of the United States via this non-**
5 **existent account.**

6 If you send any such sight draft to anyone, you will be prosecuted for violations of 18 USC §514 which provides as
7 follows:

8 *Sec. 514. Fictitious obligations*

9 *(a) Whoever, with the intent to defraud -*

10 *(1) draws, prints, processes, produces, publishes, or otherwise makes, or attempts or causes the same, within*
11 *the United States;*

12 *(2) passes, utters, presents, offers, brokers, issues, sells, or attempts or causes the same, or with like intent*
13 *possesses, within the United States; or*

14 *(3) utilizes interstate or foreign commerce, including the use of the mails or wire, radio, or other electronic*
15 *communication, to transmit, transport, ship, move, transfer, or attempts or causes the same, to, from, or*
16 *through the United States,*

17 *any false or fictitious instrument, document, or other item appearing, representing, purporting, or contriving*
18 *through scheme or artifice, to be an actual security or other financial instrument issued under the authority of*
19 *the United States, a foreign government, a State or other political subdivision of the United States, or an*
20 *organization, shall be guilty of a class B felony.*

21 *(b) For purposes of this section, any term used in this section that is defined in section 513(c) has the same*
22 *meaning given such term in section 513(c).*

23 *(c) The United States Secret Service, in addition to any other agency having such authority, shall have authority*
24 *to investigate offenses under this section.*

25 Violations of this statute provide for a maximum period of 25 years imprisonment.

26 A friend of mine from Kooskia, Idaho attended a meeting where Jack Smith of Wrong Way Law spoke regarding this new
27 "redemption process." During a break at this meeting, my friend asked Smith to provide specific authority and
28 documentation demonstrating that this was a bona fide argument. Smith admitted that this new argument was 100% theory.

29 The "redemption process" is one of the craziest arguments I have ever seen arise within this movement. Yet, people blindly
30 accept this argument without question or investigation.

31 Latest News About the Redemption Process (Feb. 23, 2001):

32 This e-mail was received this date; it concerns one of the unfortunate followers of the process who was recently indicted:

33 *Ballard man doubts U.S. existence*

34
35 *By: BILL ARCHER, Staff February 19, 2001*

36 *BALLARD - The small Monroe County farming community of Ballard seems an unlikely place for a story with*
37 *national implications to emerge, but that's exactly what is taking place. One of the community's residents,*
38 *Rodney Eugene Smith, is involved in litigation that calls into question the very existence of the U.S.*
39 *government. Smith, 63, seems quiet, polite and soft-spoken in his court appearances. Like about anyone would,*
40 *he expressed a preference to be seated in the audience gallery during hearings. But unlike everyone in the*
41 *federal courtroom in Beckley on Thursday, he was in the custody of U.S. Marshals, and therefore, had to sit at*
42 *the defense table.*

1 U.S. District Judge David A. Faber of the Southern District of West Virginia had ordered him to take a mental
2 competency exam at a hearing on Feb. 5 in Bluefield. At that time, Faber questioned the "nonsensical" motions
3 Smith has been filing in the case involving the serious federal criminal charges he faces.

4 Smith's life isn't necessarily an open book. At least eight years before appearing in federal court in the Southern
5 District of West Virginia, Smith was convicted in the state of New York for passing fraudulent documents - a
6 felony. A similar set of circumstances led to his Dec. 6, 2000, arrest and initial appearance before U.S.
7 Magistrate Judge Mary S. Feinberg.

8 The charges that brought Smith into the federal courts in Bluefield and Beckley involved passing four "bills of
9 exchange," totaling under \$50,000, to various people and entities. The Internal Revenue Service agent heading
10 the investigation characterized the drafts as being associated to "fictitious obligations." Since his arrest, the
11 government's initial complaint has expanded to include charges of possession of firearms by a convicted felon.
12 A Beckley grand jury issued a "superseding indictment" against Smith in January.

13 None of that seems to faze him. Based on his statements to the court as well as the voluminous number of
14 documents Smith has filed in this and other cases he is associated with in federal court, the entire process seems
15 to be an exercise in "acceptance for value."

16 The federal government and several states are aware of the entire "acceptance for value" concept. The U.S.
17 Department of Justice is constantly monitoring any surfacing of what they term the "Redemption Scheme." As of
18 June 2000, 16 states including Arizona, Colorado, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Missouri, Montana, Ohio,
19 Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin and Wyoming have passed at least some laws - in
20 several instances several laws - to protect public officials and private citizens from becoming victims of the
21 scheme.

22 Much has been written about the evolution of the so-called "redeemers," but the thumb nail version goes like
23 this. Redeemers (who don't refer to themselves by that term) are essentially a composite of several fringe
24 (militia-like) organizations that tend to hold some very strong anti-government beliefs.

25 During Smith's hearing Thursday in Beckley, Faber made reference to two specific documents that he said
26 helped the court understand some of the phrases Smith has been using in court and in his "pro se" (self-
27 represented) court filings. Faber referenced a paper by Mark Pitcavage, Ph.D., titled "Old Wine, New Bottles:
28 Paper Terrorism, Paper Scams and Paper 'Redemption,'" published Nov., 8, 1999, and "The Radical Common
29 Law Movement and Paper Terrorism, The State Response," dated June 2000, by Denise Griffith and L. Cheryl
30 Runyon.

31 At the risk of oversimplification, the independent researchers and the state and federal agencies mentioned in
32 the reports, claim that "redeemers" trace their roots to a murky event in 1909, that somehow - in redemption
33 practitioner belief - caused the United States to go bankrupt. Pitcavage states that in the redeemer's scenario,
34 the World Bank gave the U.S., a 20-year moratorium to get its financial act together. However, when that failed
35 to happen, the stock market crashed and America was thrown in the depths of the Great Depression.

36 Redeemer beliefs, according to Pitcavage and Griffith, are interwoven with significant developments in
37 American history including passage of the U.S. Social Security Act of 1935, and the change from a "gold
38 standard" monetary policy to a money system backed by the Federal Reserve, founded in 1913. The researchers
39 claim a thread of continuity connects present day paper terrorists with high-profile groups such as the Texas
40 Freemen, the Branch Davidians and others.

41 Griffith wrote that anti-government activity "escalated to unprecedented levels during the 1009s," and referred
42 to the 1992 confrontation between Randy Weaver and federal agents at Ruby Ridge, Idaho, as well the 1993
43 federal action at the Branch Davidian compound at Waco, Texas, as being some of the more prominent events.

44 "It was the 1996 standoff at the Freemen compound in Montana, however, that helped shed national light on a
45 quieter, less visible form of protest that is being played out in the nation's judicial system," Griffith wrote. "...the
46 filing of frivolous liens against the property of public officials." She added that clearing the fraudulent liens,
47 "clogs an already overburdened judicial system."

48 Smith has filed documents indicating that Rodney Eugene Smith will "accept for value" and documents filed on
49 RODNEY EUGENE SMITH, spelled in all capital letters. Smith refers to HJR-192, a House Joint Resolution
50 passed by Congress on June 5, 1933, among the massive federal New Deal package, that redeemers interpret as
51 the nation's declaration of bankruptcy.

52 Redemption scheme practitioners cite the Uniform Commercial Code as defined in HJR-192 as their vehicle for
53 recovering what they call their "straw men" or "stramineus homo," an entity they claim the government created
54 to serve as a conduit to extract energy from flesh and blood citizens. They claim each person's "straw man" is
55 referenced by the government in all capital letters.

1 Subscribers to this philosophy appear willing to invest whatever is required of them to liberate or "redeem"
2 their straw man. The passing of fraudulent documents, such as the bogus "bill of exchanges" Smith was arrested
3 for, as well as other bogus documents called "sight drafts" are considered means of liberation, according to
4 Griffith and Pitcavage.

5 The Treasury Department's Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance
6 Corporation issued alerts to banking officials, warning about the fraudulent sight drafts and instructing bank
7 officials to notify the Federal Bureau of Investigation if they receive one.

8 "Your institution should also prepare a Suspicious Activity Report," according to an OCC advisory. "Under no
9 circumstances should your institution honor one of these instruments or submit it for payment."

10 Pitcavage and Griffith also described a redemption scheme tactic meant to harass public officials. Both
11 explained that, for example, if a police officer cited a redemption practitioner for a traffic violation, the
12 practitioner would fix a "value" to the document - say \$50,000 - accept it for value, then submit an IRS Form
13 1099 naming the issuing officer as the recipient of a gift. Under normal circumstances, the IRS would see the
14 gift as unreported income when the unsuspecting officer filed his taxes.

15 Faber has proceeded very cautiously in Smith's criminal case. The judge stated openly in court that people have
16 a right to voice opposition to the government, however, he made it clear that Smith "is not entitled to harass
17 and interfere with other people," and added that as a federal judge, he has a responsibility "to protect the
18 public."

19 Faber ordered Smith to have a mental competency hearing exam locally, and scheduled a hearing on the matter
20 for March 5, in Bluefield.

21 ©Bluefield Daily Telegraph 2001

22 We have an article on the Family Guardian website about Roger Elvick himself being arrested:

23 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/LawAndGovt/News/RogerElvickArrest-030905.pdf>

24 The U.S. Treasury has also put the public on notice that Bills of Exchange and Sight Drafts filed with the Dept. of the
25 Treasury will promptly land anyone who uses them into jail:

26 http://www.treasurydirect.gov/instit/statreg/fraud/fraud_bogussightdraft.htm

26 **6 United States Treasury Dept. View of UCC Redemption**

27 The United States Treasury thinks that UCC Redemption advocates are irrational, are motivated only by money, and that
28 what they practice is more of a religion than a science. Below is an article by the Treasury on this subject:

29 **Bogus Sight Drafts/Bills of Exchange Drawn on the Treasury**

30 There has been a proliferation of bogus sight drafts and bills of exchange drawn on the U.S.
31 Treasury Department. These documents have appeared in a majority of states and have been used
32 in an attempt to pay for everything from cars to child support. [View](#) image of a "Bogus Sight Draft
33 (230K JPG file, uploaded 12/12/2002)."

34 **The Story**

35 A stripped-down version of this scheme is as follows: When the United States went off the gold
36 standard in 1933, the federal government somehow went bankrupt. With the help of the Federal
37 Reserve Bank, the government converted the bodies of its citizens into capital value, supposedly by
38 trading the birth certificates of U.S. citizens on the open market. After following a complicated
39 process of filing UCC documents with either the Secretary of State of the person's residence or
40 another state that will accept the filings, each citizen is entitled to redeem his or her "value" by
41 filling out a sight draft drawn on their (nonexistent) TreasuryDirect account. The scheme asserts
42 that each citizen's Social Security Number is also his or her account number. As a part of the
43 scheme, participants also file false IRS Forms 8300 and Currency Transaction Reports in the name
44 of law enforcement officials and other individuals they seek to harass.

1 **The Reality**

2 *Drawing such drafts on the U.S. Treasury is fraudulent and a violation of federal law. The theory*
3 *behind their use is bogus and incomprehensible. The Justice Department is vigorously prosecuting*
4 *these crimes. Federal criminal convictions have occurred in several cases. The Office of the*
5 *Comptroller of the Currency has tried to alert the banking community to this fraud. See [Suspicious](#)*
6 *[Transactions, Fictitious Sight Drafts](#). (3K txt file, uploaded 5/16/00)*

7 **A Note on Bills of Exchange**

8 *With early and vigorous prosecution by the Justice Department on bogus Sight Draft cases, we*
9 *have begun to see Bills of Exchange taking their place. This change occurred on or around*
10 *January 2001. All these Bills of Exchange drawn on the U.S. Treasury are worthless. All the same*
11 *issues and background materials applicable to Sight Drafts also apply to Bills of Exchange. This is*
12 *the same fraud under another name.*

13 *For inquiries by anyone adversely affected by this fraud, please contact your nearest FBI or Secret*
14 *Service office.*

15 [SOURCE: http://www.treasurydirect.gov/instit/statreg/fraud/fraud_bogussightdraft.htm]

16 **7 Larry Becraft View of UCC Redemption Arguments**

17 Larry Becraft is a famous constitutional law attorney who takes many high profile freedom and tax cases. Here is one
18 email from him about those who espouse UCC redemption arguments, and we agree with his assessment. The remainder of
19 this section after the line below constitute his comments.

20 _____
21 I absolutely hate and despise scammers, and plenty have gone thru this movement. Those utterly baseless and crazy UCC,
22 "we are Brits," fringe flag, etc, arguments have done incalculable damage and made this movement look like a bunch of
23 freaks. Every day, I detect gross legal errors in arguments promoted in this movement. People injured by the same come to
24 me for help and frankly, I am tired of playing "clean-up" for the gurus who promote these arguments but NEVER help
25 those injured thereby.

26 What about that stupid redemption process promoted by Wrong Way Law, Roger Elvick, Dave DeReimer, etc? From its
27 start, I tried to stop promotion of that insanity, and I even defended after indictment some people who got caught up in that
28 craziness. But when trying to battle promoters of stupid arguments, they defend themselves by hurling defamation. I have
29 learned to hate and despise all such promoters.

30 Presently, there is floating around the movement a book, USA v s US, which is premised on an utterly baseless contention
31 that the "Act of 1871" completely changed our form of govt. I address this argument below. Is it not fraud for people to
32 claim that this "act" continues in effect today when the legal truth of the matter is that the act was repealed a few years after
33 it was adopted? The gullible bite into these utterly false "legal" arguments "hook, line and sinker," but engage in
34 defamation against all who try to show them the truth. Such is the power of brainwashing.

35 Let me give another example. A guy named Victor Varjabedian wrote several years ago a book entitled "Cracking the
36 Code." Therein, he asserted that the case of "Penhallow v. Doane's Administrators, 3 U.S. 54, 1 L.Ed. 57, 3 Dall. 54" stated
37 as follows:

38 *"Inasmuch as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a*
39 *government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary having neither actuality nor*
40 *substance is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible. The legal manifestation of this that*
41 *no government, as well as any law, agency, aspect, court, etc. therefor can concern itself with anything other*
42 *than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them."*

43 I have posted this case here:

<http://home.hiwaay.net/%7Ebecraft/Penhallowcase.html>

1 The above quote appears nowhere in the case and was a big lie. Yet, it became the basis for people thinking they had untold
2 sums of funds in a "Treasury direct account" upon which they could write drafts. Via that book, waves of people starting
3 writing drafts on non-existent accounts allegedly held by the US Treasury; that book made people believe that they could
4 write hot-checks on the US Treasury!!!! This is insane. My protests against these lies have proven true: none of this false
5 scenario was correct and predictably people have been indicted. But, the promoters have long ago vanished from the scene.

6 In support of my position that the States are not foreign to Uncle Sam, I rely on cases that actually reach this conclusion.

7 **8 Lewis Ewing View of UCC Redemption Arguments**

8 Below is the view of Luis Ewing on UCC Redemption "Straw man" arguments. He is famous for the use of graphic
9 language and cuss words, and we don't approve of that approach, but we repeat his comments here unedited. He
10 thoroughly rebuts the notion that courts will refuse to prosecute persons who do not use the all caps name, and we agree
11 with him.

12 On the subject of the "Straw man" argument we agree with Lewis that it is a bad idea to argue that you are not subject to
13 the jurisdiction of a court by virtue of how your name is spelled or whether it is upper case. However, we also continue to
14 take the view that the government can only legislate for "persons" and "individuals" and that most people are not "persons"
15 or "individuals" within most federal and state statutes. All such entities, we believe, must be "public officers" in order to
16 be the proper subject of government legislation:

- 17 1. *Why Statutory Civil Law is Law for Government and Not Private Persons*, Form #05.037
18 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 19 2. *Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises*, Form #05.030:
20 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 21 3. Liberty University, Section 4:
22 <http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm>
- 23 4. Public v. Private Employment: You Really Work for Uncle Sam if you Receive Federal Benefits
24 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Taxes/Articles/PublicVPrivateEmployment.htm>

25
26 From Luis Ewing

27 To date, NOT even ONE (1) person anywhere in the United States, Texas, Louisiana Canada or anywhere else has been
28 able to prove the so called validity of the ALL CAPITAL LETTERS STRAWMAN OR COPYRIGHTING YOUR
29 CAPITAL LETTER NAME BULLSHIT.

30 There are some PATRIDIOTS, PAYTRIOTS FOR PROFIT & UNDERCOVER GOVERNMENT PROVACATEURS in
31 Louisiana and Texas who are misquoting and using the following court rules and statutes out of context with what they
32 really mean.

33 Louisiana Revised Statutes Art. 429. "Corporate existence presumed unless affidavit of denial filed before trial. On trial of
34 any criminal case it shall not be necessary to prove the incorporation of any corporation mentioned in the indictment, unless
35 the defendant, before entering upon such trial, shall have filed his affidavit specifically denying the existence of such
36 corporation."

37 See Texas Rules of Civil Procedure (TCRP) Rule 52, Alleging a Corporation:

38 *"Allegations that a corporation is incorporated shall be taken as Truth unless denied by affidavit of the adverse*
39 *party, his agent or his attorney, whether such corporation is public or private and however created."*

40 TRCP Rule 52 was cited in Galleria Bank vs. Southwest Properties, 498 Southwest 2nd, page 5, as follows: "The failure of
41 an adverse party [i.e. you] to deny under oath the allegation that he is incorporated dispenses with the necessity of proof of
42 the fact."

1 Did anyone read the title to this case? It clearly says Galleria Bank vs. Southwest Properties doesn't it? I am willing to bet
2 phony FRN money that both Galleria Bank and Southwest Properties are incorporated with the State of Texas!

3 All this means is that if someone opens up a business called 7-11, Safeway, Galleria Bank or Southwest Properties, that the
4 presumption is that these businesses are in fact and law "incorporated" by the State wherein they reside to do business
5 "within" the State unless they specifically deny under oath that they are not in fact and law "incorporated" by the State
6 period. and that is all TRCP Rule 52 says.

7 To restate it another way, I could open a Business and call it "Luis Ewing Roofing and Construction" and someone wants to
8 sue the corporation "Luis Ewing Roofing and Construction" as opposed to suing me "Luis Ewing", the presumption is that I
9 actually "incorporated" my "Luis Ewing Roofing and Construction" business with the State unless I specifically deny under
10 oath that I did NOT in fact and law "incorporate" said company period. All I have to do in this case is to show up in court
11 and move to dismiss, because the plaintiff named the wrong party and that if they want to sue "Luis Ewing" that they have
12 to refile their suit against me "Luis Ewing" and not "Luis Ewing Roofing and Construction," and that is all this particular
13 rule means and nothing more.

14 This is consistent with the following Texas Rule of Civil Procedure to wit:

15 *TRCP 93. CERTAIN PLEAS TO BE VERIFIED*

16 *"A pleading setting up any of the following matters, unless the truth of such matters appear of record, shall be*
17 *verified by affidavit.*

- 18
- 19 *1. That the plaintiff has not legal capacity to sue or that the defendant has not the legal capacity to be sued. (if*
20 *you are not a corporation you would lack capacity, just for fun look up "civilly dead" or "civil death")*
- 21 *2. That the plaintiff is not entitled to recover in the capacity in which he sues, or that the defendant is not liable*
22 *in the capacity in which he is sued. (Note: Corporations, or members of a corporation as officers or*
23 *employees of a corporation) cannot sue a man who is of unlimited liability status.) *
- 24 *3. omitted*
- 25 *4. That there is a defect of parties, plaintiff or defendant. (See Note in #2 above.)*
- 26 *5. omitted*
- 27 *6. That any party alleged in any pleading to be a corporation is not incorporated as alleged. (See note in #2*
28 *above).*

29 These YO YO'S are twisting and distorting and warping these statutes and court rules to say things that these court rules
30 and statutes do not say period.

31 Or to state it another way, let's say that I opened a business and called it "Luis Ewing Roofing and Construction" but
32 NEVER "incorporated" it with the State, TRCP Rule 52 allows me to file an affidavit and specifically deny under oath that
33 "Luis Ewing Roofing and Construction" is NOT incorporated period and that is all TRCP Rule 52 says. Refer to Dr.
34 Pepper Company v. Crowe, 621 S.W.2nd. 466, which held as follows:

35 *Plaintiff pled defendant as a corporation. Defendant did not deny by verified pleading pursuant to TRCP 52 and*
36 *93 that he was not a corporation.*

37 Thus, such fact was established. I am also willing to bet phony FRN money that Dr. Pepper Company is probably
38 "incorporated" with the state as is both Galleria Bank and Southwest Properties cited above.

39 The BULLSHITTERS AND CON ARTIST'S IN TEXAS, LOUISIANA and CANADA DE-TAX people pushing TRCP
40 Rule 52 and citing Galleria Bank vs. Southwest Properties, supra, and Dr. Pepper Company v. Crowe , supra are
41 misquoting and using those cases out of context with what they really mean period.

42 The fact is that you will NOT find even just ONE (1) Case under TRCP 52 Annotated Case law that says the court has NO
43 jurisdiction over you if they converted the Common Law Full Christian Upper and Lower case name into the ALL
44 CAPITAL LETTERS CORPORATION STRAWMAN that they are so falsely claiming.

45 The fact is that you will NOT find just even ONE (1) Case under TRCP 52 Annotated Case Law that says if you deny that
46 you are incorporated because you are a flesh and blood living god created man, that the court will dismiss your case.

47 What a fucking JOKE this argument is!

1 TRCP Rule 52 is THE BIG SO WHAT! Likewise, Galleria Bank vs. Southwest Properties, supra, and Dr. Pepper Company
2 v. Crowe, supra, cited above are also THE BIG SO WHAT!

3 That Texas Court Rule and those cases do NOT say what these scammers, patriots, patriots for profit and undercover
4 government provocateurs say it does period! (Emphasis added).

5 I challenge anybody in Texas, Louisiana or Canada to pull and read every case cited under TRCP Rule 52 and find me just
6 ONE (1) Case that says a court has NO jurisdiction over a defendant for committing a criminal act just because the court
7 misspelled your name into the ALL CAPITAL LETTERS STRAWMAN!!!

8 IT IS UNDISPUTED THAT THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING in TRCP Rule 52, Galleria Bank vs. Southwest
9 Properties, supra, and Dr. Pepper Company v. Crowe, supra, that says a court has NO jurisdiction over you because they
10 misspelled your name into the CORPORATE FICTION ALL CAPITAL LETTER STRAWMAN PERIOD!!!

11 These people who believe this crap are so stupid, they wouldn't understand the law if you hit them over the head with a law
12 book! Either they are born stupid or their mommas dropped them on their heads when they were babies.

13 (Emphasis added).

14 Ask any of the YO YO'S who are pushing this ALL CAPS BS argument to cite just ONE (1) State Decision in any
15 Appellate or Supreme Court in even just ONE (1) State in the entire united States that WON THEIR CASE where the
16 Judge said YES YOU ARE CORRECT, WE HAVE NO JURISDICTION BECAUSE WE MISS-SPELLED YOUR
17 NAME IN ALL CAPITAL LETTERS instead of upper and lower case in any published volume and place the cite below:

- 18 1.) One State Appellate Court Decision here: _____, ____ _____ ().
- 19 2.) One State Supreme Court Decision here: _____, ____ _____ ().
- 20 3.) One United States District Court from any circuit here, _____, ____ _____ ().
- 21 4.) One UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DECISION HERE: _____ ().
- 22 5.) One Am Jur Cite here, _____, _____ ().
- 23 6.) One Corpus Juris Cite here, _____, ____ _____ ().
- 24 7.) One Corpus Juris Secundum Cite here, _____, ____ _____ ().
- 25 8.) One Ruling Case Law Cite here, _____, ____ _____ ().
- 26 9.) One Words & Phrases Cite here, _____, ____ _____ ().
- 27 10.) One Blackstones Commentaries Cite here: _____, _____ ().
- 28 11.) One Kents Commentaries Cite here: _____, _____ ().

29 WELL, WHERE IS IT? WHERE IS THE CASE THAT PROVES THAT A COURT HAS NO JURISDICTION OVER A
30 DEFENDANT WHO COMMITS A CRIMINAL ACT BECAUSE THE COURT MISSPELLED THE DEFENDANT'S
31 NAME IN ALL CAPITAL LETTERS THUS PROVING THE STRAWMAN DOES EXIST BULLSHIT? DO YOU SEE
32 NOW IN ALL CAPITAL LETTERS HOW BULLSHIT THEY ARE YET?

33 WHY? BECAUSE THEY WILL NEVER BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU EVEN JUST ONE (1) CASE IN ANY STATE
34 OR FEDERAL OR EVEN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT THAT EVER WON ON THE GROUNDS THAT
35 THE COURT HAD NO JURISDICTION BECAUSE THEY MISSPELLED YOUR NAME IN ALL CAPITAL
36 LETTERS! BUT HERE IS SOME CASES PROVING THAT THIS ARGUMENT HAS GONE DOWN IN FLAMES:

- 37 1.) Jaeger v. Dubuque County, 880 F.Supp. 640 (N.D.Iowa 1995)
- 38 2.) United States v. Heard, 952 F.Supp. 329 (N.D.W.Va . 1996)
- 39 3.) Boyce v. C.I.R., 72 T.C.M. 1996-439 ("an objection to the spelling of petitioners' names in capital letters because they
40 are not 'fictitious entities'" was rejected)
- 41 4.) United States v. Washington, 947 F.Supp. 87, 92 (S.D.N.Y. 1996)("Finally, the defendant contends that the Indictment
42 must be dismissed because 'Kurt Washington,' spelled out in capital letters, is a fictitious name used by the Government
43 to tax him improperly as a business, and that the correct spelling and presentation of his name is 'Kurt Washington.' This
44 contention is baseless")
- 45 5.) United States v. Klimek, 952 F.Supp. 1100 (E.D.Pa . 1997)
- 46 6.) In re Gdowik, 228 B.R. 481, 482 (S.D.Fla. 1997)(claim that "the use of his name JOHN E GDOWIK is an 'illegal
47 misnomer' and use of said name violates the right to his lawful status" was rejected)

- 1 7.) Russell v. United States, 969 F.Supp. 24, 25 (W.D. Mich. 1997)("Petitioner * * * claims because his name is in all
- 2 capital letters on the summons, he is not subject to the summons"; this argument held frivolous)
- 3 8.) United States v. Lindbloom, 97-2 U.S.T.C. 50650 (W.D. Wash. 1997)("In this submission, Mr. Lindbloom states that
- 4 he and his wife are not proper defendants to this action because their names are not spelled with all capital letters as
- 5 indicated in the civil caption." The CAPS argument and the "refused for fraud" contention were rejected)
- 6 9.) Rosenheck & Co., Inc. v. United States, 79 A.F.T.R.2d. (RIA) 2715 (N.D. Ok. 1997)("Kostich has made the
- 7 disingenuous argument the IRS documents at issue here fail to properly identify him as the taxpayer. Defendant Kostich
- 8 contends his "Christian name" is Walter Edward, Kostich, Junior and since the IRS documents do not contain his
- 9 "Christian name," he is not the person named in the Notice of Levy. The Court expressly finds Defendant WALTER
- 10 EDWARD KOSTICH JR. is the person identified in the Notice of Levy, irrespective of the commas, capitalization of
- 11 letters, or other alleged irregularities Kostich identifies as improper. Similarly, the Court's finding applies to the filed
- 12 pleadings in this matter")
- 13 10.) United States v. Weatherley, 12 F.Supp.2d 469 (E.D.Pa. 1998)
- 14 11.) United States v. Frech, 149 F.3d. 1192 (10th Cir. 1998)("Defendants' assertion that the capitalization of their names in
- 15 court documents constitutes constructive fraud, thereby depriving the district court of jurisdiction and venue, is without
- 16 any basis in law or fact").

17 THE ONLY PERSON WHO WILL GET MAD AT ME FOR SAYING ANY OF THIS IS THE PERSON WHO
18 REALIZES FOR THE FIRST TIME EVER HOW STUPID THAT THEY REALLY WERE FOR BELIEVING THESE
19 SCAMMERS AND CON MEN WHO PUSH THE ALL CAPS ARGUMENT.

20 ASKING ME TO PROVE WHY THE ALL CAPS ARGUMENT IS BOGUS IS LIKE ASKING ME TO PROVE THAT
21 THE EASTER BUNNY AND SANTA CLAUS DOES NOT EXIST!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

22 The case law even says that I do NOT have to prove a Negative to wit:

23 *"[I] Evidence Proof of Negative Sufficiency. Proof of a negative need not be conclusive, but is sufficient*
24 *when the existence of the negative is made probable or a reasonable presumption of the negative has been*
25 *created. . . The rule is, however, that Full and conclusive proof is not required where a party has the burden of*
26 *proving a negative, but it is necessary that the proof be at least sufficient to render the existence of the negative*
27 *probable, or to create a fair and reasonable presumption of the negative until the contrary is shown. (Footnotes*
28 *omitted.) 30 Am. Jur. 2d Evidence section 1163, at 338 (1967). Accord, 31A C.J.S. Evidence section 112, at 190*
29 *(1964); E. Cleary, McCormick's Handbook of the Law of Evidence section 337, at 786 (2d ed. 1972). . . . An*
30 *administrative agency does not have the authority to decide the validity of the law under which it operates; and,*
31 *further, in view of our holding herein, there is no administrative remedy to exhaust. Bar v. Gorton, 84 Wn.2d.*
32 *380, 382, 526 P.2d. 379 (1974). See also Schreiber v. Riemcke, 11 Wn.App. 873, 874, 526 P.2d. 904 (1974)."*
33 *HIGGINS v. SALEWSKY, 17 Wn.App. 207, 210, 211, 212, 213, 562 P.2d. 655 (March 28, 1977). And;*

34 FOR THOSE WHO HAVEN'T GOT IT YET, HERE IS AN EXTREME EXAMPLE THAT WILL ABSOLUTELY
35 PROVE MY STATEMENTS ARE CORRECT IN FACT AND IN LAW. EXAMPLE:

36 Let's say that I raped your wife, fiance , girlfriend, lover, daughter, sister and/or your mother and grandmother and then the
37 court brought me in on numerous rape charges. "Do you think that I should be able to simply walk into court and say:
38 "Your Honor, I'm sorry to inform you that this court has NO jurisdiction because you spelled my name in all CAPITAL
39 LETTERS and therefore you have no choice but to dismiss this case," and oh by the way your honor, I've notice your wife
40 and daughter are in the court room and I'm going to rape them on my way out and there is nothing you can do because you
41 spelled my name in ALL CAPITAL LETTERS."

42 I GUARANTEE YOU THAT IF YOU GO RAPE SOMEONE AND THEN CLAIM THE COURT HAS NO
43 JURISDICTION TO PROSECUTE YOU BECAUSE THEY MISPELLED YOUR NAME IN ALL CAPITAL LETTERS,
44 THAT IT WILL BE YOU AND NOT YOUR ALL CAPITAL LETTERS STRAWMAN PICKING UP THE SOAP IN
45 FRONT OF BUBBA IN THE GRAY BAR MOTEL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

46 The PAYTRIOT FOR PROFIT, CON ARTIST, SCAMMER, PATRIDOT AND UNDERCOVER GOVERNMENT
47 INFORMANT has failed to provide a "certified copy" of the private statute or local municipal traffic code that says the
48 Court has NO jurisdiction because it spelled your name in ALL CAPITAL LETTERS by its title, and the days of its
49 passage as required by subsection (j) of RULE 9 PLEADING PRIVATE MATTERS, therefore this court cannot take
50 judicial notice of this case until the plaintiff can provide a "certified copy" citing the date of passage of either the Municipal

1 Ordinance or State Statute that says the Court has NO jurisdiction because it spelled your name in ALL CAPITAL
2 LETTERS See CRLJ or CR 9 to wit:

3 "CRLJ 9(j) Pleading Private Statutes. In pleading a private statute, or a right derived therefrom, it shall be sufficient to refer
4 to such statute by its title, and the day of its passage, and the court shall thereupon take judicial notice thereof."

5 THE LAW IS COMMON SENSE! IF IT'S LAW IT WILL BE FOUND IN OUR LAW BOOKS, IF IT IS NOT THE
6 LAW, IT WILL NOT BE FOUND IN OUR LAW BOOKS!

7 Lord Chief Justice Cambden long ago held that a court's authority and jurisdiction must be legislatively conferred by a
8 statute:

9 "If it is law, it will be found in our books. If it is not be found there, it is not law. Entick v. Carrington, 19 Howell's St. Tr.,
10 Col. 1029, 1065-1066 (1765)." Hurtado v. California , 110 U.S. 516, 536 (1884).

11 PLEASE, PLEASE Tell the YO YO'S pushing that ALL CAPS BS TO PUT UP THE STATUTE OR CODES SECTION
12 THAT SAYS THIS BULLSHIT IS LEGALLY AND LAWFULLY CORRECT OR TO SHUT THE HELL UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

13 My friend Dan Meador who managed the FREEDOM HALL IN OKLAHOMA and was a former friend of mine who died
14 over two years ago wrote an article in December 4, 1999 called Fraudulent Juristic Name (Here is your Straw Man). To see
15 the article and read it for yourself, go to the following web site link at: < <http://www.svpvriil.com/dmjuristic.html>>

16 In general, it is necessary to properly identify parties to actions or judgments are void, as treated in Volume 46, American
17 Jurisprudence 2d, "Judgments":

18 *100 Parties [46 Am Jur 2d JUDGMENTS]*

19 *A judgment should identify the parties for and against whom it is rendered, with such certainty that it may be*
20 *readily enforced, and a judgment which does not do so may be regarded as void for uncertainty. Such*
21 *identification may be achieved by naming the persons for and against whom the judgment is rendered.*
22 *Technical deficiencies in the naming of the persons for and against whom judgment is rendered can be*
23 *corrected if the parties are not prejudiced. A reference in a judgment to a party plainly liable , followed by an*
24 *omission of that party's name from the language of the decree, at least gives rise to an ambiguity and calling for*
25 *an inquiry into the court's real intention as reflected in the entire record and surrounding circumstances.*
26 *[Footnote numbers omitted; cites not reproduced]*

27 If it is really you who raped, robbed, killed or assaulted someone, could someone please explain to all of us how you are
28 prejudiced when the court properly corrects the spelling of your name and enters your common law full Christian name in
29 upper and lower case to your exact specifications into the minutes of the court proceedings and grants your motion to quash
30 or strike the misspelling of your name into the ALL CAPITAL LETTER STRAWMAN BULLSHIT?

31 Or are you going to say: YOUR HONOR, I DIDN'T RAPE, ROB, KILL OR ASSAULT THAT WOMAN, MY
32 "STRAWMAN DID IT."??? DON'T YOU PEOPLE SEE WHAT A JOKE THE ALL CAPITAL LETTERS STRAWMAN
33 ARGUMENT IS YET?

34 In Oklahoma, Dan Meador found the following statute 22 Okla. Stat. 403 that specifically gives instruction to the court to
35 correct the spelling of your name should the court happen to misspell your name in any manner. See 22 Okla. Stat. 403 to
36 wit:

37 *"22 Okla. Stat. 403. When a defendant is indicted or prosecuted by a fictitious or erroneous name, and in any*
38 *stage of the proceedings his true name is discovered, it must be inserted in the subsequent proceedings,*
39 *referring to the fact of his being charged by the name mentioned in the indictment or information.*

40 Dan Meador admitted to me personally that he had researched the hell out of the ALL CAPITAL LETTERS issue and tried
41 to brief it out and actually use it in court and got "slammed" every time by the judges who also cited 22 Okla. Stat. 403 and
42 then proceeded to correct the spelling of his name into his common law full Christian name into upper and lower case. Dan
43 Meador acknowledged that he believed that I finally put the nail in the coffin and put this "dead horse" to rest once and for
44 all when I exposed and put down many of the numerous patriot myths and scams in my speech at the last FREEDOM
45 HALL CONFERENCE that I attended in Oklahoma.

1 Dan Meador told me that he agreed with me that the ALL CAPITAL LETTER STRAWMAN ARGUMENT WAS
2 BULLSHIT! (Note: Dan doesn't normally swear, but he was so mad at all the time he wasted trying to prove this nonsense,
3 he actually used the BS word).

4 My other friend from Oklahoma RICHARD CORNFORTH said it best: "THE KIND OF PERSON WHO BELIEVES IN
5 THE ALL CAPITAL LETTERS STRAWMAN IS THE KIND OF PERSON WHO BELIEVES ANYTHING THAT
6 THEY ARE TOLD!"

7 Here in Washington State the ALL CAPITAL LETTERS BULLSHIT was put to rest back in the times of the Territory in
8 the Code of 1881 and was carried forward into the current RCW State Statutes and the same was done in every other State.
9 See RCW 10.40.050 to wit:

10 *RCW 10.40.050 Entry and use of true name.*

11 *If he alleges that another name is his true name it must be entered in the minutes of the court, and the*
12 *subsequent proceedings on the indictment or information may be had against him by that name, referring also*
13 *to the name by which he is indicted or informed against. [1891 c 28 49; Code 1881 1065; 1873 p 232 227;*
14 *1854 p 116 91; RRS 2097.] Notes: Action on discovery of true name:*

15 *RCW 10.46.060.*

16 *RCW 10.46.060 True name inserted in proceedings.*

17 *When a defendant is designated in the indictment or information by a fictitious or erroneous name, and in any*
18 *stage of the proceedings his true name is discovered, it may be inserted in the subsequent proceedings,*
19 *referring to the fact of his being indicted or informed against by the name mentioned in the indictment or*
20 *information. [1891 c 28 23; Code 1881 1007; 1873 p 225 190; 1869 p 241 185; RRS 2058.] Notes:*
21 *True name: RCW 10.40.050.*

22 The Judge will simply say you are correct, we misspelled your name in ALL CAPITAL LETTERS and the statutes RCW
23 10.40.050 & RCW 10.46.060 requires that we enter your TRUE NAME in UPPER AND LOWER CASE and now that we
24 have done that: "WHAT NEXT YOU MORON"???

25 And finally, these statutes were brought forth into the current rule for procedures prior to trial at CrRLJ 4.1(d) which reads:

26 *". . . (d) Name. Defendant shall be asked his true name. If he alleges that his true name is one other than that by*
27 *which he is charged, it must be entered in the minutes of the court, and subsequent proceedings shall be had*
28 *against him by that name or other names relevant to the proceedings."*

29 The Judge will again say you are correct, we misspelled your name in ALL CAPITAL LETTERS and the court rule CrRLJ
30 4.1(d) requires that we enter your TRUE NAME in UPPER AND LOWER CASE and now that we have done that:
31 "WHAT NEXT YOU MORON"???

32 Do you PATRIDLOTS see how easy it is for the court to overcome the SILLY ALL CAPITAL LETTERS BULLSHIT with
33 the above two statutes and court rule.

34 I REPEAT: All the judge has to do is say, fine, we will enter your name spelled in upper and lower case in the court docket.
35 OKAY, NOW THAT THEY SPELLED YOUR DUMB ASS NAMES IN Upper and Lower Case, WHAT STUPID
36 ARGUMENT ARE YOU GOING TO BRING FORWARD NEXT?

37 IF YOU WANT TO BE THE GUY WHO PICKS UP THE SOAP FOR BUBBA IN THE GRAYBAR MOTEL, THEN I
38 RECOMMEND YOU ARGUE THE ALL CAPITAL LETTERS STRAWMAN ARGUMENT!

39 DO YOU PATRIDLOTS'S FEEL STUPID YET???????????????

40 IT IS UNDISPUTED THAT THE ALL CAPITAL LETTERS ARGUMENT IS ABSOLUTE BULLSHIT!!!!!!

41 IT IS UNDISPUTED THAT ALL OF RIGHTWAY LAWS LEGAL PAPERWORK IS LIKEWISE BULLSHIT!!!

1 Both Dan Meador and I separately did our own research, wrote our own briefs and went on a lark and had actually tried 7-9
2 years ago to make the ALL CAPITAL LETTERS argument as an experiment to see what the court's would do and the
3 judge brought forward these statutes and court rule to me and told me that the fact that their computers or typewriters spell
4 someone's name in ALL CAPITAL LETTERS was faster to type and easier to read and that it became common practice
5 and that my argument was frivolous but that these statutes required him to change my name in the court docket and then he
6 said: "Well Luis Anthony, of Ewing, anything else" in a really smart ass tone of voice mocking at my silly ALL CAPITAL
7 LETTERS ARGUMENT. If you folks out there want to get laughed at, then go ahead and try to make the ALL CAPITAL
8 LETTERS ARGUMENT and don't say I didn't warn you!

9 IT IS UNDISPUTED THAT THE ALL CAPITAL LETTERS STRAWMAN ARGUMENT IS ABSOLUTE BULLSHIT
10 BEING PUSHED BY ONE (1) OF THREE (3) TYPES OF PERSONS:

- 11 1.) The PAYTRIOT FOR PROFIT that runs a local law group who only cares "what's in it for him" if he sponsors an out of
12 town speaker to teach a seminar to his group of persons and does not have to accept responsibility when it goes down in
13 flames in court for being the stupid BS that it is.
- 14 2.) The PATRIDOT: A person who has been attending law meetings for years, reads the Americans Bulletin, The Jubilee,
15 The Spotlight and listens to Rush Lindbaugh and John Carlson and really believes that he "understands" the law. This
16 person is just another hardcore "patriot" who has good intentions and means well, but what was the road to hell paved
17 with??????? This person is incompetent and incapable of "reading" and will never have any "understanding" and when
18 he opens a book, he is only "looking" at the words and has absolutely NO comprehension, NO CLUE and NEVER
19 WILL Beware of those who are so stupid, that they do NOT even know they are stupid, but because they are like a
20 "parrot" and have a photographic memory and can recite and quote a bunch of cases or black law dictionary definitions,
21 they sound like they must be geniuses to the unknowing or newbie to the law meeting.
- 22 3.) The UNDERCOVER GOVERNMENT "DISINFORMATION" SPECIALIST'S AND IRS SNOOPS THAT DAN
23 MEADOR SPOKE ABOUT A YEAR AGO IN A PREVIOUS E-MAIL.

24 It is COMMON KNOWLEDGE that the burden of proof on ANY legal argument or legal theory falls upon the one who is
25 making it and they simply cannot prove it! I'M WAITING!

26 WHERE IS THE PUBLISHED OPINION IN ANY STATE OR FEDERAL JURISDICTION THAT SPECIFICALLY
27 HELD THE COURT HAS NO JURISDICTION OVER A DEFENDANT OR PERSON WHOSE NAME THEY
28 MISPELLED IN ALL CAPITAL LETTERS?

29 Sincerely

30 Luis Ewing

31 PS- This is NOT a case of "kill the messenger" just because I am the one who popped your bubble and now you are pissed
32 off because I made you feel stupid. You should be thanking me for opening up your eyes to the scammers and you should
33 be thanking me because hopefully I just stopped you from spending your hard earned money on some scammers BS.
34 Probably the only guy who will really get mad at me is the guy who just lost his sale with you because of this information
35 that I brought you!

36 **9 Stern Warning to Redemptionists about Membership in SEDM and Use of our Materials or** 37 **services**

38 The following subsections describe aspects of UCC redemption that members in good standing CAN and CANNOT
39 employ. On the subject of UCC Redemption:

- 40 1. Our Liberty University (<http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm>) says that the materials appearing there may NOT be
41 used in connection with commercial redemption as described in this document. In spite of this fact, we have noticed
42 that there are still people out there using our materials in connection with commercial redemption, who have been
43 notified that they are in violation of the copyright on the materials, and who continue to slander and malign us by
44 abusing our materials.
- 45 2. Our Member Agreement, Form #01.001, Section 1.3 forbids anyone who is a Member from using the "straw man" for
46 their own personal gain or for any commercial purpose. Those who violate this requirement are classified as "members

1 in bad standing". The straw man is a franchise, and public officer, and property of the government and may only be
2 used for the benefit of the government and not any private party.

3 **9.1 Aspects of redemption approach that members CAN use**

4 The only aspects of UCC redemption that members in good standing can do without becoming a member in bad standing or
5 bringing reproach on us is:

- 6 1. Use "All rights reserved", UCC 1-207, UCC 1-308 in connection with all signatures on government legal and tax
7 forms. We do it all the time.
- 8 2. Copyright their name.
- 9 3. Put a lien against the straw man to protect themselves ONLY from government abuse and not private creditors. We
10 provide an example form to do that, but please don't ask us ANY questions about it because we don't have a
11 commercial purpose and can't aid in commercial purposes:

UCC Security Agreement, Form #14.002
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

- 12 4. Correct negative information returns, not file them against either themselves or any entity connected to themselves.
13 Therefore, they are FORBIDDEN from filing 1099OID information returns against their straw man. See:

Correcting Erroneous Information Returns, Form #04.001
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

14 This website and ministry, in fact, does emulate our oppressors by employing franchises against the government, but we
15 don't use THEIR straw man against them. Rather, we create our own anti-franchise franchise and straw man under the
16 concept of equal treatment and equal protection and insist on acquiring rights towards our oppressors by all the same
17 methods that they use against us. There is nothing wrong with that approach, and you can find an example of its use in the
18 following resources on this site:

- 19 1. *Tax Form Attachment*, Form #04.201, Section 6
20 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 21 2. *Federal Pleading/Petition/Motion Attachment*, Litigation Tool #01.002, Section 8
22 <http://sedm.org/Litigation/LitIndex.htm>
- 23 3. *SEDM Member Agreement*, Form #01.001
24 <http://sedm.org/Litigation/LitIndex.htm>
- 25 4. *Sovereignty Franchise and Agreement*, Form #06.027
26 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

27 If you would like to know more about how franchises work, and how to create your own anti-franchise franchise to defend
28 yourself from government oppression, please see:

Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises, Form #05.030
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

29 **9.2 Aspects of redemption approach that members CANNOT use**

30 A use of UCC redemption which results in commercial gain to you is what we call an "offensive use" of UCC redemption.
31 The articles in this document call this use "patriot for profit". THIS is the main thing we want to prevent being connected
32 with. Those who are members of SEDM are ***forbidden*** from the following OFFENSIVE uses:

- 33 1. Any use of a treasury account connected with their name.
- 34 2. Using bills of exchange or accepted for value to pay alleged tax debts.
- 35 3. The issuance of bogus securities or promissory notes to buy or obtain merchandise.
- 36 4. Filing resident (alien) tax forms. This includes the IRS Form 1040 or 1040V that redemptionists like to file along with
37 the IRS Form 1099OID in order to scam the government. Both of these forms implicate that the filer is a RESIDENT
38 ALIEN. IRS Document 7130 confirms that all variants of the IRS Form 1040 are, in fact, only for use by those
39 domiciled in the "United States", meaning those serving in public offices within the U.S. government per Fed.R.Civ.P.
40 17(b) and 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(9) and (a)(10). See

We remind our readers that this is a RELIGIOUS MINISTRY and not a business of any kind:

1. We have no commercial purpose whatsoever.
2. The purpose for what we do is religious, spiritual, moral, and legal and in no way financial.
3. The bible forbids the use of God's power for commercial gain.

*And when Simon saw that through the laying on of the apostles' hands the Holy Spirit was given, **he offered them money, saying, "Give me this power also, that anyone on whom I lay hands may receive the Holy Spirit."***

*But Peter said to him, "**Your money perish with you, because you thought that the gift of God could be purchased with money! You have neither part nor portion in this matter, for your heart is not right in the sight of God. Repent therefore of this your wickedness, and pray God if perhaps the thought of your heart may be forgiven you. For I see that you are poisoned by bitterness and bound by iniquity.**"*

*Then Simon answered and said, "Pray to the Lord for me, that none of the things which you have spoken may come upon me."
[Acts 8:18-24, Bible, NKJV]*

For more on this subject, see:

SEDM Frequently Asked Questions Page, Section 0
<http://sedm.org/FAQs/FAQs.htm>

In short, all those practicing UCC redemption with a commercial purpose of any kind are REQUIRED to terminate all such activities, return any monies they collected from the government by filing IRS Form 1040V, correct all IRS Form 1099OID they filed, and completely disassociate with those practicing any of the activities documented in this section. All such activities are a SCAM with a capital "S" and will NOT be tolerated by this ministry.

9.3 Blacklist of known redemption advocates who are abusing our materials

The redemption community is filled with people who have no scruples, who are ignorant of the law, and who want to try to sell their snake oil to the innocent and the ignorant. It is the combination of their legal ignorance and their greed and selfishness that leads them into the redemption community to begin with, in our experience. The redemption community, in fact, is the most frequent source of violations of copyrights and licensing upon our materials and services. Be forewarned that these people will do anything for money, usually have an exclusively commercial motive, and who will try to appeal to your greed to recruit you to become a client and victim.

The following providers of redemption services are abusing, reselling, or plagiarizing our copyrighted materials, information, or services:

1. **Redemption Service**. They sell a "Matrix DVD" that contains many of our documents.
<http://redemptionservice.com/>
2. **NMC Services**. They sell a "American Freedom DVD" that contains many of our documents. They also stole the Redemption Manual from Robert Kelly of the Americans Sovereign Bulletin (<http://www.americansovereignbulletin.com>), modified it slightly, and are reselling it.
<http://nmcservices.net/>
3. **Zerodebts**
<http://zerodebts.info/>
4. **Freedom Club**
<http://www.freedomclubusa.com/>

Some of the above entities have even contacted us to ask that we provide a reciprocal link, which we refused to do and demanded that they terminate any connection with our materials or our website. We are introduced to redemptionists

1 STEALING from us by clients they have victimized, who notice our web address on the materials they distribute and
2 contact US instead of the redemption entity, for help. Please note that:

- 3 1. Our Member Agreement, Form #01.001, Section 1.3, Item 2, FORBIDS anyone who is a client of any of these
4 scamsters or who is practicing UCC redemption from “using” our materials to interact with anyone in the government
5 or legal profession. We do this to prevent us from being discredited.
- 6 2. We don’t provide support to those who have bought materials STOLEN from us by redemption scam artists.
- 7 3. If you are a client of one of these scam artists and you call us to ask for support of OUR stolen materials on THEIR
8 disks or training materials, you are guaranteed to PISS us off and get the scam artist who sold you the snake oil in
9 HUGE trouble.
- 10 4. If the snake oil salesman who sold you the stolen goods does eventually injure you, be advised that you are not alone
11 and that there are MANY who have been hurt.

12 The government has even given a collective name or pseudoname to those practicing UCC redemption by calling them
13 “sovereign citizens” and has attempted to slander them by connecting that label (usually unfairly) with violent or criminal
14 elements. We remind our readers that we DO NOT call ourselves “sovereign citizens” or even “sovereigns”, as we point
15 out in:

Policy Document: Rebutted Arguments Against this Website, Form #08.011, Sections 6.1 and 6.2
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

16 Finally, the above list are the redemptionists who are abusing our materials that we know about. There are probably many
17 more that we DON’T know about. If you find any parties who are offering our materials in connection with UCC
18 redemption, then please be kind enough to post their information in our forums and a link to the materials on their site that
19 they stole or plagiarized from us.

<http://sedm.org/forums/>

20 **10 Frequently Asked Questions from UCC Redemptionists**

21 The following subsections represent answers to common questions we get from UCC redemptionists. We include them
22 here to prevent having to answer them YET AGAIN. Laziness in doing homework and researching the facts and the law
23 characterizes most redemptionists, which is why we keep having to answer the same dumb questions over and over.

24 Please therefore “get with the program” and do your homework thoroughly before you involve us or make demands on our
25 time. We even tell you HOW to do your homework on the following page:

Guide to Asking Questions, Form #09.017
DIRECT LINK: <http://sedm.org/Membership/GuideToAskingQuestions.htm>
FORMS PAGE: <http://sedm.org/FAQs/FAQs.htm>

26 A good place to start in answering your own questions BEFORE contacting us to ask a question are the following
27 resources. Chances are, your question has ALREADY been answered and answering it again would be a supreme waste of
28 our time:

- 29 1. SEDM Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)
30 <http://sedm.org/FAQs/FAQs.htm>
- 31 2. Questions and Answers from Ministry Members to Ministry Staff, SEDM Forums, Section 8
32 <http://sedm.org/forums/index.php?showforum=44>

33 **10.1 How current is your information and can you apply it to redemptionism?**

34 **QUESTION:**

- 35 1. How current is the information on your web-site?
- 36 2. Did you do the actual research to discover remedy or did you rely upon hearsay?

- 1 3. Have you applied any of the remedies pertaining to the A4V with success?
2 4. Can you explain the process to become a "Secured Party?"
3 5. The annual donation for being a Member Subscriber is substantial for me, but if you can convince me this is current
4 information rather than patri-idiotic hearsay from 2004, it may be worthwhile.

5 **ANSWER:**

6 1. Our website is updated every day and reviewed by over 60,000 visitors a month. It has also been battle tested in court in
7 a failed attempt by government to enjoin it. We keep it current and relevant as humanly possible with a staff that does
8 nothing BUT do that. At the same time, we don't mean to imply that it is "commercially successful", because commercial
9 motives for using our materials are not allowed. We are not an insurance company and we don't offer assurances or
10 guarantees of any kind. Those who seek such things INSTEAD of simply the truth have the wrong motives for using our
11 materials or services.

12 2. All of the remedies we expose are found in the statutes or the case law we cite. You are encouraged to examine and
13 review any and every reference we cite and to even post any errors you find on our website in:

SEDM Forums, Forum #9.4: Errata
<http://sedm.org/forums/index.php?showforum=6>

14 3. That question relates to UCC Redemption. Our members are NOT allowed to engage in it and you cannot engage in it if
15 you intend to be a member. See:

Policy Document: UCC Redemption, Form #08.002
FORMS PAGE: <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
DIRECT LINK: <http://sedm.org/Forms/PolicyDocs/UCC.pdf>

16 4. Can you explain the process of becoming a Secured Party?

17 We can't explain anything until you precisely and completely define what a "Secured Party" is as it relates to OTHER than
18 UCC Redemption. Since we forbid UCC redemption, then we can't and won't discuss our tools and services as they relate to
19 redemption. We have not seen that term used in any context OTHER than UCC redemption.

20 5. For proof that this is NOT "patri-idiotic hearsay from 2004" look at what the courts and the law themselves say about our
21 approach by reading all the rebutted versions of de facto government propaganda about it at:

Liberty University, Section 8: Resources to Rebut Government, Legal, and Tax Profession Propaganda
<http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm>

22 Most redemptionists also are Fourteenth Amendment conspiracy theorists. We are not and you should read the following
23 rebuttal of the most frequent misconception of the redemptionist community:

Why the Fourteenth Amendment is NOT a Threat to Your Freedom, Form #08.015
FORMS PAGE: <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
DIRECT LINK: <http://sedm.org/Forms/PolicyDocs/FourteenthAmendNotProb.pdf>

24 We didn't prepare this response to induce you to engage in a financial transaction, but to PREVENT you from associating
25 us with a financial motive. Requiring us to demonstrate our own passion and successfulness in pursuing our own self
26 interest and greed and using that passion as an inducement to win your allegiance and support is simply pathetic and we
27 want no part of it.

28 If you are interested in our materials for strictly financial reasons or any reason incompatible with our member agreement,
29 you will bring reproach upon us and the God we serve and are discouraged from either becoming a member and especially
30 from "using" our materials to interact with anyone in the government or legal profession. Here is the agreement and you
31 should read it thoroughly before you even think of becoming a member.

Member Agreement, Form #01.001
FORMS PAGE: <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
DIRECT LINK: <http://sedm.org/Membership/MemberAgreement.htm>

1 It appears to us that your motives, like those of most redemptionists, are primarily financial or commercial, and hence,
2 incompatible with our member agreement and our mission. If you believe otherwise AFTER reading all of the resources
3 referenced in this response, please explain and defend your motives so as to make them compatible with our Member
4 Agreement. Anyone who is only interested in studying law of freedom so that they can benefit themselves personally or
5 financially is a threat to the credibility of themselves, Christianity, everyone in the freedom community, and to freedom
6 generally. Greed is primarily what got America into the huge problems it has now, and more of it won't help anyone.

7 *"For the love of money [and even government "benefits", which are payments] is the root of all evil: which*
8 *while some coveted after, they have erred from the faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows.*
9 *But thou, O man of God, flee these things; and follow after righteousness, godliness, faith, love, patience,*
10 *meeekness. Fight the good fight of faith, lay hold on eternal life, whereunto thou art also called, and hast*
11 *professed a good profession before many witnesses."*
12 [[1 Timothy 6:5-12](#), Bible, NKJV]

13 *"Getting treasures by a lying tongue is the fleeting fantasy of those who seek death."*
14 [[Prov. 21:6](#), Bible, NKJV]

15 You may want to read and reread the quotes at the end of every one of our posts for confirmation of our motives in regards
16 to commerce:

17 *"Two things I request of You [God]; (Deprive me not before I die): Remove falsehood and lies far from me;*
18 *Give me neither poverty nor riches— Feed me with the food allotted to me; Lest I be full and deny You, And say,*
19 *"Who is the LORD?" Or lest I be poor and steal, And profane the name of my God."*
20 [[Prov. 30:7-9](#), Bible, NKJV]

21 *"The king establishes the land by justice, But he who receives bribes [[socialist handouts](#), government "benefits",*
22 *or PLUNDER stolen from nontaxpayers] overthrows it."*
23 [[Prov. 29:4](#), Bible, NKJV]

24 *"The law of Your [God's] mouth is better to me than thousands of coins of gold and silver."*
25 [[Prov. 119:72](#), Bible, NKJV]

26 *"Buy the truth, and do not sell it, also wisdom and instruction and understanding."*
27 [[Prov. 23:23](#), Bible, NKJV]

28 **10.2 Can you decode the IMF of a UCC Redemptionist?**

29 **QUESTION:**

30 I have a group of 5-6 people who would like to become members and have you get our imf/bmf files and decode them. We
31 are looking to see what was paid out on our ss#s from BPN's M.O.'s A4V's etc. you can contact me anytime via cell# or e-
32 mail and you can also contact ____ at _____

33 **ANSWER:**

34 Thank you for your interest in our ministry and more importantly, the truth.

35 If you are doing accepted for value, you are involved in UCC Redemption. That is forbidden by:

Member Agreement, Form #01.001, Section 1.3
FORMS PAGE: <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
DIRECT LINK: <http://sedm.org/Membership/MemberAgreement.htm>

36 We also can only do decoding for members. If these people were members and they were doing UCC Redemption, then
37 they would be members in bad standing if they used our materials to interact with anyone in government.

1 Provided that the parties seeking decoding are fully compliant with and consented to our Member Agreement PRIOR to
2 every year they require decoding, we can help. Otherwise we can't.

3 A signed member agreement and certification of compliance with our member agreement for all related tax years is
4 required. No retroactive decodes for years in which the people were not members.

5 For reasons why we don't allow redemption, see:

<p><i>Policy Document: UCC Redemption</i>, Form #08.002 FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/Forms/PolicyDocs/UCC.pdf</p>
--

6 **11 Conclusions**

7 Everything that UCC Redemptionists have learned to date has been discovered by inductive or empirical research and
8 experimentation. What they need is a systematic legal approach to the explanation of their processes and their
9 presumptions at every step of the process. Every assertion they make should be backed up by cases, statutes, and the UCC.
10 This would make their approach much more defensible and scientific and comprehensible to those who are somewhat
11 schooled in the law.

12 We think that redemptionists have a lot of good ideas that are consistent with those on our website, but they seem to be
13 primarily ideas and beliefs that they do not seem able to defend in a legal arena, which is where it really counts. Most
14 redemptionists we have encountered have good intentions, but:

- 15 1. Often do not seem to understand how to do legal research.
- 16 2. Do not seem to be able to interpret the research that they do.
- 17 3. Regularly embarrass and discredit themselves in front of juries because their theories sound so unbelievable and
18 because they have no evidence of law or fact to back up their theories.
- 19 4. Often end up in huge trouble with the government because of their hyper-focus on the commerce subject. If the love of
20 money is the root of all evil, then the best way to avoid evil is to avoid commercial subjects.

21 We certainly don't mean to stereotype every person who believes in UCC redemption into a single box, to assume that
22 everyone who shares any such beliefs shares them all, or to embarrass or discredit everyone who has any of these beliefs as
23 a whole or a group, because many of them are valid. Everyone is different and we have found many people who don't fit
24 the mold portrayed above. Therefore, these generalities may not apply to you if you are a redemptionist reading this
25 document. More likely than not they won't fit, because people who frequent our website typically are much more studious
26 and curious and academic than most of the people we have met in redemption groups and other "common law courts".

27 We also agree with the Family Guardian approach towards UCC Redemption documented earlier in Section 5 and
28 following.

29 Not being well versed in the UCC redemption process, we welcome UCC Redemption advocates to help us improve this
30 document and respond to or rebut anything in this pamphlet that they find objectionable and will incorporate all such
31 feedback into this document if or when we receive it. The goal is not to be "right", but to educate and inform the American
32 public about what the law requires of them through rational debate that is completely consistent with prevailing law. We
33 do not desire to compete with or denigrate anyone, but simply to come to the Truth of the matter.

34 We also welcome our readers to notify us on our Contact Us page if they find anything on our website that is inconsistent
35 with what appears in this document, or which is inconsistent with prevailing law or legal precedent. We desire to bring
36 nothing but honor and glory to the Lord in all that we do in connection with this religious ministry.

37 We do advocate that people should lien their straw man so that they get ahead of the line if the government later tries to lien
38 him. We publish a document which does this as follows:

<p><i>UCC Security Agreement</i>, Form #14.002 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm</p>
--

1 Finally, our Member Agreement, Form #01.001, forbids anyone to join our ministry for commercial reasons and forbids
2 promising or guaranteeing a commercial result by virtue of reading or using our materials. Section 1.3 of the document
3 also forbids members from engaging in most types of redemption activities using our materials with the following
4 language:

5 **1.3 Obligations of Membership**

6 *2. I will not bring reproach upon this ministry by using any ministry materials or services for commercial or*
7 *financial reasons. Instead, I will consistently describe my motivations as being exclusively spiritual, moral,*
8 *legal, and religious. For instance, I will not use ministry materials or services in connection with any of the*
9 *following:*

10 *2.1. Mortgage cancellation.*

11 *2.2. Debt cancellation.*

12 *2.3. Bills of exchange used in paying off tax debts.*

13 *2.4. 1099OIDs.*

14 *2.5 Using the "straw man" commercially to benefit anyone but its owner, which is the government. The "straw*
15 *man" is a creation of and property of the government, and I acknowledge that it is stealing from the government*
16 *to use their property, which is public property, for my own private benefit. I seek to abandon the straw man,*
17 *not hijack him to steal from the government. See:*

18 *Proof That There Is a "Straw Man", Form #05.042; <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>.*

19 *For the reasons for all the above, see: Policy Document: UCC Redemption, Form #08.002;*
20 *<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>.*

21 **12 Resources for Further Study and Rebuttal**

22 If you would like to study the subjects covered in this short pamphlet in further detail, may we recommend the following
23 authoritative sources, and also welcome you to rebut any part of this pamphlet after you have read it and studied the
24 subject carefully yourself just as we have:

- 25 1. *Proof That There is a "Straw Man"*, Form #05.042-why we agree that there IS a "straw man" but that he isn't the all
26 caps name nor a person we can own, lien, or control
27 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 28 2. *Why Statutory Civil Law is Law for Government and Not Private Persons*, Form #05.037-proves that if the government
29 is enforcing statutory law against you, it has to presume that you are one of its own officers, employees, or contractors
30 and NOT a private person. Your job in litigation is to force them to PROVE that you are.
31 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 32 3. *Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises*, Form #05.030-explains how franchises are used to create public
33 offices and agency.
34 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 35 4. *Why Your Government is Either a Thief or You are a "Public Officer" for Income Tax Purposes*, Form #05.008-proves
36 that all "taxpayers" are "public officers" within the government.
37 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 38 5. *About SSNs and TINs on Government Forms and Correspondence*, Form #05.012-proves that the government cannot
39 use a Taxpayer Identification Number unless you are an alien engaged in a public office in the U.S. government.
40 Shows how to disconnect from using these numbers and thereby disconnect from the straw man.
41 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 42 6. *The Wizard of Oz*-true significance of the famous Wizard of Oz story
43 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/UCC/WizardOfOz.pdf>
- 44 7. *Highlights of American Legal and Political History CD*, Form #11.202. Shows how our republic was corrupted so that
45 the government could steal your money
46 <http://sedm.org/ItemInfo/Disks/HOALPH/HOALPH.htm>
- 47 8. *Cracking the Code, Third Edition*, Better Book and Coin (BBC). This book is out of print
- 48 9. *Mastering the Uniform Commercial Code*
49 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/UCC/MasteringTheUCC.pdf>
- 50 10. *Investigative Report*, Barton Buhtz
51 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/UCC/InvestigativeReportUCC.pdf>
- 52 11. *Redemption Manual*
53 <http://famguardian.org/Publications/RedemptionManual/Redemption-20061120.pdf>

- 1 12. UCC Filing. Family Guardian
- 2 <http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/Forms/Emancipation/UCCFiling.htm>
- 3 13. UCC Security Agreement, Form #14.002
- 4 <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 5 14. Family Guardian Website: Money and Banking Page
- 6 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/MoneyBanking/MoneyBanking.htm>
- 7 15. Memorandum of Law on the Name-detailed research on the use of the upper case name.
- 8 <http://famguardian.org/Subjects/LawAndGovt/Articles/MemLawOnTheName.htm>